R30lr3011 CF 0lr0985

By: Senator Jacobs

AN ACT concerning

1

Introduced and read first time: February 5, 2010

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

Drunk and Drugged Driving - Evidence - Qualified Medical Person

2	Drunk and Drugged Driving – Evidence – Qualified Medical F

3 FOR the purpose of providing for the admissibility in evidence of a certified statement by a qualified medical person who obtained blood from a defendant charged 4 5 with certain alcohol- or drug-related driving offenses without the testimony of 6 the qualified medical person under certain circumstances; providing for certain 7 exceptions; repealing certain procedures; and generally relating to altering 8 certain evidentiary provisions concerning the admissibility of a certain certified 9 statement by a qualified medical person under certain circumstances.

- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings 11
- 12 Section 10-304(a) and 10-306
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (2006 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) 14
- BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, 15
- 16 Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 17 Section 10-304(c)
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2006 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 20 21MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings

2310 - 304.

22

24(1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. (a)



- 1 (2) "Qualified medical person" means any person permitted by law to withdraw blood from humans.
 - (3) "Qualified person" means a person who has received training in the use of the equipment in a training program approved by the toxicologist under the Postmortem Examiners Commission and who is either a police officer, a police employee, an employee of the office of the Chief Medical Examiner, or a person authorized by the toxicologist under the Postmortem Examiners Commission.
 - (c) (1) (i) The blood shall be obtained by a qualified medical person using equipment approved by the toxicologist under the Postmortem Examiners Commission acting at the request of a police officer.
- 11 (ii) A certified statement by the qualified medical person who 12 obtained the blood shall be prima facie evidence of that person's qualifications and 13 that the blood was obtained in compliance with this section.
 - (iii) [1.] A certified statement that complies with the requirements of this paragraph is admissible as [substantive evidence] A BUSINESS RECORD without the presence or testimony of the qualified medical person who obtained the blood UNLESS THE DEFENDANT ESTABLISHES BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE PERSON WAS NOT A QUALIFIED MEDICAL PERSON OR THAT THE BLOOD WAS NOT OBTAINED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SECTION.
 - [2. If the State decides to offer the certified statement without the testimony of the qualified medical person, the State shall, at least 30 days before trial, notify the defendant or the defendant's attorney in writing of the State's intention and deliver to the defendant or the defendant's attorney a copy of the certified statement to be offered.
 - 3. If the District Court is deprived of jurisdiction under circumstances in which a defendant is entitled to and demands a jury trial, or appeals from the District Court to a circuit court, the State is not required to file a second notice.
- 30 (iv) 1. If the defendant desires the qualified medical person 31 to be present and testify at trial, the defendant shall notify the court and the State in 32 writing no later than 20 days before trial.
 - 2. If the District Court is deprived of jurisdiction under circumstances in which a defendant is entitled to and demands a jury trial, or appeals from the District Court to a circuit court, the defendant shall notify the circuit court and the State in writing no later than 20 days before trial.

- 1 If the timely and proper notice required under this 3. 2 subparagraph is provided by the defendant, the certified statement is inadmissible 3 without the testimony of the qualified medical person. 4 Failure to give the timely and proper notice 5 constitutes a waiver of the defendant's right to the presence and testimony of the 6 qualified medical person. 7 (2) The test of blood shall be conducted by a qualified person using 8 equipment approved by the toxicologist under the Postmortem Examiners Commission in a laboratory approved by the toxicologist. 9 10 10-306. 11 (a) (1) (i) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (2) of this subsection, 12 in any criminal trial in which a violation of § 16–113, § 16–813, or § 21–902 of the Transportation Article, or a violation of Title 2, Subtitle 5, § 2–209, or § 3–211 of the 13 Criminal Law Article is charged or is an issue, a copy of a report of the results of a test 14 15 of breath or blood to determine alcohol concentration signed by the technician or 16 analyst who performed the test, is admissible as substantive evidence without the 17 presence or testimony of the technician or analyst who performed the test. 18 (ii) Subject to the provisions of § 10–308(b) of this subtitle and paragraph (2) of this subsection, in any criminal trial in which a violation of § 21–902 19 20 of the Transportation Article or a violation of Title 2, Subtitle 5, § 2–209, or § 3–211 of 21 the Criminal Law Article is charged, a copy of a report of the results of a test or tests 22of blood to determine drug or controlled dangerous substance content signed by the 23technician or analyst who performed the test, is admissible as substantive evidence 24without the presence or testimony of the technician or analyst who performed the test. 25 To be admissible under paragraph (1) of this subsection, the report **(2)** 26 shall: 27 Identify the technician or analyst as a "qualified person", as (i) 28defined in § 10–304 of this subtitle; 29 State that the test was performed with equipment approved (ii) 30 by the toxicologist under the Postmortem Examiners Commission at the direction of a 31 police officer; and 32(iii) State that the result of the test is as stated in the report. 33
 - (b) (1) (i) Test results which comply with the requirements of subsection (a) of this section are admissible as substantive evidence without the presence or testimony of the technician or analyst who administered the test.

34 35

1	(ii) However, if the State decides to offer the test results without
2	the testimony of the technician or analyst, it shall, at least 30 days before trial, notify
3	the defendant or his attorney in writing of its intention and deliver to the defendant or
4	his attorney a copy of the test results to be offered.

- 5 (iii) If the District Court is deprived of jurisdiction under 6 circumstances in which a defendant is entitled to and demands a jury trial, or appeals 7 from the District Court to the circuit court, the State is not required to file a second 8 notice.
- 9 (2) (i) If the defendant desires the technician or analyst to be 10 present and testify at trial, the defendant shall notify the court and the State in 11 writing no later than 20 days before trial.
- 12 (ii) If the District Court is deprived of jurisdiction under 13 circumstances in which a defendant is entitled to and demands a jury trial, or appeals 14 from the District Court to a circuit court, the defendant shall notify the circuit court 15 and the State in writing no later than 20 days before trial.
- 16 (iii) If the timely and proper notice required under this 17 paragraph is provided by the defendant, the test results are inadmissible without the 18 testimony of the technician or analyst.
- 19 (3) Failure to give timely and proper notice constitutes a waiver of the 20 defendant's right to the presence and testimony of the technician or analyst.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2010.