Department of Legislative Services 2010 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE Revised

Senate Bill 321 Judicial Proceedings (Senator Stone, *et al.*)

Environmental Matters

The Delegate John Arnick Electronic Communications Traffic Safety Act of 2010

This bill prohibits the driver of a school vehicle that is carrying passengers and is in motion from using a handheld telephone. The prohibition also applies to the holder of a learner's instructional permit or provisional driver's license who is age 18 or older. Any other driver of a motor vehicle that is in motion may not use a handheld telephone; instead, the driver may only use his/her hands to initiate or terminate a wireless telephone call or to turn the handheld telephone, including calls to a 9-1-1 system, hospital, ambulance service provider, fire department, law enforcement agency, or first aid squad. These prohibitions do not apply to law enforcement or emergency personnel when acting within the scope of official duty. The prohibition also does not apply to the use of a handheld telephone as a text messaging device.

The offense is enforceable as a secondary action only. For a first offense, the violator is subject to a maximum fine of \$40 and points may not be assessed against the driver's license unless the offense contributes to an accident. The court is authorized to waive the fine for a first-time conviction if the person proves that he/she has acquired a hands-free accessory, attachment, add-on, or built-in feature for the handheld telephone that will allow the person to operate a motor vehicle in compliance with the bill's provisions. For a second or subsequent offense, the fine is \$100.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Minimal general fund revenue increase from the penalty provision applicable to this offense. Enforcement can be handled with existing resources.

Local Effect: Enforcement can be handled with existing resources.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: A "wireless communication device" means a handheld or hands-free device used to access a wireless telephone service or a text messaging device.

A "text messaging device" means a handheld device used to send a text or electronic message via a short message service, a wireless telephone service, or electronic communication network.

Except as otherwise specified, a school vehicle is a motor vehicle that is used regularly for the exclusive transportation of children, students, or teachers for educational purposes, or in connection with a school activity. It is either of the body-on chassis type or integral type construction. A Type I school vehicle has a gross vehicle weight (GVW) exceeding 15,000 pounds and at least 13 inches seating space per passenger. A Type II school vehicle has a maximum GVW of 15,000 pounds and at least 13 inches seating space per passenger.

The State has enacted provisions restricting or prohibiting the use of a text messaging or wireless communication device. A driver is prohibited from using a text messaging device to write or send a text message while operating a motor vehicle in motion or in the travel portion of the roadway. The prohibition does not apply to the use of a global positioning system or to the use of a text messaging device to contact a 9-1-1 system. (*See* Transportation Article § 21-1124.1.) Except to contact a 9-1-1 system in an emergency, a minor holding a learner's permit or provisional license is prohibited from using a wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle. A violator is also subject to license suspension for up to 90 days by the Motor Vehicle Administration (MVA). This prohibition on minor drivers is only enforceable as a secondary action when a police officer detains a minor driver for a suspected violation of another provision of the Annotated Code. (*See* Transportation Article § 21-1124.)

A violator of either of these provisions is guilty of a misdemeanor and subject to a maximum fine of \$500. The prepayment penalty established by the District Court for these offenses is \$70. If the violation contributes to an accident, the prepayment penalty increases to \$110. MVA is required to assess one point against the driver's license for a violation, or three points if the violation contributes to an accident.

A person is deemed guilty of negligent driving if the person drives in a careless or imprudent manner that endangers property or human life. A negligent driving violation requires the assessment of one point against the driving record and is a misdemeanor subject to a maximum fine of \$500. The prepayment penalty currently assessed by the District Court for this offense is \$140. If the offense contributes to an accident, the

prepayment penalty increases to \$280 and three points are assed against the driver's license.

Background: A persistent issue with the use of cell phones and other wireless devices in motor vehicles has been the mixed results of published studies; however, more recent studies have indicated a stronger connection between cell phone use and risky driving behavior. For example, the Highway Loss Data Institute and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) released the results of a study in December 2009 that claims no significant reduction in accidents has occurred in states that have enacted bans on handheld cell phones while driving. Some experts have attributed the absence of a decline to the intermittent enforcement efforts, while others have said that handheld cell phone bans still do not address the real problem – that is, the distraction caused by the phone conversation itself. The IIHS is on record stating that accident rates generally are the same whether the driving bans target handheld or hands-free cell phones.

A 2008 study of cell phones and driving involving brain imaging from the Center for Cognitive Brain Imaging and Carnegie Mellon University showed that just listening to a cell phone conversation while driving reduces the amount of brain activity devoted to driving by 37%. The scientists noted an overall decline in driving quality. Drivers were likely to weave in and out of lanes and commit other lane maintenance errors. The study concluded that engaging in a demanding cell phone conversation while driving could jeopardize judgment and reaction times. A 2006 study of real world driver behavior, completed by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, concluded that the most common distraction for drivers is cell phone use. Also, the number of crashes and near-crashes resulting from dialing a cell phone was nearly identical to the number of accidents resulting from listening or talking; although dialing is more dangerous, it occurs less often than listening or talking. A 2005 study published in the British Medical Journal concluded that drivers who use cell phones are four times more likely to be involved in a vehicle crash. A study of young drivers conducted at the University of Utah in 2004 found that their response time slowed significantly when using cell phones, so much so, that drivers younger than age 21 were found to have the reaction times of drivers age 65 to 74.

The U.S. Department of Transportation and the National Safety Council announced a national campaign in January 2010 to educate people about the dangers of driving while using a cell phone or text-messaging device. The campaign, called "Focus Driven," is an outgrowth of a national summit held on distracted driving in 2009.

According to the Governors Highway Safety Association, six states (California, Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, and Washington) and the District of Columbia prohibit the use of handheld phones by all drivers while operating a motor vehicle. Washington authorizes secondary enforcement only for the offense. The other

SB 321 / Page 3

states and the District of Columbia authorize primary enforcement. Also, 17 states (Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia) and the District of Columbia prohibit the operators of school vehicles that carry passengers from using a wireless telephone device while driving.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: SB 103 of 2009 received an unfavorable report from the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee. SB 2 of 2008, as introduced, a similar bill, passed the Senate as amended and then received an unfavorable report from the House Environmental Matters Committee. Similar bills were introduced as SB 44 of 2007 and HB 817 of 2006. SB 44 was heard by the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee but received no further action. HB 817 of 2006 received an unfavorable report from the House Environmental Matters Committee.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Charles, Frederick, Montgomery, and Somerset counties; Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts); Department of State Police; Maryland Department of Transportation; *British Medical Journal*; *The Wall Street Journal*; Highway Loss Data Institute; Insurance Institute for Highway Safety; Center for Cognitive Brain Imaging; Carnegie Mellon University; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; Virginia Tech Transportation Institute; Governors Highway Safety Association; University of Utah; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History:	First Reader - February 16, 2010
mlm/ljm	Revised - Senate Third Reader - March 30, 2010

Analysis by: Karen D. Morgan

Direct Inquiries to: (410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510