

Department of Legislative Services
2010 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

Senate Bill 565
Judicial Proceedings

(Senator Raskin, *et al.*)

**Drunk and Drugged Driving - Refusal to Take a Blood or Breath Test -
Prohibition**

This bill prohibits a person who is detained for a suspected alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense from knowingly refusing to take a test of blood or breath if the person has been detained previously for a suspected alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense and refused to take a test of blood or breath. A person convicted of this offense is guilty of a misdemeanor and subject to maximum penalties of imprisonment for one year and/or a fine of \$1,000. The penalty imposed is in addition to any other penalty imposed for the underlying alcohol- and/or drug-related driving violation and for refusal to take a test of blood or breath.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Minimal increase in general fund revenues and expenditures due to the bill's penalty provision.

Local Effect: Potential minimal increase in expenditures due to the bill's penalty provision.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Current Law: A person may not drive or attempt to drive any vehicle while:

- under the influence of alcohol or under the influence of alcohol *per se*;
- impaired by alcohol;

- impaired by drugs, or drugs and alcohol; or
- impaired by a controlled dangerous substance.

A person who drives or attempts to drive a motor vehicle is deemed to have consented to take a test. This applies to a person who is detained by a police officer on suspicion of committing an alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense. However, a person may not be compelled to submit to a test to determine the alcohol or drug concentration of a person's blood or breath unless there is a motor vehicle accident that results in death or a life-threatening injury to another person.

A police officer who stops a driver with reasonable grounds to believe that a violation of alcohol- and/or drug-related driving provisions has taken place must detain the person and request the person to take a test. The police officer must advise the person of the administrative sanctions that must be imposed for refusal to take a test and notice and hearing procedures. An offender's license or driving privilege must be suspended by the Motor Vehicle Administration (MVA) for 120 days for a first offense and one year for a second or subsequent offense. A person operating a commercial vehicle who refuses to take a test for alcohol or drug concentration is subject to more stringent administrative sanctions. No modification of the license suspension is permitted for a refusal unless the driver participates in the Ignition Interlock System Program for at least one year.

A police officer is required to advise a person detained on suspicion of an alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense of the additional criminal penalties that may be imposed if the person is convicted of an alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense and knowingly refused to take a test requested at the time of the suspected violation. If a person is convicted of an alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense and the trier of fact finds beyond a reasonable doubt that the person knowingly refused to take the requested test, the person is subject to a penalty in addition to any other penalty that may be imposed for the alcohol- and/or drug-related driving conviction. A person who knowingly refuses to take a test of blood or breath under these circumstances is subject to a maximum penalty of imprisonment for two months and/or a fine of \$500. The court may not impose the additional penalty unless the State's Attorney serves notice of the alleged test refusal on the defendant or the defendant's counsel before acceptance of a plea of *nolo contendere* or guilty, or at least 15 days before a circuit court trial or 5 days before a District Court trial, whichever is earlier.

With a conviction for an alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense, a violator is subject to a range of penalties involving fines and imprisonment, as well as suspension or revocation of the driver's license by MVA. A person convicted of driving under the influence, under the influence of alcohol *per se*, or while impaired by a controlled dangerous substance is subject to fines ranging from \$1,000 to \$3,000 and/or a maximum

imprisonment term of one to three years. A repeat conviction within five years requires a mandatory minimum penalty of imprisonment from 5 to 10 days or community service from 30 to 60 days, as well as a mandatory alcohol or drug abuse assessment.

A conviction for lesser included offenses subjects the violator to a fine of \$500 and/or imprisonment not exceeding two months. However, for repeat offenders maximum prison terms increase to a year. If an offender is transporting a minor at the time of the alcohol- and/or drug-related driving offense, fines and sanctions increase beyond those already specified for lesser included offenses.

Background: According to the National Conference of State Legislatures, blood and breath test refusal rates vary by jurisdiction. Data from the Traffic Injury Research Foundation indicates that nationally more than 20% of arrested drivers refuse a test. For example, in Maryland, the State Police reported a total of 24,380 people arrested for alcohol- and/or drugged-driving violations in calendar 2008. Of those arrests, 24,333 people were requested to take a test. Of that number, 6,622 people, or 27.2%, refused to take a requested test.

According to the latest information available from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, all states have some form of implied consent statute but vary widely with respect to the administrative and criminal penalties for refusing to submit to a chemical test. Every state but Nevada has administrative sanctions for test refusal. Maryland and 14 other states (Alaska, California, Florida, Kansas, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Vermont) have criminal sanctions for refusal to submit to a blood alcohol test for drivers age 21 and older. Three states (Arkansas, Arizona, and Michigan) have criminal penalties only if the driver is younger than 21.

In 16 states, refusal to submit to a blood alcohol test is a separate crime (Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia). In Minnesota, Nebraska, and Vermont, the penalties for test refusal are equal to or substantially similar to the penalties for a drunk driving conviction. Enhanced criminal penalties for test refusal in California and Vermont apply to those with prior drunk driving convictions. Criminal sanctions imposed by the states include fines, community service, alcohol or drug treatment, vehicle impoundment, and jail time.

State Revenues: General fund revenues increase minimally as a result of the bill's monetary penalty provision from cases heard in the District Court.

State Expenditures: General fund expenditures increase minimally as a result of the bill's incarceration penalty due to more people being committed to Division of Correction facilities for convictions in Baltimore City. The number of people convicted of this proposed crime is expected to be minimal.

Generally, persons serving a sentence of one year or less in a jurisdiction other than Baltimore City are sentenced to a local detention facility. The Baltimore City Detention Center, a State-operated facility, is used primarily for pretrial detentions.

Local Expenditures: Expenditures increase as a result of the bill's incarceration penalty. Counties pay the full cost of incarceration for people in their facilities for the first 12 months of the sentence. Per diem operating costs of local detention facilities are expected to range from \$57 to \$157 per inmate in fiscal 2011.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: HB 875 of 2009 received an unfavorable report from the House Judiciary Committee. SB 638 of 2008 received an unfavorable report from the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee. HB 1475 of 2008 was heard by the House Judiciary Committee but then withdrawn. SB 800 of 2007 was heard by the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee but received no further action. SB 760 and HB 644 of 2006 were both withdrawn.

Cross File: HB 316 (Delegate Sophocleus, *et al.*) - Judiciary.

Information Source(s): Baltimore, Charles, Frederick, Montgomery, and Somerset counties; Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts); Department of State Police; Maryland Department of Transportation; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; National Conference of State Legislatures; Traffic Injury Research Foundation; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 8, 2010
ncs/ljm

Analysis by: Karen D. Morgan

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510