# HOUSE BILL 1082

R3

2lr2438 CF 2lr2368

# By: **Delegates Krebs, Elliott, Ready, and Stocksdale** Introduced and read first time: February 10, 2012 Assigned to: Judiciary

# A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

#### $\mathbf{2}$

# Drugged Driving – Evidence – Drug Recognition Expert

3 FOR the purpose of altering the circumstances under which a test for drug or 4 controlled dangerous substance content of a person driving or attempting to  $\mathbf{5}$ drive a vehicle may be required or directed under certain circumstances; 6 providing that a police officer's opinion as to whether a person was impaired by 7 a drug or a controlled dangerous substance shall be admissible in a criminal 8 proceeding under certain circumstances; providing that the admission of certain 9 test results or certain testimony of a police officer does not limit the introduction of certain other evidence; and generally relating to evidence 10 concerning drugged driving. 11

- 12 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 13 Article Transportation
- 14 Section 16–205.1(i)
- 15 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 16 (2009 Replacement Volume and 2011 Supplement)

17 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 18 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

- 19Article Transportation
- 20 16-205.1.

21 (i) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a test for drug 22 or controlled dangerous substance content under this section:

23 [(1)] (I) May not be requested as described under subsection (b) of 24 this section[, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



#### HOUSE BILL 1082

1 described under subsection (d) of this section,] by a police officer unless the law 2 enforcement agency of which the officer is a member has the capacity to have such 3 tests conducted;

4 [(2)] (II) May only be requested as described under subsection (b) of 5 this section [, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as 6 described under subsection (d) of this section,] by a police officer who is a trainee, has 7 been trained, or is participating directly or indirectly in a program of training that is:

8 [(i)] 1. Designed to train and certify police officers as drug 9 recognition experts; and

10 [(ii)] 2. Conducted by a law enforcement agency of the State, 11 or any county, municipal, or other law enforcement agency in the State described in 12 [items (3)(i)1 through 12 of this subsection] ITEM (III)1A THROUGH L OF THIS 13 PARAGRAPH:

- 14 [1.] A. In conjunction with the National Highway
  15 Traffic Safety Administration; or
- 16 [2.] **B.** As a program of training of police officers as 17 drug recognition experts that contains requirements for successful completion of the 18 training program that are the substantial equivalent of the requirements of the Drug 19 Recognition Training Program developed by the National Highway Traffic Safety 20 Administration; and

[(3)] (III) May only be requested as described under subsection (b) of this section [, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as described under subsection (d) of this section]:

[(i)] 1. In the case of a police officer who is a trainee, or who is participating directly or indirectly in a program of training described in [paragraph (2) of this subsection] ITEM (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, if the police officer is a member of, and is designated as a trainee or a participant by the head of:

28	[1.] <b>A</b> .	The Department of State Police;
29	[2.] <b>B.</b>	The Baltimore City Police Department;
$\begin{array}{c} 30\\ 31 \end{array}$	[3.] <b>C.</b>	A police department, bureau, or force of a
$\frac{32}{33}$	[4.] <b>D.</b> incorporated city or town;	A police department, bureau, or force of an

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

### HOUSE BILL 1082

1 2	Force;	[5.] <b>E.</b>	The Maryland Transit Administration Police
$\frac{3}{4}$	of the Department of Tra	[6.] <b>F.</b> .nsportation;	The Maryland Port Administration Police Force
$5 \\ 6$	Force;	[7.] <b>G.</b>	The Maryland Transportation Authority Police
7 8	or Morgan State Univers	[8.] <b>H.</b> ity;	The Police Force of the University of Maryland
9 10	under the direction and c	[9.] <b>I.</b> control of the	The police force for a State university or college University System of Maryland;
$\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$	Baltimore City;	[10.] <b>J</b> .	A sheriff's department of any county or
13 14	Forest and Park Service	[11.] <b>K.</b> Police Force of	The Natural Resources Police Force or the of the Department of Natural Resources; or
$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 16 \end{array}$	Services; or	[12.] <b>L.</b>	The security force of the Department of General
17 18 19 20	[(ii)] 2. In the case of a police officer who has been trained as a drug recognition expert, if the police officer is a member of, and certified as a drug recognition expert by the head of one of the law enforcement agencies described in [items (3)(i)1 through 12 of this subsection] ITEM 1A THROUGH L OF THIS ITEM.		
21 22 23 24 25 26	(2) IF A POLICE OFFICER IS ENTITLED TO REQUEST A TEST FOR DRUG OR CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE CONTENT UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, THE POLICE OFFICER'S OPINION AS TO WHETHER A PERSON DRIVING OR ATTEMPTING TO DRIVE A VEHICLE WAS IMPAIRED BY A DRUG OR A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE SHALL BE ADMISSIBLE IN A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING.		
27 28 29 30 31	(3) THE ADMISSION OF THE RESULTS OF A TEST FOR DRUG OR CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE CONTENT OR A POLICE OFFICER'S TESTIMONY DOES NOT LIMIT THE INTRODUCTION OF OTHER EVIDENCE BEARING ON WHETHER A PERSON WAS IMPAIRED BY A DRUG OR A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE.		
32 33	SECTION 2. AND October 1 2012	BE IT FUR	THER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect

33 October 1, 2012.