By: **Delegates McDermott and Smigiel** Introduced and read first time: February 10, 2012 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

1 A House Joint Resolution concerning

Abridgement of Federal and State Constitutional Protections in the 2012 National Defense Authorization Act

FOR the purpose of condemning certain provisions of the National Defense
Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 that threaten United States citizens
with unlawful detention without trial in violation of the citizens' right to the
guarantees of habeas corpus and due process and urging the United States
Congress to reconsider and repeal certain provisions of the National Defense
Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012.

10 WHEREAS, The Congress of the United States passed the National Defense 11 Authorization Act, 2011 P.L. 112–81 (2012 NDAA), for Fiscal Year 2012 on December 12 15, 2011 and the President of the United States signed the 2012 NDAA into law on 13 December 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, Section 1022 of the 2012 NDAA (Section 1022) requires the armed forces of the United States (armed forces) to detain, pending disposition according to the Law of War, any person involved in, or who provides substantial support to acts of terrorism or belligerent acts against the United States, and who is a member of Al-Qaeda or an associated force; and

WHEREAS, Section 1022 specifically excludes Unites States citizens and lawful
 resident aliens from its mandatory detention provisions for conduct occurring within
 the United States; and

WHEREAS, Section 1021 of the 2012 NDAA (Section 1021) purports to authorize, but does not require, the President of the United States to utilize the armed forces to detain persons the President suspects are part of, or substantially support, Al–Qaeda, the Taliban, or associated forces; and

26 WHEREAS, Section 1021 purports to authorize, but does not require, the 27 President of the United States, through the armed forces, to dispose of the detained



HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 12

persons according to the Law of War, which may include but is not limited to: (1) indefinite detention without charge or trial until the end of hostilities authorized by the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force Against Terrorists, 2001 P.L. 107–40 (2001 Authorization); (2) prosecution through a Military Commission; or (3) transfer to a foreign jurisdiction; and

6 WHEREAS, Section 1021, unlike Section 1022, makes no specific exclusion for 7 United States citizens and lawful resident aliens for conduct occurring within the 8 United States; and

9 WHEREAS, Section 1021 seeks to preserve existing law and authority 10 pertaining to the detention of United States citizens, lawful resident aliens, and any 11 other person captured in the United States, but does not specify what such existing 12 law or authorities are; and

WHEREAS, The specific exclusion of application to United States citizens and lawful resident aliens contained in Section 1022 and the absence of an exclusion in Section 1021 strongly implies that the provisions of Section 1021 are intended to apply to United States citizens and lawful resident aliens, whether or not they are captured in the United States; and

18 WHEREAS, The Office of the President of the United States, under the 19 administrations of both George W. Bush and Barack H. Obama, has asserted that the 2001 Authorization allows the Office of the President to detain indefinitely without 21 charge United States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured in the United 22 States; and

WHEREAS, The United States Supreme Court has not decided whether the Authorization allows the Office of the President to detain indefinitely without charge United States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured in the United States; and

27WHEREAS, Section 1021 purports to enlarge the scope of the persons that the 28Office of the President may detain indefinitely without charge beyond those persons 29responsible for the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, and those who harbored 30 them, as authorized by the 2001 Authorization, to include "[a] person who is a part of 31or substantially supported Al-Qaeda, the Taliban, or associated forces that are 32engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners, including any 33 person who has committed a belligerent act or has directly supported such hostilities 34in aid of such enemy forces"; and

WHEREAS, During debate within the Senate and before the passage of the 2012 NDAA, United States Senator Mark Udall introduced an amendment intended to forbid the indefinite detention of United States citizens, which was rejected by a vote of 38–60; and

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 WHEREAS, United States Senators John McCain and Lindsey Graham each 2 declared in colloquies on the floor of the United States Senate that Section 1021 3 authorized the indefinite detention of United States citizens captured within the 4 United States by the armed forces; and

5 WHEREAS, Policing of the citizenry of the United States by the armed forces, 6 as purportedly authorized by the 2012 NDAA, would overturn the Posse Comitatus 7 Act and be repugnant to a free society; and

8 WHEREAS, Section 1021, as it purports to authorize: (1) detainment of United 9 States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured within the United States without 10 charge; (2) military tribunals for United States citizens and legal resident aliens; and 11 (3) the transfer of United States citizens and legal resident aliens captured within the 12 United States to foreign jurisdictions, is violative of the following rights enshrined in 13 the Constitution of the United States of America and the Maryland Constitution as 14 follows:

- 15
- (1) In the Constitution of the United States of America:

16 (i) The right to seek a Writ of Habeas Corpus as found in 17 Article 1, Section 9, Clause 2;

(ii) The right to petition the government for a redress ofgrievances as found in the First Amendment;

20 (iii) The right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures
21 as found in the Fourth Amendment;

(iv) The right to be free from charge for an infamous or capital
crime until presentment or indictment by a Grand Jury and the right to be free from
deprivation of life, liberty, or property as found in the Fifth Amendment;

(v) The right in criminal prosecutions to a speedy trial by an impartial jury in the state and district where the crime shall have been committed and the right to counsel and the right to confront witnesses as found in the Sixth Amendment;

(vi) The right to be free from excessive bail and fines and cruel
 and unusual punishment as found in the Eighth Amendment; and

(vii) The right to be free from deprivation of life, liberty, or
 property without due process of law as found in the Fourteenth Amendment;

33 (2) In the Declaration of Rights of the Maryland Constitution:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 12

1 (i) The right to be informed of the accusation against one, have 2 a copy of the indictment or charge, be allowed counsel, and a speedy trial as found in 3 Article 21;

- 4 (ii) The right to be free from deprivation of life, liberty, or 5 property and to be guaranteed due process of law as found in Article 24;
- 6 (iii) The right to be free from excessive bail or cruel and unusual 7 punishment as found in Article 25;
- 8 (iv) The right to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures as 9 found in Article 26;
- (v) The right to have the military, in all cases and at all times,
 be under strict subordinate to, and control of, civilian power as found in Article 30;
- 12 (vi) The right, for all except regular soldiers, marines, and 13 mariners, not to be subject to, or punishable by, martial law, as found in Article 32; 14 and
- (vii) The right to have the provisions of the Constitution of the
 United States and the Maryland Constitution apply as well in time of war, as in time
 of peace as found in Article 44; and
- 18 (3) In Article III of the Maryland Constitution, the right of habeas 19 corpus as guaranteed under Section 55, which prohibits the General Assembly from 20 passing any law "suspending the privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus"; now, 21 therefore, be it

22RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the 23General Assembly of the State of Maryland condemns Section 1021 of the 2012 24National Defense Authorization Act as it purports to: (1) repeal the Posse Comitatus 25Act and authorize the President of the United States to utilize the armed forces of the 26United States to police United States citizens and lawful resident aliens within the 27United States of America; (2) indefinitely detain United States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured within the United States without charge until the end of the 2829hostilities authorized by the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force Against Terrorists; (3) subject United States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured 30 31within the United States to military tribunals; and (4) transfer United States citizens 32and lawful resident aliens captured within the United States to foreign jurisdictions; 33 and, further, the General Assembly of Maryland urges the United States Congress to 34reconsider and repeal Section 1021 of the 2012 National Defense Authorization Act; 35 and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Department of
 Legislative Services to the Maryland Congressional Delegation: Senators Barbara A.
 Mikulski and Benjamin L. Cardin, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20510;

4

- 1 and Representatives Andrew P. Harris, C. A. Dutch Ruppersberger III, John P.
- 2 Sarbanes, Donna Edwards, Steny Hamilton Hoyer, Roscoe G. Bartlett, Elijah E.
- 3 Cummings, and Christopher Van Hollen, Jr., House Office Building, Washington, D.C.
- $4 \quad 20515.$