SENATE BILL 496

(2lr 2753)

ENROLLED BILL

— Judicial Proceedings/Judiciary —

Introduced by Senator Gladden Senators Gladden and Middleton

Read and Examined by Proofreaders:

										-	Proofrea	ader.
											Proofrea	ader.
Sealed	with	the	Great	Seal	and	presented	to t	he	Governor,	for his a	pproval	this
	_ day	of				at				_ o'clock,		_M.
											Presid	lent.

CHAPTER _____

1 AN ACT concerning

Criminal Procedure – Unexecuted Warrant, Summons, or Other Criminal Process – Invalidation and Destruction

FOR the purpose of authorizing a law enforcement agency to make a certain request to 4 $\mathbf{5}$ a certain State's Attorney to have a certain unexecuted warrant, summons, or 6 other criminal process invalidated and destroyed under certain circumstances; 7 authorizing the State's Attorney to petition a certain administrative judge for the 8 invalidation and destruction of a certain unexecuted warrant, summons, or other 9 <u>criminal process</u>; requiring the State's Attorney to petition a certain administrative judge for the invalidation and destruction of a certain 10 unexecuted warrant, summons, or other criminal process; authorizing the 11 12State's Attorney to argue against the invalidation and destruction of a certain unexecuted warrant, summons, or other criminal process under certain 13 14circumstances; providing for the manner in which a court may order the invalidation and destruction of a certain unexecuted warrant, summons, or 15

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

Italics indicate opposite chamber/conference committee amendments



E2

SENATE BILL 496

$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array} $	other criminal process; prohibiting an arrest from being made under the authority of a certain warrant, <i>summons</i> , or other criminal process; authorizing the State's Attorney to enter a nolle prosequi or place a certain case on the stet docket at a certain time; providing for the application of this Act; and generally relating to the invalidation and destruction of unexecuted warrants, summons, or other criminal processes.
7 8 9 10	BY adding to Article – Criminal Procedure Section 4–109 Annotated Code of Maryland
11	(2008 Replacement Volume and 2011 Supplement)
12 13	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
14	Article – Criminal Procedure
15	4–109.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(A) A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY MAKE A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE STATE'S ATTORNEY WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TO PETITION THE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT TO HAVE AN UNEXECUTED WARRANT FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY INVALIDATED AND DESTROYED DUE TO THE AGE OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS AND UNAVAILABILITY OF THE DEFENDANT, OR OTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES.
24	(b) On receipt of a request under subsection (a) of this
25	SECTION, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY SHALL PETITION THE ADMINISTRATIVE
26	JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT FOR THE INVALIDATION AND DESTRUCTION OF THE
27	UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS <u>A WARRANT,</u>
28	SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE IN
29	THE POSSESSION OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY INVALIDATED AND
30	DESTROYED DUE TO THE AGE OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR
31	OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS AND UNAVAILABILITY OF THE DEFENDANT, OR
32	OTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, IF:
33	(1) THE WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS WAS
34	ISSUED FOR THE ARREST OF THE DEFENDANT IN ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT
35	MIGHT STAND FOR TRIAL AND HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 5

35 MIGHT STAND FOR TRIAL AND HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 5

36 <u>YEARS;</u>

 $\mathbf{2}$

1	(2) THE WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS WAS
2	ISSUED FOR THE FAILURE OF THE DEFENDANT TO MAKE A DEFERRED PAYMENT
3	OF A FINE OR COSTS AS ORDERED BY THE COURT AND HAS REMAINED
4	<u>UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 5 YEARS;</u>
5	(3) THE WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS WAS
6	ISSUED FOR A VIOLATION OF PROBATION AND HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR
$\overline{7}$	AT LEAST 5 YEARS;
8	(4) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN ITEM (5) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE
9	WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS WAS ISSUED FOR THE
10	ARREST OF THE DEFENDANT FOR THE FAILURE OF THE DEFENDANT TO APPEAR
11	AS DIRECTED BY THE COURT AND HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 5
11	YEARS; OR
14	<u>TEARS, OR</u>
13	(5) THE DEFENDANT WAS RELEASED ON BAIL POSTED BY A
14	PRIVATE SURETY, AND THE WARRANT WAS ISSUED FOR THE ARREST OF THE
15	DEFENDANT FOR THE FAILURE OF THE DEFENDANT TO APPEAR AS DIRECTED BY
16	<u>THE COURT AND HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 10 YEARS.</u>
17	(B) (1) ON RECEIPT OF A REQUEST MADE UNDER SUBSECTION (A)(1),
18	(A)(2), (A)(3), OR (A)(4) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY:
19	(I) IF THE WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL
20	PROCESS HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR MORE THAN 5 YEARS BUT LESS
21	<u>THAN 7 YEARS, MAY PETITION THE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT</u>
22	FOR THE INVALIDATION AND DESTRUCTION OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT,
23	SUMMONS, OR OTHER PROCESS; AND
24	(II) IF THE WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL
25	PROCESS HAS REMAINED UNEXECUTED FOR AT LEAST 7 YEARS, SHALL PETITION
26	THE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT FOR THE INVALIDATION AND
27	DESTRUCTION OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER PROCESS.
28	(2) ON RECEIPT OF A REQUEST MADE UNDER SUBSECTION (A)(5)
29	OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY SHALL PETITION THE
30	Administrative Judge of the District for the invalidation and
31	DESTRUCTION OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER CRIMINAL
32	PROCESS.
33	(C) THE STATE'S ATTORNEY MAY ARGUE AGAINST THE INVALIDATION
00	

33 (C) THE STATE'S ATTORNEY MAY ARGUE AGAINST THE INVALIDATION
34 AND DESTRUCTION OF THE UNEXECUTED WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR OTHER
35 CRIMINAL PROCESS DUE TO A JUSTIFIABLE CONTINUING ACTIVE
36 INVESTIGATION OF THE CASE.

1 (D) UNLESS PRESERVATION IS DETERMINED BY THE COURT TO BE 2 JUSTIFIABLE, THE COURT SHALL ORDER THE INVALIDATION AND DESTRUCTION 3 OF AN UNEXECUTED WARRANT FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE, SUMMONS, OR 4 OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 1–605 OF THE COURTS AND 5 JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS ARTICLE.

6 (E) AN ARREST MAY NOT BE MADE UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF A 7 WARRANT OR OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS THAT HAS BEEN ORDERED 8 INVALIDATED AND DESTROYED.

9 (F) THE STATE'S ATTORNEY MAY ENTER A NOLLE PROSEQUI OR PLACE 10 THE CASE ON THE STET DOCKET AT THE TIME OF THE COURT ORDER UNDER 11 THIS SECTION.

12 (G) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION MAY BE CONSTRUED TO:

13(1) PREVENT THE REISSUANCE OF A WARRANT, SUMMONS, OR14OTHER CRIMINAL PROCESS;

15(2) AFFECT THE TIME WITHIN WHICH A PROSECUTION FOR A16MISDEMEANOR MAY BE COMMENCED; OR

17 (3) AFFECT ANY PENDING CRIMINAL CHARGE.

18 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
 19 October 1, 2012.

Approved:

Governor.

President of the Senate.

Speaker of the House of Delegates.

4