$\begin{array}{c} 2lr2376 \\ CF~HB~506 \end{array}$ 

By: Senator Raskin

Introduced and read first time: February 3, 2012

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

## A BILL ENTITLED

| 1                          | AN ACT concerning   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 3                        | Health Care Malpractice Claims – Expert Witnesses – Admissibility of Insurance Coverage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | coverage is admissible under certain circumstances at the hearing of a clair<br>the trial of an action against a health care provider for alleged medical inj<br>providing that the existence of professional liability insurance coverag<br>admissible solely for a certain purpose; and generally relating to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14 | BY adding to Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings Section 10–922 Annotated Code of Maryland (2006 Replacement Volume and 2011 Supplement)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15<br>16                   | SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17                         | Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18                         | 10-922.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21             | (A) AT THE HEARING OF A CLAIM OR THE TRIAL OF AN ACTION UNDER TITLE 3, SUBTITLE 2A OF THIS ARTICLE, THE EXISTENCE OF PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERAGE IS ADMISSIBLE IF:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23                   | (1) AN EXPERT WITNESS OFFERS OPINION TESTIMONY BEFORE A FINDER OF FACT; AND   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 1 |     |     | (   | <b>(2)</b> | <b>(</b> I <b>)</b> | TH   | <b>E</b> ] | PROF | ESSI | ONA | $\mathbf{L}$ | LIAB | ILIT | Y II | NSU] | RAN | $\mathbf{CE}$ | CAR | RIER |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------------|---------------------|------|------------|------|------|-----|--------------|------|------|------|------|-----|---------------|-----|------|
| 2 | FOR | THE | EXI | PERT       | WIT                 | NESS | IS .       | A MU | TUAI | CO  | MP           | ANY  | OR A | SE   | LF–I | NSU | RED           | EN' | TITY |
| 3 | AND |     |     |            |                     |      |            |      |      |     |              |      |      |      |      |     |               |     |      |

- 4 (II) A JUDGMENT AGAINST ANY DEFENDANT IN THE ACTION
  5 AS TO WHOM LIABILITY IS AN ISSUE IN DISPUTE WOULD BE PAID BY THE
  6 PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE CARRIER FOR THE EXPERT WITNESS.
- 7 (B) EVIDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY 8 INSURANCE COVERAGE IS ADMISSIBLE UNDER THIS SECTION SOLELY FOR THE 9 PURPOSE OF ASSESSING THE BIAS, IF ANY, OF THE EXPERT WITNESS IN 10 PROVIDING THE OPINION TESTIMONY.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2012.