Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2012 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Joint Resolution 4 (The Speaker)(By Request - Judicial Compensation Commission)

Commiss

Appropriations

Judicial Compensation Commission - Recommendations

This joint resolution proposes that judicial salaries remain at current levels in fiscal 2013 and increase for fiscal 2014 through 2016, pursuant to the recommendation of the Judicial Compensation Commission. Salaries recommended by the commission take effect automatically unless the resolution is amended by the General Assembly to decrease the salaries or the resolution is rejected within 50 days of its introduction.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: General fund expenditures increase by \$4.4 million in FY 2014; this increase reflects all affected salaries and fringe benefits, including State officials whose salaries are tied to judges. Out-years reflect future increases proposed in the joint resolution over current salary amounts. Proposed FY 2017 expenditures assume no increase over FY 2016 expenditures. Revenues are not affected.

(\$ in millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GF Expenditure	0	4.4	9.1	14.0	14.0
Net Effect	\$.0	(\$4.4)	(\$9.1)	(\$14.0)	(\$14.0)

Note:() = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

Local Effect: Minimal increase in local government expenditures in the 17 counties that tie the State's Attorney's salary to judicial salaries. Revenues are not affected.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The current salaries and recommended salaries for each year are shown in **Exhibit 1**.

Exhibit 1 Current and Proposed Judicial Salaries

	Current/				Percent Change
Position	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY2016	FY 2013-16
Court of Appeals					
Chief Judge	\$181,352	\$190,463	\$200,121	\$210,358	+ 16%
Associate Judge	162,352	171,463	181,121	191,358	+ 18%
Court of Special Appeals					
Chief Judge	152,552	161,663	171,321	181,558	+19%
Associate Judge	149,552	158,663	168,321	178,558	+19%
Circuit Courts					
Judge	140,352	149,463	159,121	169,358	+21%
District Court					
Chief Judge	149,552	158,663	168,321	178,558	+19%
Associate Judge	127,252	136,363	146,021	156,258	+23%

Current Law: The Judicial Compensation Commission, established in 1980, is required to review judicial salaries and pensions and make recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly once every four years. The General Assembly may amend a joint resolution from the commission to decrease, but not increase, any of the commission's salary recommendations. The General Assembly may not reduce a judge's salary below its current level. Failure to adopt or amend the joint resolution within 50 calendar days of its introduction results in adoption of the salaries recommended by the commission. If the General Assembly rejects any or all of the commission's recommendations, the affected judges' salaries remain unchanged, unless modified by other provisions of law.

General State employee salary increases apply to judges only in years in which judges' salaries are not increased in accordance with a resolution from the commission's recommendations.

The following officials have salaries that are tied to judicial salaries:

- the State Prosecutor and the Public Defender not less than that of a circuit court judge;
- members of the Workers' Compensation Commission (WCC) at least equal to a District Court judge, with the chair's salary being at least \$1,500 higher than the members' salaries; and
- State's Attorneys' of various counties a percentage of a circuit or District Court judge's salary, as discussed in further detail under local expenditures.

Background: The last salary increase for judges was generated by a four-year phased-in salary plan that was recommended by the commission in 2005 and implemented after the General Assembly did not adopt or amend the joint resolution containing the salary plan within 50 days after its introduction. Although the commission made recommendations for a four-year, phased-in salary plan in the 2009 and 2010 sessions, these recommendations were not adopted.

The commission met two times in 2011 to consider salary recommendations. The commission finalized its recommendations to increase judicial salaries as specified in this resolution in October 2011.

State Expenditures: If the General Assembly passes the resolution as introduced or takes no action within the 50 day time period, the salary increases recommended by the commission will take effect on July 1, 2012. This joint resolution proposes that the salaries of all Maryland judges be increased over a four-year period by \$29,006, with salaries to remain at current levels through fiscal 2013, with salary increases to begin in fiscal 2014 (based on a 6% annual increase of the average salary structure in the preceding year). The proposed increases are phased in over the four-year period for all judges as follows:

- \$9,111 in fiscal 2014;
- \$9,658 in fiscal 2015; and
- \$10,237 in fiscal 2016.

Accordingly, general fund expenditures increase in fiscal 2014 by \$4,282,235 for judicial salaries and fringe benefits.

The commission's recommendation of a \$9,111 increase for circuit court judges in fiscal 2014 also increases the salaries of the State Prosecutor and the Public Defender by that amount. Including fringe benefits, the total increase in fiscal 2014 to fund both of these salary increases is \$21,102.

The 10 members of WCC, whose salaries correspond with that of a District Court Judge, will also each receive the \$9,111 increase with the chairman receiving an additional \$1,700 (which reflects the fiscal 2013 allowance). Accordingly, general fund expenditures increase by \$107,484 in fiscal 2014 for salaries and benefits.

Out-year expenditures for the Judicial Branch as well as other State agencies affected by the resolution will reflect the salary and fringe benefit costs due to the salary increases proposed for fiscal 2015 and 2016. By fiscal 2016, when the salary proposals are fully implemented, total general fund expenditures for the Judicial Branch as well as other State agencies affected by the resolution will increase by \$14.0 million. **Exhibit 2** shows the projected cost of adopting the commission's recommendations over the next five-year period. Because the Judicial Compensation Commission may make additional recommendations, the fiscal 2017 estimate remains constant with that of fiscal 2016. The projected fiscal impact also does not factor in the costs of any additional judgeships that may be added.

Exhibit 2 Judicial Compensation Commission Salary Recommendations Fiscal 2013-2016

Total Judgeships		Current Salary/ Fiscal 2013	Proposed Fiscal 2014	Proposed Fiscal 2015	Proposed Fiscal 2016	Four-year <u>Phase-in</u>	Projected Fiscal 2017
	Court of Appeals						
1	Chief Judge	\$181,352	\$190,463	\$200,121	\$210,358	\$29,006	\$210,358
6	Judge	162,352	171,463	181,121	191,358	29,006	191,358
	Court of Special Appe	eals					
1	Chief Judge	152,552	161,663	171,321	181,558	29,006	181,558
12	Judge	149,552	158,663	168,321	178,558	29,006	178,558
157	Circuit Court	140,352	149,463	159,121	169,358	29,006	169,358
	District Court						
1	Chief Judge	149,552	158,663	168,321	178,558	29,006	178,558
111	Judge	127,252	136,363	146,021	156,258	29,006	156,258
	Average Salary	151,852	160,963	170,621	180,858		
							no additional
	Increase at 6% ¹		\$9,111	\$9,658	\$10,237	\$29,006	increase assumed
	Incremental Salaries ²		\$2,744,147	\$2,908,694	\$3,083,114	\$8,732,555	\$3,083,114
	Incremental Social Security (@ 1.45%)		39,790	42,176	44,705	126,622	44,705
	Incremental Pensions ³		1,626,883	1,724,482	1,827,936	5,178,813	1,827,936
	Incremental Fiscal In	npact	\$4,410,821	\$4,675,352	\$4,955,755	\$14,041,927	\$4,955,755

¹Increase per judge; based on average salary of prior year's judicial salary structure.

Note: Average salary is based on the current salary structure for each level of court, not the weighted average of all judges.

Source: Cheiron - Actuary to State Retirement Pension System, Social Security Administration

²Includes salary increases for Public Defender, State Prosecutor, and members of Workers Compensation Commission, whose salaries are tied to judicial salaries. Does not include incremental costs for States Attorneys, whose salaries are also tied to judicial salaries but are funded locally.

³61.18% pension rate for judges. 14.36% pension rate for all other State employees.

Local Expenditures: Minimum salaries of State's Attorneys in 17 counties are tied to the salaries of judges. Those counties and the relationships are as listed in **Exhibit 3**.

Exhibit 3 Local State's Attorneys' Salaries

	Percentage of
County	Judge's Salary*
Allegany	90%
Anne Arundel ¹	100%
Calvert	90%
Caroline	80%
Carroll	80%
Cecil	95%
Charles ²	100%
Dorchester	80%
Frederick ²	100%
Howard	100%
Kent	80%
Queen Anne's	100%
St. Mary's	90%
Talbot	80%
Washington	90%
Wicomico	90%
Worcester	90%

^{*}Percentage of a District Court judge's salary, unless otherwise specified.

Salaries for State's Attorney's in the remaining seven jurisdictions (Baltimore City and the Baltimore, Garrett, Harford, Montgomery, Prince George's, and Somerset counties) are either set locally or specified in State law and are not tied to judicial salaries.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

¹Percentage of a circuit court judge's salary as of December 31, 2002.

²Percentage of a circuit court judge's salary.

Cross File: SJ 3 (Chair, Budget and Taxation Committee)(By Request - Judicial Compensation Commission) - Budget and Taxation and Judicial Proceedings.

Information Source(s): Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 6, 2012

ncs/kdm

Analysis by: Jennifer K. Botts

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510

(301) 970-5510