## **HOUSE BILL 687**

D4HB 1165/12 - JUD

By: Delegates Dumais, Carter Carter, Dumais, Anderson, Clippinger, Conaway, McComas, Mitchell, B. Robinson, Smigiel, Valderrama, Valentino-Smith, and Waldstreicher

Introduced and read first time: February 4, 2013

Assigned to: Judiciary

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 16, 2013

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

## Commission on Child Custody Decision Making

- FOR the purpose of establishing the Commission on Child Custody Decision Making; providing for the composition, chair, and staffing of the Commission; prohibiting a member of the Commission from receiving certain compensation, but authorizing the reimbursement of certain expenses; requiring the Commission to perform certain duties; requiring the Commission to be appointed, organized, and meet by a certain date; requiring the Commission to submit certain reports to the Governor and the General Assembly on or before certain dates; providing for the termination of this Act; and generally relating to the Commission on Child Custody Decision Making.
- 12 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 13 MARYLAND, That:
  - (a) There is a Commission on Child Custody Decision Making.
- 15 (b) The Commission consists of the following members:
- 16 (1) two members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee, 17 appointed by the President of the Senate;

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



31

32

$\frac{1}{2}$	(2) two members of the House Judiciary Committee, appointed by the Speaker of the House;		
3 4 5 6	(3) two circuit court judges and one District Court judge from diverse geographical regions of the State, each of whom has experience hearing family law domestic violence, or child custody cases, appointed by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals;		
7 8	(4) one experienced family law master, appointed by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals; and		
9 10	(5) the following members, appointed by the Governor in consultation with the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House:		
11 12 13 14	(i) two representatives of the Maryland State Bar Association Family Law Section from diverse geographical regions of the State, at least one of whom shall be from Baltimore City and have experience representing fathers in contested custody matters;		
15	(ii) one representative of a domestic violence advocacy group;		
16	(iii) one representative of a fathers' rights group;		
17	(iv) one representative of the Women's Law Center;		
18	(v) one educator on family law;		
19 20 21 22	(vi) three licensed mental health workers who have experience with family law or child custody cases, at least one of whom shall be a psychologis and one of whom shall have expertise in the area of the study of the African American family;		
23 24	(vii) one representative $\frac{1}{2}$ from of the Children's Rights Fund of Maryland; $\frac{1}{2}$		
25 26	(viii) one representative of the Maryland Commission of Disabilities; and		
27 28 29	(viii) (ix) one sociologist from the University of Maryland School of Social Work, recommended by the President of the University of Maryland Baltimore.		
30	(c) The Governor shall designate the chair of the Commission.		

The Department of Family Administration in the Administrative Office of

the Courts shall provide staff for the Commission.

1	(e)	A me	mber of the Commission:
2		(1)	may not receive compensation as a member of the Commission; but
3 4	State Travel	(2) l Regul	is entitled to reimbursement for expenses under the Standard ations, as provided in the State budget.
5	(f)	The C	Commission shall:
6 7	decision mal	(1) king in	study the practice, principles, and process for child custody Maryland;
8 9 10 11		blic in	by December 31, 2013, hold one hearing each in Baltimore City, rince George's County, Western Maryland, and the Eastern Shore to out and participation by interested persons on child custody decision ad;
12 13	custody orde	(3) ers mo	study how to make the establishment and modification of child re uniform, fair, and equitable;
14		(4)	study how to reduce litigation in child custody proceedings;
15 16	and ways th	(5) e cour	study and consider the adverse effects of child custody litigation system can minimize those effects;
17 18	relationship	(6) s with	study how to promote and ensure that children have ongoing each parent;
19 20	child's life;	(7)	study how to maximize the involvement of both parents in each
21 22	and the imp	(8) act of j	study the advantages and disadvantages of joint physical custody oint physical custody on the health and well-being of children;
23 24	decisions in	(9) Maryl	study whether or not there is any gender discrimination in custody and and, if so, how to address such discrimination;
25 26 27	determination		study statutes from other states used for child custody d assess whether those statutes improve the quality of decisions in s;
28 29 30	_	_	study whether the Annotated Code of Maryland should contain a child custody decision making that would include definitions and ration in such decisions;
31		(12)	study case management systems for family law cases in Maryland

and other states and study how to improve timely access to the court for temporary,

32

4 5

6

7

15

16

17 18

19

26

2728

29

30

31 32

33

pendente lite custody disputes, initial custody determinations, and custody modification proceedings, and emergency proceedings, and how to expedite denial of visitation proceedings:

- (13) study the accountability of Maryland courts when using interventions such as protective orders, whether the courts should adopt processes to allow for compliance hearings, and the impact of domestic violence proceedings on temporary and final custody determinations;
- 8 (14) make recommendations regarding the most effective manner in 9 which to facilitate cooperative decision making by parents involved in child custody 10 proceedings as it relates to their children;
- 11 (15) study the training programs currently available to Maryland 12 judges regarding child custody decision making and assess how to improve the 13 training, including making it more culturally sensitive and diverse, and how to make 14 the training more available to all judges on a consistent, ongoing basis;
  - (16) review the literature and research on decision—making responsibility and physical custody determinations, including child development literature and research on the effect of separation and divorce, and the literature and research on decision—making responsibility and physical custody determinations when the parents in the case were never married and may not have lived together;
- 20 (17) study standardization of the language used by courts in making 21 child custody determinations for clarity and to eliminate exclusionary or 22 discriminatory terms;
- 23 (18) study how to ensure that child custody determinations involving 24 parents with mental health issues or sensory or physical disabilities are handled in a 25 fair and even manner based on actual evidence and not presumed limitations;
  - (18) (19) gather quantitative and qualitative data on the total number of contested custody cases per jurisdiction, including whether the court awarded joint physical custody to the parties or primary physical custody to the mother or the father over a 2—year period; and
  - (19) (20) gather quantitative data on whether pro bono legal resources are equally available for petitioners and respondents in domestic violence protective order proceedings in Maryland.
    - (g) The Commission shall:
- 34 (1) be appointed, organized, and begin its deliberations no later than 35 September 1, 2013;

President of the Senate.
Speaker of the House of Delegates.
Governor.
Approved:
July 1, 2013. It shall remain effective for a period of 1 year and 6 months and, at the end of December 31, 2014, with no further action required by the General Assembly this Act shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.
Article, the General Assembly, on or before December 1, 2014.  SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effective of the control of th
(3) submit a final report of its findings and any recommendations for legislation to the Governor and, in accordance with § 2–1246 of the State Government
the Governor and, in accordance with § 2–1246 of the State Government Article, the General Assembly, on or before December 31, 2013; and