

# SENATE BILL 958

F1, B1, B5

3lr3184

---

By: **Senator Madaleno**

Introduced and read first time: February 14, 2013

Assigned to: Rules

---

## A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Education – State Funding – Operating and Capital Funds**

3 FOR the purpose of establishing the rate by which the target per pupil foundation  
4 amount is increased in certain fiscal years; requiring that certain county boards  
5 of education receive a certain grant; repealing a certain provision that allowed  
6 for the reduction of a grant under certain circumstances; establishing a certain  
7 State goal to provide at least a certain amount of funds in each fiscal year for  
8 certain purposes; and generally relating to education funding in the State.

9 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,  
10 Article – Education  
11 Section 5–202(a)(1)  
12 Annotated Code of Maryland  
13 (2008 Replacement Volume and 2012 Supplement)

14 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,  
15 Article – Education  
16 Section 5–202(a)(13) and (f) and 5–301(c)  
17 Annotated Code of Maryland  
18 (2008 Replacement Volume and 2012 Supplement)

19 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF  
20 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

21 **Article – Education**

22 5–202.

23 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

24 (13) “Target per pupil foundation amount” means:

---

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 (i) In fiscal years 2008, 2009, and 2010, \$6,694;

2 (ii) Except as provided in items (iii) and (iv) of this paragraph,  
3 in subsequent fiscal years:

4 1. The target per pupil foundation amount for the prior  
5 fiscal year increased by the same percentage as the lesser of:

6 A. The increase in the implicit price deflator for State  
7 and local government expenditures for the second prior fiscal year;

8 B. The Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers for  
9 the Washington–Baltimore metropolitan area, or any successor index, for the second  
10 prior fiscal year; or

11 C. 5%; or

12 2. If there is no increase in the implicit price deflator for  
13 State and local government expenditures for the second prior fiscal year or in the  
14 Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers for the Washington–Baltimore  
15 metropolitan area, or any successor index, for the second prior fiscal year, the target  
16 per pupil foundation amount for the prior fiscal year;

17 (iii) In fiscal year 2012, \$6,694; and

18 (iv) In each of fiscal years 2013 [through 2015] **AND 2014**:

19 1. The target per pupil foundation amount for the prior  
20 fiscal year increased by the same percentage as the lesser of:

21 A. The increase in the implicit price deflator for State  
22 and local government expenditures for the second prior fiscal year;

23 B. The Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers for  
24 the Washington–Baltimore metropolitan area, or any successor index, for the second  
25 prior fiscal year; or

26 C. 1%; or

27 2. If there is no increase in the implicit price deflator for  
28 State and local government expenditures for the second prior fiscal year or in the  
29 Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers for the Washington–Baltimore  
30 metropolitan area, or any successor index, for the second prior fiscal year, the target  
31 per pupil foundation amount for the prior fiscal year.

1 (f) (1) In this subsection, “GCEI adjustment” means the foundation  
2 program for each county multiplied by:

- 3 (i) 0.000 in Allegany;
- 4 (ii) 0.018 in Anne Arundel;
- 5 (iii) 0.042 in Baltimore City;
- 6 (iv) 0.008 in Baltimore;
- 7 (v) 0.021 in Calvert;
- 8 (vi) 0.000 in Caroline;
- 9 (vii) 0.014 in Carroll;
- 10 (viii) 0.000 in Cecil;
- 11 (ix) 0.020 in Charles;
- 12 (x) 0.000 in Dorchester;
- 13 (xi) 0.024 in Frederick;
- 14 (xii) 0.000 in Garrett;
- 15 (xiii) 0.000 in Harford;
- 16 (xiv) 0.015 in Howard;
- 17 (xv) 0.010 in Kent;
- 18 (xvi) 0.034 in Montgomery;
- 19 (xvii) 0.048 in Prince George’s;
- 20 (xviii) 0.011 in Queen Anne’s;
- 21 (xix) 0.002 in St. Mary’s;
- 22 (xx) 0.000 in Somerset;
- 23 (xxi) 0.000 in Talbot;
- 24 (xxii) 0.000 in Washington;

1 (xxiii) 0.000 in Wicomico; and

2 (xxiv) 0.000 in Worcester.

3 (2) [To the extent funds are provided in the State budget for the  
4 grants under this subsection, in] **IN** addition to the State share of the foundation  
5 program, each county board [may] **SHALL** receive a grant to reflect regional  
6 differences in the cost of education that are due to factors outside of the control of the  
7 local jurisdiction.

8 (3) [Subject to paragraph (4) of this subsection, the] **THE** amount of  
9 the grant to each county board under this subsection shall equal the GCEI adjustment  
10 for the county board multiplied times:

11 (i) 0.50 in fiscal year 2006;

12 (ii) 0.62 in fiscal year 2007;

13 (iii) 0.74 in fiscal year 2008;

14 (iv) 0.86 in fiscal year 2009; and

15 (v) 1.00 in fiscal year 2010 and each fiscal year thereafter.

16 [(4) For any fiscal year, if sufficient funds are not provided in the State  
17 budget to fully fund the grants provided under this subsection, the grant to each  
18 county board under this subsection shall equal the amount determined under  
19 paragraph (3) of this subsection multiplied by a fraction:

20 (i) The numerator of which is the amount provided in the State  
21 budget to fund the grants; and

22 (ii) The denominator of which is the sum of the amounts  
23 calculated under paragraph (3) of this subsection for all the county boards.]

24 5-301.

25 (c) **(1) IT IS THE GOAL OF THE STATE TO PROVIDE AT LEAST**  
26 **\$350,000,000 IN EACH FISCAL YEAR TO FUND PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION**  
27 **OR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT COSTS.**

28 **(2)** The State shall pay the costs in excess of available federal funds of  
29 the State share of public school construction projects and public school capital  
30 improvements in each county if:

1                   **[(1)] (I)**    The projects or improvements have been approved by the  
2 Board of Public Works; and

3                   **[(2)] (II)**   Contracts have been executed on or after July 1, 1971 for the  
4 projects or improvements.

5                   SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect  
6 July 1, 2013.