SENATE BILL 27

M4, P3 4lr0660 (PRE–FILED) CF 4lr1165

By: Senator Mathias

Requested: October 16, 2013

Introduced and read first time: January 8, 2014

Assigned to: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs

A BILL ENTITLED

4	A TAT	AOID	•
1	AN	ACT	concerning

2

3

Nutrient Management – Phosphorus Risk Assessment Tool or Index – Economic Impact Analysis

4 FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Agriculture to prepare an economic 5 impact analysis before making any change to a certain phosphorus risk 6 assessment tool or index; requiring the economic impact analysis to estimate 7 the cost or economic benefit of a proposed change to a phosphorus risk 8 assessment tool or index to a certain person; requiring the economic impact 9 analysis to include estimates directly related to certain effects of the proposed 10 change; requiring the Department to consult with certain persons in the 11 preparation of the economic impact analysis; requiring the Department to 12 include a copy of the economic impact analysis when the Department submits a 13 certain regulation to the Joint Committee on Administrative, Executive, and Legislative Review; prohibiting, under certain circumstances, the Department 14 15 from implementing the Phosphorus Management Tool until the Department 16 completes a certain economic impact analysis, until the Department submits a 17 certain report to the General Assembly on or before a certain date, and after the 18 adjournment of a certain regular legislative session; defining certain terms; and 19 generally relating to the management of phosphorus from agricultural sources.

20 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

21 Article – Agriculture

22 Section 8–801(a) and (g)

23 Annotated Code of Maryland

24 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)

25 BY adding to

26 Article – Agriculture

27 Section 8–804.1

28 Annotated Code of Maryland

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)

- 2 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 3 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 4 Article Agriculture
- 5 8–801.
- 6 (a) In this subtitle the following words have the meanings indicated.
- 7 (g) "Nutrient management plan" means a plan prepared under this subtitle
- 8 by a certified nutrient management consultant to manage the amount, placement,
- 9 timing, and application of animal waste, commercial fertilizer, sludge, or other plant
- 10 nutrients to prevent pollution by transport of bioavailable nutrients and to maintain
- 11 productivity.
- 12 **8–804.1.**
- 13 (A) THIS SECTION APPLIES TO A RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL OR INDEX
- 14 **THAT IS:**
- 15 (1) USED TO IDENTIFY AREAS WHERE EXCESS PHOSPHORUS IS
- 16 PRESENT OR A HIGH POTENTIAL FOR PHOSPHORUS LOSS EXISTS;
- 17 (2) INCLUDED IN THE MARYLAND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT
- 18 MANUAL; AND
- 19 (3) INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN REGULATIONS ADOPTED
- 20 BY THE DEPARTMENT.
- 21 (B) BEFORE MAKING ANY CHANGE TO A RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL OR
- 22 INDEX DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT
- 23 SHALL PREPARE A FULL ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED
- 24 CHANGE.
- 25 (C) (1) AN ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REQUIRED UNDER THIS
- 26 SECTION SHALL ESTIMATE THE COST OR ECONOMIC BENEFIT OF THE PROPOSED
- 27 CHANGE TO A PERSON WHO IS REQUIRED TO HAVE A NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT
- 28 PLAN FOR NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS UNDER § 8–803.1 OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 29 (2) AS APPROPRIATE, AN ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS
- 30 REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE ESTIMATES DIRECTLY
- 31 RELATING TO THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE RISK
- 32 ASSESSMENT TOOL OR INDEX ON:

1	(I) THE COST OF IMPLEMENTING A NUTRIENT
2	MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED OR UPDATED BASED ON THE RISK
3	ASSESSMENT TOOL OR INDEX;
	,
4	(II) EFFICIENCY IN THE PRODUCTION OF AGRICULTURAL
5	PRODUCTS;
0	11000015,
6	(III) THE WORKFORCE; AND
O	(III) THE WORKFORCE, AND
7	(IV) CADIMAL INVESTMENT MAYAMION COMPENIMION AND
7	(IV) CAPITAL INVESTMENT, TAXATION, COMPETITION, AND
8	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.
_	
9	(3) AS APPROPRIATE, IN PREPARING AN ECONOMIC IMPACT
10	ANALYSIS REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL CONSULT
11	WITH:
12	(I) OTHER UNITS OF STATE GOVERNMENT;
13	(II) UNITS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT;
14	(III) MEMBERS OF THE AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY; AND
15	(IV) REPRESENTATIVES OF THE COMMERCIAL LAWN CARE,
16	BIOSOLIDS, AND AGRICULTURAL FERTILIZER INDUSTRIES.
17	(D) WHEN THE DEPARTMENT SUBMITS A REGULATION PROPOSING A
18	CHANGE TO A RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL OR INDEX DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (A)
	\cdot
19	OF THIS SECTION TO THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE, EXECUTIVE,
20	AND LEGISLATIVE REVIEW UNDER § 10–110 OR § 10–111 OF THE STATE
21	GOVERNMENT ARTICLE, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INCLUDE A COPY OF THE
22	ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION.
23	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:
24	(a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.
25	(2) "Department" means the Department of Agriculture.
26	(3) "Tool" means the Phosphorus Management Tool developed by the
27	Department to replace the Phosphorus Site Index.

31

June 1, 2014.

1 2 3	` '	artment regulations adopting the Tool are finalized before the s Act, the Department shall suspend implementation of the Tool		
4 5	Tool;	the Department prepares a full economic impact analysis of the		
6 7 8	General Assembly,	on or before December 1, 2014, the Department reports to the in accordance with § 2–1246 of the State Government Article, on economic impact analysis required under this section; and		
9 10	(3) a General Assembly.	after the adjournment of the 2015 regular legislative session of the		
11 12 13 14	(c) (1) The economic impact analysis required under this section shall estimate the cost or economic benefit of the Tool to a person who is required to have a nutrient management plan for nitrogen and phosphorus under § 8–803.1 of the Agriculture Article.			
15 16	(2) As appropriate, an economic impact analysis required under this section shall include estimates directly relating to the effects of the Tool on:			
17 18		(i) the cost of implementing a nutrient management plan d based on the Tool;		
19	((ii) efficiency in the production of agricultural products;		
20	((iii) the workforce; and		
21 22	development.	(iv) capital investment, taxation, competition, and economic		
23 24		As appropriate, in preparing an economic impact analysis required he Department shall consult with:		
25	((i) other units of State government;		
26	((ii) units of local government;		
27	((iii) members of the agricultural community; and		
28 29	agricultural fertilize	(iv) representatives of the commercial lawn care, biosolids, and er industries.		
30	SECTION 3.	AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect		