4lr0640

## By: Senators Conway and Pugh, Pugh, Benson, Ferguson, McFadden, and Muse

Introduced and read first time: January 14, 2014 Assigned to: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments Senate action: Adopted Read second time: February 5, 2014

#### CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1 AN ACT concerning

## Maryland Higher Education Commission – Review of Duplicative Academic Program Proposals – Revisions

- 4 FOR the purpose of requiring the Maryland Higher Education Commission to review  $\mathbf{5}$ certain program proposals through certain processes, including certain 6 testimony and the weighing of evidence; requiring the Commission to adopt 7certain regulations that provide for the receipt of certain comments and 8 objections under certain circumstances; altering certain circumstances under 9 which the Commission must act on certain program proposals before a proposal 10 is deemed approved; requiring the Commission to make certain determinations 11 concerning certain programs under certain circumstances; requiring the 12Commission to include certain findings as part of certain determinations; authorizing the Commission to take certain actions as a result of certain 13 determinations; providing that certain determinations of the Commission 14 concerning certain duplication of academic programs are subject to judicial 15review in the circuit court in accordance with certain rules and certain 16 17provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act: providing a certain exception to 18 a certain prohibition; requiring the Commission to make certain determinations through certain processes, including receiving certain testimony and the 1920weighing of evidence: defining certain terms; and generally relating to the 21review of duplicative academic program proposals by the Maryland Higher 22Education Commission.
- 23 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

#### EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



1 Article – Education  $\mathbf{2}$ Section 11-206 and 11-206.1 3 Annotated Code of Maryland 4 (2008 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 7 **Article – Education** 11 - 206.8 9 This section does not apply to: (a) 10 (1)New programs proposed to be implemented by public and private nonprofit institutions of higher education using existing program resources in 11 12accordance with § 11-206.1 of this subtitle; and 13(2)Programs offered by institutions of higher education that operate in the State without a certificate of approval in accordance with § 11–202.1(b) of this 1415subtitle. 16 Prior to the proposed date of implementation, the governing body (b)(1)of an institution of postsecondary education shall submit to the Commission each 17proposal for: 1819(i) A new program; or 20(ii) A substantial modification of an existing program. 21(2)The Commission shall review each such proposal and: 22With respect to each public institution of postsecondary (i) education, either approve or disapprove the proposal; 2324Except as provided in  $\S$  16–108(c) of this article, with respect (ii) to each private nonprofit or for-profit institution of higher education, either 2526recommend that the proposal be implemented or that the proposal not be implemented; and 2728With respect to a private career school, either approve or (iii) 29disapprove the proposal. 30 THE COMMISSION SHALL (3) ADOPT REGULATIONS THAT **PROVIDE FOR:** 31

**SENATE BILL 169** 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

THE RECEIPT OF COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS FROM 1 **(I)**  $\mathbf{2}$ APPROPRIATE PARTIES FOLLOWING SUBMISSION OF A COMPLETED PROPOSAL; 3 AND 4 **(II)** THE REVIEW OF OBJECTIONS RECEIVED BY THE  $\mathbf{5}$ **COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH A DELIBERATIVE FACT-FINDING PROCESS,** 6 INCLUDING RECEIVING WITNESS TESTIMONY AND THE WEIGHING OF EVIDENCE. 7**[**(3)**] (4)** If the Commission DOES NOT RECEIVE ANY COMMENTS 8 OR OBJECTIONS TO THE COMPLETED PROPOSAL AND fails to act within 60 days of 9 the date of submission of the completed proposal, the proposal shall be deemed 10 approved. 11 **[**(4)**] (5)** Except as provided in paragraph [(3)] (4) of this subsection, 12a public institution of postsecondary education and private career school may not implement a proposal without the prior approval of the Commission. 13 14Except as provided in paragraph [(3)] (4) of this **[**(5)**] (6)** (i) 15subsection, and subject to subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph, a program that has not 16 received a positive recommendation by the Commission may be implemented by: 171. Subject to the provisions of § 17-105 of this article, a private nonprofit institution of higher education; or 18 192.A for-profit institution of higher education. 20(ii) If a private nonprofit or for-profit institution of higher 21education implements a proposal despite the recommendation from the Commission 22that a program not be implemented, the institution shall notify both prospective 23students of the program and enrolled students in the program that the program has 24not been recommended for implementation by the Commission. 25If the Commission disapproves a proposal, the **[**(6)**] (7)** (i) Commission shall provide to the governing body that submits the proposal a written 26explanation of the reasons for the disapproval. 2728After revising a proposal to address the Commission's (ii) 29reasons for disapproval, the governing body may submit the revised proposal to the 30 Commission for approval. 31(c) Prior to discontinuation, each institution of postsecondary (1)32education that proposes to discontinue an existing program shall provide written 33 notification to the Commission specifying: 34The name of the program; and (i)

	4 SENATE BILL 169	
1	(ii) The expected date of discontinuation.	
$2 \\ 3 \\ 4$	(2) By rule or regulation, the Commission may require the payment by a private career school of a refund to any student or enrollee who, because of the discontinuation of an ongoing program, is unable to complete such program.	
$5 \\ 6$	(d) The Commission shall review and make recommendations on programs in private nonprofit and for-profit institutions of higher education.	
7 8	(e) (1) (I) In this subsection[, "governing] THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.	
9 10	(II) "GOVERNING board" includes the board of trustees of a community college.	
11 12 13	(III) "SOUND EDUCATIONAL JUSTIFICATION" MEANS THAT A PROGRAM THAT CREATES UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION CANNOT BE PRACTICABLY ELIMINATED OR ESTABLISHED BY LESS SEGREGATIVE MEANS.	
$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 15 \end{array}$	(IV) "UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION" MEANS THE OFFERING BY TWO OR MORE INSTITUTIONS OF:	
16 17	1. THE SAME NONESSENTIAL OR NONCORE PROGRAMS;	
18 19	2. NONBASIC LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES COURSEWORK AT THE BACHELOR'S LEVEL; OR	
$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$	3. All duplication at the master's level and above.	
$\begin{array}{c} 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	(2) The Commission shall adopt regulations establishing standards for determining whether 2 or more programs are unreasonably duplicative.	
24 25 26 27	(3) The Commission may review existing programs at public institutions of postsecondary education if the Commission has reason to believe that academic programs are unreasonably duplicative or inconsistent with an institution's adopted mission.	
28 29 30	(4) The Commission may make a determination that an unreasonable duplication of programs exists on its own initiative or after receipt of a request for determination from any directly affected public institution of postsecondary education.	
$\frac{31}{32}$	(5) (I) THE COMMISSION SHALL MAKE A DETERMINATION WHETHER AN UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION OF PROGRAMS EXISTS AFTER	

RECEIPT OF A REQUEST FOR SUCH A DETERMINATION FROM BOWIE STATE 1  $\mathbf{2}$ UNIVERSITY, COPPIN STATE UNIVERSITY, MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, OR 3 THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, EASTERN SHORE. 4 **(II)** IF THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT AN  $\mathbf{5}$ **UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION OF PROGRAMS EXISTS UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I)** 6 OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE COMMISSION SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER THE 7 UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION HAS SOUND EDUCATIONAL JUSTIFICATION. 8 (III) THE COMMISSION SHALL DETERMINE THAT THE 9 **UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION IS UNJUSTIFIED IF THE PROGRAM:** 10 1. DOES NOT HAVE SOUND **EDUCATIONAL** 11 JUSTIFICATION; AND 122. VIOLATES THE STATE'S AGREEMENT WITH THE **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS OR** 13THE STATE'S EQUAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY OBLIGATIONS UNDER STATE 14**OR FEDERAL LAW.** 1516 (IV) A DETERMINATION OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THIS 17PARAGRAPH SHALL INCLUDE THE CRITERIA USED BY THE COMMISSION IN 18 MAKING THE DETERMINATION. 19**[**(5)**] (6)** (i) If the Commission makes a determination under 20paragraph (4) **OR (5)** of this subsection the Commission may: 211. Make recommendations to a governing board on the 22continuation or modification of the programs; 232. Require any affected governing board to submit a plan 24to resolve the duplication; and 253. Negotiate, as necessary, with any affected governing board until the unreasonable **OR UNNECESSARY** duplication is eliminated. 2627Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (i) of this (ii) 28paragraph, if the Commission determines that 2 or more existing programs offered by 29institutions under the governance of different governing boards are unreasonably OR 30 UNNECESSARILY duplicative, the governing boards of the institutions of postsecondary education at which the programs are offered shall have 180 days from 31the date of the Commission's determination to formulate and present to the 3233 Commission a joint plan to eliminate the duplication.

1 (iii) If in the Commission's judgment the plan satisfactorily 2 eliminates the duplication, the governing board of the affected institutions shall be so 3 notified and shall take appropriate steps to implement the plan.

4 (iv) If in the Commission's judgment the plan does not 5 satisfactorily eliminate the duplication, or if no plan is jointly submitted within the 6 time period specified in paragraph [(6)] (8) of this subsection, the governing board of 7 the affected institutions shall be so notified. The Commission may then seek to 8 eliminate the duplication by revoking the authority of a public institution of 9 postsecondary education to offer the unreasonably OR UNNECESSARILY duplicative 10 program.

# (7) A DECISION OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THIS SUBSECTION BASED ON A REQUEST UNDER PARAGRAPH (5) OF THIS SUBSECTION IS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN ACCORDANCE WITH MARYLAND RULE 7–201 ET SEQ. AND § 10–222 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.

[(6)] (8) (i) Prior to imposing a sanction under paragraph [(5)]
(6) of this subsection, the Commission shall give notice of the proposed sanction to the governing board of each affected institution.

18 (ii) 1. Within 20 days of receipt of the notice, any affected 19 institution may request an opportunity to meet with the Commission and present 20 objections.

21 2. If timely requested, the Commission shall provide
22 such opportunity prior to the Commission's decision to impose a sanction.

(iii) [The] EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (7) OF THIS
 SUBSECTION, THE Commission's decision shall be final and is not subject to further
 administrative appeal or judicial review.

- 26 11-206.1.
- 27 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.
- 28 (2) "Public institution of higher education" means:
- 29 (i) A public senior higher education institution; and
- 30 (ii) A community college.

31 (3) "Private nonprofit institution of higher education" has the meaning
32 stated in § 10–101(k) of this article.

$\frac{1}{2}$	(b) (1) to establish a new	A president of a public institution of higher education may propose v program or abolish an existing program if the action:	
$\frac{3}{4}$	statement under	(i) Is consistent with the institution's adopted mission Subtitle 3 of this title; and	
$5\\6$	of the institution.	(ii) Can be implemented within the existing program resources	
7 8	(2) may propose to es	A president of a private nonprofit institution of higher education stablish a new program if the action:	
9 10	official catalog of	(i) Is consistent with the mission statement published in the the private nonprofit institution; and	
$\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$	institution.	(ii) Can be implemented within the existing resources of the	
$13 \\ 14 \\ 15$	(3) The president of a public institution of higher education shall report any programs that are proposed to be established or abolished in accordance with paragraph (1) of this subsection to:		
16		(i) The institution's governing board; and	
17		(ii) The Maryland Higher Education Commission.	
18 19 20		The president of a private nonprofit institution of higher education programs that are proposed to be established in accordance with his subsection to the Commission.	
$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	(5) notify all other in	Upon receipt of a proposed new program, the Commission shall stitutions of higher education in the State.	
23	(c) The	governing board of a public institution of higher education shall:	
24	(1)	Review the actions taken under subsection (b) of this section;	
$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 26 \end{array}$	(2) president:	Ensure that any new program proposed to be established by a	
$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28 \end{array}$	statement under	(i) Is consistent with the institution's approved mission Subtitle 3 of this title;	
$29 \\ 30$	Maryland State P	(ii) Meets a regional or statewide need consistent with the lan for Postsecondary Education;	

1 (iii) Meets criteria for the quality of new programs, developed in 2 consultation with the Commission; and

3 (iv) Can be implemented within the existing program resources 4 of the institution, verified by a process established in consultation with the 5 Commission.

6 (d) The Board of Regents of the University System of Maryland shall approve 7 the proposed new program within 60 days if the program meets the criteria in 8 subsection (c)(2) of this section, subject to the provisions of subsections (e) and (f) of 9 this section.

10 (e) Within 30 days of receipt of a notice of an institution's intent to establish 11 a new program in accordance with subsection (b) of this section, the Commission may 12 file, or the institutions of higher education in the State may file with the Commission, 13 an objection to implementation of a proposed program provided the objection is based 14 on:

15 (1) Inconsistency of the proposed program with the institution's 16 approved mission for a public institution of higher education and the mission 17 statement published in the official catalog of a private nonprofit institution of higher 18 education;

19 (2) Not meeting a regional or statewide need consistent with the 20 Maryland State Plan for Postsecondary Education;

21 (3) Unreasonable program duplication which would cause 22 demonstrable harm to another institution; [or]

## 23(4)UNNECESSARY PROGRAM DUPLICATION AS DETERMINED BY24THE COMMISSION UNDER § 11–206(E)(5) OF THIS SUBTITLE; OR

[(4)] (5) Violation of the State's equal educational opportunity
 obligations under State and federal law.

(f) (1) If an objection is filed under subsection (e) of this section by the Commission or an institution within 30 days of receipt of a notice of an institution's intent to establish a new program, the Commission shall immediately notify the institution's governing board and president.

(2) [The] THROUGH A DELIBERATIVE FACT-FINDING PROCESS,
 INCLUDING RECEIVING WITNESS TESTIMONY AND THE WEIGHING OF EVIDENCE,
 THE Commission shall determine if an institution's objection is justified based on the
 criteria in subsection (e) of this section.

1 (3) An objection shall be accompanied by detailed information 2 supporting the reasons for the objection.

3 (4) If the Commission determines that an objection is justified, the 4 Commission shall negotiate with the institution's governing board and president to 5 modify the proposed program in order to resolve the objection.

6 (5) If the objection cannot be resolved within 30 days of receipt of an 7 objection, the Commission shall make a final determination on approval of the new 8 program for a public institution of higher education or a final recommendation on 9 implementation for a private nonprofit institution of higher education.

10 (6) A DECISION OF THE COMMISSION UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, 11 AFTER AN OBJECTION UNDER SUBSECTION (E)(4) OR (5) OF THIS SECTION, IS 12 SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN ACCORDANCE WITH 13 MARYLAND RULE 7–201 ET SEQ. AND § 10–222 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT 14 ARTICLE.

15 (g)

28

29

30

The Commission shall:

16 (i) Identify programs established under subsection (b) of this
 17 section that are inconsistent with the State Plan for Higher Education; and

18 (ii) Identify low productivity programs at public institutions of19 higher education.

20 (2) If the Commission identifies any programs that meet the criteria 21 set forth in paragraph (1) of this subsection, the Commission shall notify the president 22 of the institution.

(3) If the Commission notifies a president of an institution under
paragraph (2) of this subsection, within 60 days the president of the institution shall
provide to the Commission in writing:

- 26 (i) An action plan to abolish or modify the program; or
- 27 (ii) Justification for the continuation of the program.

(1)

(h) The Commission and the governing boards of the public institutions of higher education shall jointly develop a definition and accepted criteria for

31 (i) The Commission shall:

determining low productivity programs.

(1) Monitor the program development and review process established
 under this section;

1 (2) Report annually to the Governor and, in accordance with § 2–1246 2 of the State Government Article, the General Assembly on the nature and extent of 3 any duplication or proliferation of programs; and

4 (3) Make available a copy of the report under item (2) of this 5 subsection to the public institutions of higher education and the private nonprofit 6 institutions of higher education.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
October 1, 2014.

Approved:

Governor.

President of the Senate.

Speaker of the House of Delegates.