

SENATE BILL 778

J1, C2

4r2327
CF 4r2328

By: **Senators Montgomery, Benson, Feldman, Forehand, Madaleno, Manno,
Raskin, and Simonaire**

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2014

Assigned to: Finance

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Health – General – Genetically Engineered Food – Labeling Requirements**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring certain foods that are entirely or partially produced with
4 genetic engineering to display a certain label beginning on a certain date;
5 requiring a manufacturer to include a certain label on certain foods; requiring a
6 supplier to include a certain label on a container used for packaging, holding, or
7 transporting certain foods; requiring a retailer to place a certain label on a shelf
8 or bin containing certain foods; authorizing the Attorney General to bring an
9 action to enjoin a violation of this Act; authorizing an injured resident of the
10 State to bring an action to enjoin a violation of this Act under certain
11 circumstances; providing that certain enforcement provisions do not apply to a
12 certain manufacturer, supplier, retailer, or farmer under certain circumstances;
13 authorizing the court to award certain costs to a prevailing resident under
14 certain circumstances; providing a certain defense for a retailer; specifying
15 when certain raw foods or packaged foods have not been produced with the
16 knowing or intentional use of genetic engineering; requiring the Department of
17 Health and Mental Hygiene to adopt certain regulations; making the provisions
18 of this Act severable; stating certain findings of the General Assembly; stating
19 the purpose of this Act; defining certain terms; and generally relating to
20 genetically engineered food.

21 BY adding to

22 Article – Health – General

23 Section 21–1001 through 21–1006 to be under the new part “Part I. Genetically
24 Engineered Food”

25 Annotated Code of Maryland

26 (2009 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)

27 Preamble

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 WHEREAS, Maryland consumers have the right to make informed purchasing
2 decisions and to know whether the foods they purchase were produced with genetic
3 engineering; and

4 WHEREAS, Labeling is necessary to ensure that Maryland consumers are fully
5 and reliably informed about the products they purchase and consume; and

6 WHEREAS, Labels provide informed consent and prevent consumer deception;
7 and

8 WHEREAS, Polls consistently show that the vast majority of the public wants
9 to know whether food was produced with genetic engineering; now, therefore,

10 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
11 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

12 **Article – Health – General**

13 **PART I. GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD.**

14 **21-1001.**

15 (A) IN THIS PART THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
16 INDICATED.

17 (B) “GENETIC ENGINEERING” OR “GENETICALLY ENGINEERED” MEANS
18 THE PROCESS OF ALTERING THE GENETIC MATERIAL OF FOOD THROUGH:

19 (1) IN VITRO ACID TECHNIQUES, INCLUDING:

20 (I) RECOMBINANT DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID (DNA);

21 (II) RIBONUCLEIC ACID (RNA);

22 (III) DIRECT INJECTION OF NUCLEIC ACID INTO CELLS OR
23 ORGANELLES;

24 (IV) ENCAPSULATION;

25 (V) GENE DELETION;

26 (VI) DOUBLING;

27 (VII) DNA AND RNA TECHNIQUES THAT USE VECTOR
28 SYSTEMS; AND

1 **(VIII) DIRECT INTRODUCTION OF HEREDITARY MATERIALS**
2 **INTO THE FOOD THAT ARE PREPARED OUTSIDE THE FOOD, SUCH AS:**

- 3 1. **BIOLISTICS;**
- 4 2. **MICROINJECTION;**
- 5 3. **MACROINJECTION;**
- 6 4. **CHEMOPORATION;**
- 7 5. **ELECTROPORATION;**
- 8 6. **MICROENCAPSULATION; AND**
- 9 7. **LIPOSOME FUSION; OR**

10 **(2) METHODS OF FUSING CELLS BEYOND THE TAXONOMIC**
11 **FAMILY THAT:**

12 **(I) OVERCOME ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:**

- 13 1. **NATURAL PHYSIOLOGICAL BARRIERS;**
- 14 2. **REPRODUCTIVE BARRIERS; OR**
- 15 3. **RECOMBINATION BARRIERS; AND**

16 **(II) ARE NOT TECHNIQUES USED IN TRADITIONAL**
17 **BREEDING AND SELECTION, SUCH AS:**

- 18 1. **CONJUGATION;**
- 19 2. **TRANSDUCTION; AND**
- 20 3. **HYBRIDIZATION.**

21 **(C) (1) “PACKAGED FOODS” MEANS ANY FOOD OFFERED FOR RETAIL**
22 **SALE IN THE STATE THAT IS SUBJECT TO THE MARYLAND FOOD, DRUG, AND**
23 **COSMETIC ACT.**

24 **(2) “PACKAGED FOODS” DOES NOT INCLUDE:**

1 (I) RAW FOOD;

2 (II) FOOD SERVED, SOLD, OR PROVIDED READY TO EAT IN
3 ANY BAKE SALE, RESTAURANT, OR CAFETERIA;

4 (III) MEAT FOOD PRODUCTS, AS DEFINED IN § 4-401 OF THE
5 AGRICULTURE ARTICLE; AND

6 (IV) POULTRY PRODUCTS, AS DEFINED IN § 4-201 OF THE
7 AGRICULTURE ARTICLE.

8 (D) (1) "RAW FOODS" MEANS ANY FOOD OFFERED FOR RETAIL SALE
9 IN THE STATE THAT IS IN ITS RAW OR NATURAL STATE, INCLUDING ALL FRUITS
10 THAT ARE WASHED, COLORED, OR OTHERWISE TREATED IN THEIR UNPEELED
11 NATURAL FORM BEFORE MARKETING.

12 (2) "RAW FOODS" DOES NOT INCLUDE:

13 (I) MEAT FOOD PRODUCTS, AS DEFINED IN § 4-101 OF THE
14 AGRICULTURE ARTICLE; AND

15 (II) POULTRY PRODUCTS, AS DEFINED IN § 4-201 OF THE
16 AGRICULTURE ARTICLE.

17 21-1002.

18 THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS THAT:

19 (1) FOR MULTIPLE HEALTH, PERSONAL, ECONOMIC,
20 ENVIRONMENTAL, RELIGIOUS, AND CULTURAL REASONS, FOOD THAT IS
21 GENETICALLY ENGINEERED SHOULD BE LABELED;

22 (2) CURRENTLY THERE IS NOT A FEDERAL OR STATE
23 REQUIREMENT TO LABEL GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD, BUT 64
24 COUNTRIES, INCLUDING JAPAN, SOUTH KOREA, CHINA, AUSTRALIA, RUSSIA,
25 INDIA, EUROPEAN UNION MEMBER STATES, AND OTHER KEY UNITED STATES
26 TRADING PARTNERS ALREADY HAVE LAWS MANDATING FOOD LABELS ON
27 GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD;

28 (3) IN 2011, CODEX ALIMENTARIUS, THE FOOD STANDARDS
29 ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS, STATED THAT GOVERNMENTS ARE

1 FREE TO DECIDE ON WHETHER AND HOW TO LABEL GENETICALLY ENGINEERED
2 FOODS;

3 (4) THE GENETIC ENGINEERING OF PLANTS AND ANIMALS OFTEN
4 CAUSES UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES BECAUSE:

5 (I) MANIPULATING GENES VIA GENETIC ENGINEERING AND
6 INSERTING THEM INTO ORGANISMS IS AN IMPRECISE PROCESS, AND THE
7 RESULTS ARE NOT ALWAYS PREDICTABLE OR CONTROLLABLE;

8 (II) MIXING PLANT, ANIMAL, BACTERIAL, AND VIRAL GENES
9 THROUGH GENETIC ENGINEERING IN COMBINATIONS THAT CANNOT OCCUR IN
10 NATURE MAY PRODUCE RESULTS THAT COULD LEAD TO ADVERSE HEALTH OR
11 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES;

12 (III) AS STATED BY UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
13 SCIENTISTS, ARTIFICIALLY INSERTING GENETIC MATERIAL INTO PLANTS VIA
14 GENETIC ENGINEERING CAN CAUSE A VARIETY OF SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS
15 WITH PLANT FOODS; AND

16 (IV) GENETICALLY ENGINEERING FOOD MAY:

17 1. INCREASE THE LEVELS OF KNOWN TOXICANTS OR
18 ALLERGENS IN FOODS; AND

19 2. CREATE NEW TOXICANTS OR ALLERGENS IN
20 FOODS;

21 (5) WITHOUT MANDATORY DISCLOSURE, INDIVIDUALS WHO
22 CONSUME GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD MAY UNKNOWINGLY VIOLATE THE
23 DIETARY AND RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OF SOME INDIVIDUALS;

24 (6) THE FAILURE TO LABEL GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD IN
25 THE UNITED STATES HAS HAD A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE UNITED STATES'
26 ECONOMY BECAUSE:

27 (I) NUMEROUS FOREIGN MARKETS HAVE RESTRICTED
28 IMPORTS OF CERTAIN UNITED STATES' FOODS DUE TO CONCERNS ABOUT
29 GENETIC ENGINEERING;

30 (II) SOME FOREIGN MARKETS CHOOSE TO PURCHASE FOOD
31 FROM COUNTRIES OTHER THAN THE UNITED STATES BECAUSE GENETICALLY
32 ENGINEERED FOOD IS NOT LABELED IN THE UNITED STATES; AND

1 **(III) FAILURE TO LABEL GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD**
2 **MAKES IT DIFFICULT OR IMPOSSIBLE FOR CONSUMERS TO DETERMINE WHICH**
3 **FOODS ORIGINATING IN THE UNITED STATES MEET APPLICABLE LABELING**
4 **LAWS OR RESTRICTIONS, MAKING UNITED STATES FOOD LESS DESIRABLE IN**
5 **MANY FOREIGN MARKETS;**

6 **(7) AGRICULTURE IS THE LARGEST COMMERCIAL INDUSTRY IN**
7 **THE STATE AND PRESERVING THE IDENTITY, QUALITY, AND RELIABILITY OF**
8 **THE STATE'S AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS AND EXPORTS IS CRITICAL TO THE**
9 **STATE'S ECONOMIC WELL-BEING BECAUSE:**

10 **(I) THE AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY EMPLOYS**
11 **APPROXIMATELY 350,000 PEOPLE IN THE STATE; AND**

12 **(II) THE STATE HAS 12,800 FARMS, ACCOUNTING FOR**
13 **2,050,000 ACRES OF LAND;**

14 **(8) THE ORGANIC FOOD INDUSTRY IS GROWING RAPIDLY,**
15 **INCREASING BY \$2,700,000,000 IN 2012;**

16 **(9) ORGANIC FOOD SALES GREW AT A RATE OF 10.2% IN 2012,**
17 **ACCOUNTING FOR \$31,500,000,000 IN SALES, WHILE UNITED STATES FOOD**
18 **SALES GREW BY ONLY 3.7% IN 2012;**

19 **(10) IN 2012, ORGANIC FRUITS AND VEGETABLES ACCOUNTED**
20 **FOR:**

21 **(I) \$13,500,000,000 IN ORGANIC FOOD SALES;**

22 **(II) 34.8% OF TOTAL ORGANIC FOOD SALES; AND**

23 **(III) 10.3% OF ALL UNITED STATES FRUIT AND VEGETABLE**
24 **SALES;**

25 **(11) FOODS IDENTIFIED AS NOT GENETICALLY ENGINEERED**
26 **CONSTITUTE THE FASTEST GROWING MARKET SEGMENT IN FOOD SALES, BUT**
27 **ONLY A SMALL PORTION OF THE FOOD INDUSTRY PARTICIPATES IN**
28 **VOLUNTARILY LABELING NONGENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOODS;**

29 **(12) THERE ARE NO CONSISTENT FEDERAL STANDARDS FOR:**

1 (I) VOLUNTARILY LABELING GENETICALLY ENGINEERED
2 FOODS; OR

3 (II) THE ENFORCEMENT OF VOLUNTARY LABELING
4 STANDARDS;

5 (13) VOLUNTARY LABELING IS INSUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE
6 CONSUMERS WITH ADEQUATE INFORMATION ON WHETHER THE FOOD THEY ARE
7 PURCHASING HAS BEEN GENETICALLY ENGINEERED, AND THEREFORE MAY BE
8 MISLEADING;

9 (14) THE CULTIVATION OF GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS
10 CAN HAVE SERIOUS EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO OVERAPPLICATION
11 OF HERBICIDES ON HERBICIDE-RESISTANT CROPS, CAUSING:

12 (I) 527,000,000 POUNDS OF ADDITIONAL HERBICIDES TO
13 BE APPLIED TO UNITED STATES' CROPS;

14 (II) DAMAGE TO THE VITALITY AND QUALITY OF THE SOIL;

15 (III) HARM TO WILDLIFE;

16 (IV) CONTAMINATED DRINKING WATER;

17 (V) THREATS TO THE HEALTH OF CONSUMERS, FARMERS,
18 AND FARM WORKERS; AND

19 (VI) THE PROLIFERATION OF HERBICIDE-RESISTANT
20 WEEDS;

21 (15) INSECT-RESISTANT GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS:

22 (I) POSE A HIGH RISK OF FOSTERING THE RAPID
23 EVOLUTION OF PESTS RESISTANT TO ORGANIC PESTICIDES THAT ARE
24 DETRIMENTAL TO ORGANIC FARMERS; AND

25 (II) ENCOURAGE MONOCULTURES, LEAVING FARMERS,
26 CONSUMERS, AND THE ECONOMY VULNERABLE TO DEVASTATING BLIGHTS,
27 EXTREME WEATHER, AND OTHER CONDITIONS THAT CAN SEVERELY DAMAGE OR
28 DESTROY THOSE CROPS;

29 (16) THE RESIDENTS OF THE STATE:

1 **(I) HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO MAKE INFORMED**
2 **CHOICES ABOUT THE FOODS THEY PURCHASE, CONSUME, AND FEED TO THEIR**
3 **FAMILIES; AND**

4 **(II) SHOULD HAVE THE CHOICE TO AVOID PURCHASING**
5 **FOODS PRODUCED IN WAYS THAT MAY CAUSE ENVIRONMENTAL HARM,**
6 **INCLUDING:**

7 **1. CONTAMINATION OF ORGANIC CROPS BY**
8 **NONORGANIC GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROP DRIFT; OR**

9 **2. CONTAMINATION OF THE STATE'S WATER SYSTEM**
10 **DUE TO PESTICIDE USE ASSOCIATED WITH GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS;**
11 **AND**

12 **(17) BECAUSE THE UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG**
13 **ADMINISTRATION AND THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS DO NOT REQUIRE THE**
14 **LABELING OF GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD, THE STATE SHOULD REQUIRE**
15 **GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD TO BE LABELED IN ORDER TO:**

16 **(I) SERVE THE INTERESTS OF THE STATE;**

17 **(II) PREVENT CONSUMER DECEPTION;**

18 **(III) PREVENT POTENTIAL RISKS TO HUMAN HEALTH;**

19 **(IV) PROMOTE FOOD SAFETY;**

20 **(V) PROTECT CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS PRACTICES;**

21 **(VI) PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT; AND**

22 **(VII) PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.**

23 **21-1003.**

24 **THE PURPOSE OF THE LABELING REQUIREMENT UNDER THIS PART IS TO:**

25 **(1) PROMOTE FOOD SAFETY AND PROTECT PUBLIC HEALTH BY**
26 **SERVING AS A RISK MANAGEMENT TOOL THAT WILL ENABLE CONSUMERS,**
27 **PHYSICIANS, AND SCIENTISTS TO IDENTIFY UNINTENDED HEALTH EFFECTS**
28 **RESULTING FROM THE CONSUMPTION OF GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOODS**

1 AND ENABLING CONSUMERS TO AVOID POTENTIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH
2 GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOODS;

3 (2) CREATE AND PROTECT NONGENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD
4 MARKETS;

5 (3) ENABLE CONSUMERS TO MAKE INFORMED PURCHASING
6 DECISIONS; AND

7 (4) PROVIDE CONSUMERS WITH DATA TO MAKE INFORMED
8 DECISIONS FOR PERSONAL, RELIGIOUS, MORAL, CULTURAL, OR ETHICAL
9 REASONS.

10 21-1004.

11 (A) BEGINNING JULY 1, 2015, ALL RAW FOODS AND PACKAGED FOODS
12 THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING
13 SHALL BE LABELED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SECTION.

14 (B) (1) A MANUFACTURER SHALL INCLUDE A LABEL DISPLAYING ONE
15 OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ON A PACKAGE CONTAINING RAW FOODS OR
16 PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH
17 GENETIC ENGINEERING:

18 (I) "GENETICALLY ENGINEERED";

19 (II) "PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING"; OR

20 (III) "PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING".

21 (2) THE LABEL SHALL BE CLEARLY AND CONSPICUOUSLY PLACED
22 ON THE FRONT OR BACK OF THE PACKAGE.

23 (C) A SUPPLIER SHALL LABEL A CONTAINER USED FOR PACKAGING,
24 HOLDING, OR TRANSPORTING RAW FOODS OR PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE
25 ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING TO A
26 RETAILER IN THE STATE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

27 "THIS PACKAGE CONTAINS FOOD THAT HAS BEEN GENETICALLY
28 ENGINEERED".

29 (D) A RETAILER SHALL CLEARLY AND CONSPICUOUSLY LABEL A SHELF
30 OR BIN CONTAINING RAW FOODS OR PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR

1 PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING WITH THE FOLLOWING
2 STATEMENT:

3 “THIS FOOD HAS BEEN GENETICALLY ENGINEERED”.

4 21-1005.

5 (A) (1) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A MANUFACTURER,
6 SUPPLIER, OR RETAILER FOR FAILURE TO LABEL RAW FOODS OR PACKAGED
7 FOODS THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC
8 ENGINEERING IF:

9 (I) FOR PACKAGED FOOD THAT CONTAINS GENETICALLY
10 ENGINEERED FOOD, THE FOOD THAT IS PRODUCED THROUGH GENETIC
11 ENGINEERING ACCOUNTS FOR LESS THAN 0.9% OF THE TOTAL WEIGHT OF THE
12 PACKAGED FOOD; OR

13 (II) THE FOOD HAS NOT BEEN PRODUCED WITH THE
14 KNOWING OR INTENTIONAL USE OF GENETIC ENGINEERING AS SPECIFIED
15 UNDER SUBSECTION (E) OF THIS SECTION.

16 (2) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A RETAILER UNLESS THE
17 RETAILER:

18 (I) IS ALSO A MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER OF THE RAW
19 FOODS OR PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED
20 WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING;

21 (II) SELLS THE RAW FOODS OR PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE
22 ENTIRELY OR PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING UNDER A
23 BRAND OWNED BY THE RETAILER; AND

24 (III) AS SPECIFIED UNDER SUBSECTION (E) OF THIS
25 SECTION, KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY FAILED TO MEET THE LABELING
26 REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 21-1004(D) OF THIS PART.

27 (3) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A FARMER WHO IS NOT A
28 RETAILER OR MANUFACTURER.

29 (B) (1) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY BRING AN ACTION TO ENJOIN
30 A VIOLATION OF THIS PART IN A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION.

1 **(2) A RESIDENT OF THE STATE MAY BRING AN ACTION TO ENJOIN**
2 **A VIOLATION OF THIS PART BY A MANUFACTURER OR RETAILER IN A COURT OF**
3 **COMPETENT JURISDICTION AFTER PROVIDING NOTICE TO THE ATTORNEY**
4 **GENERAL AND THE ALLEGED VIOLATOR AND WAITING 60 DAYS BEFORE**
5 **BRINGING THE ACTION.**

6 **(3) IF A JUDGMENT IS ENTERED IN FAVOR OF A RESIDENT**
7 **BRINGING AN ACTION UNDER PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE**
8 **COURT:**

9 **(I) MAY AWARD THE RESIDENT:**

10 **1. ATTORNEY FEES; AND**

11 **2. COSTS INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING AND**
12 **PROSECUTING THE ACTION; AND**

13 **(II) MAY NOT AWARD MONETARY DAMAGES.**

14 **(C) IN AN ACTION ALLEGING THAT A RETAILER HAS VIOLATED THE**
15 **PROVISIONS OF THIS PART, IT SHALL BE A DEFENSE THAT THE RETAILER**
16 **REASONABLY RELIED ON:**

17 **(1) A DISCLOSURE IN THE BILL OF SALE OR INVOICE PROVIDED**
18 **BY THE WHOLESALE OR DISTRIBUTOR STATING WHETHER THE FOOD IS**
19 **GENETICALLY ENGINEERED; OR**

20 **(2) A LACK OF A DISCLOSURE IN THE BILL OF SALE OR INVOICE.**

21 **(D) RAW FOODS OR PACKAGED FOODS THAT ARE ENTIRELY OR**
22 **PARTIALLY PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING HAVE NOT BEEN**
23 **PRODUCED WITH THE KNOWING OR INTENTIONAL USE OF GENETIC**
24 **ENGINEERING IF:**

25 **(1) THE FOOD IS CERTIFIED TO BE LABELED, MARKETED, AND**
26 **OFFERED FOR SALE AS ORGANIC UNDER THE ORGANIC FOODS PRODUCTION**
27 **ACT OF 1990;**

28 **(2) A MANUFACTURER OR RETAILER HAS OBTAINED A SWORN**
29 **STATEMENT FROM THE PERSON THAT SOLD THE FOOD TO THE MANUFACTURER,**
30 **RETAILER, OR SUPPLIER STATING THAT THE FOOD WAS NOT KNOWINGLY OR**
31 **INTENTIONALLY:**

1 **(I) GENETICALLY ENGINEERED; AND**

2 **(II) COMMINGLED WITH FOODS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN**
3 **GENETICALLY ENGINEERED; OR**

4 **(3) AN INDEPENDENT ORGANIZATION HAS DETERMINED THAT**
5 **THE FOOD HAS NOT BEEN KNOWINGLY OR INTENTIONALLY GENETICALLY**
6 **ENGINEERED OR COMMINGLED WITH FOODS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN**
7 **GENETICALLY ENGINEERED BY USING A SAMPLING AND TESTING PROCEDURE**
8 **THAT:**

9 **(I) IS CONSISTENT WITH SAMPLING AND TESTING**
10 **PRINCIPLES RECOMMENDED BY INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNIZED STANDARDS**
11 **ORGANIZATIONS; AND**

12 **(II) DOES NOT RELY ON TESTING PROCESSED FOODS IN**
13 **WHICH NO DNA IS DETECTABLE.**

14 **21-1006.**

15 **THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS NECESSARY FOR THE**
16 **ADMINISTRATION OF THIS PART.**

17 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That if any provision of this
18 Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid for any
19 reason in a court of competent jurisdiction, the invalidity does not affect other
20 provisions or any other application of this Act which can be given effect without the
21 invalid provision or application, and for this purpose the provisions of this Act are
22 declared severable.

23 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
24 October 1, 2014.