Μ	4, M3										5 lr 0	39	8
HB 905/14 – ENV										(CF SB	53	3
-	D 1		3.5	a	-	a		3 5 4 3 3	0.1	-			

By: Delegates Moon, Carr, Frush, Gutierrez, A. Miller, Oaks, Pena–Melnyk, S. Robinson, Smith, and Tarlau

Introduced and read first time: February 13, 2015 Assigned to: Environment and Transportation

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

 $\mathbf{2}$

Bay Tax Equity Act

- 3 FOR the purpose of establishing a certain chicken manure pollution fee payable to the 4 Comptroller by a certain poultry integrator in a certain manner; prohibiting a poultry integrator from recovering certain costs from certain farmers that raise $\mathbf{5}$ 6 chickens for certain purposes; requiring the Comptroller to transfer revenue from a 7 certain fee to the Maryland Agriculture Water Quality Cost Share Program in the 8 Department of Agriculture for certain cover crop activities; authorizing the 9 Comptroller to distribute a certain amount in an administrative account for certain 10 purposes; repealing certain provisions of law requiring the Comptroller to disburse 11 certain funds from the Bay Restoration Fund to be used for certain cover crop activities; making conforming changes; defining certain terms; and generally 1213 relating to nutrient management in the Chesapeake Bay.
- 14 BY adding to
- 15 Article Agriculture
- 16 Section 8–704.3
- 17 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 18 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- 19 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 20 Article Environment
- 21 Section 9–1605.2(h), (i)(2)(ii)3. and (ix), and (j)(6)(vi)
- 22 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 23 (2014 Replacement Volume)
- 24 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 25 Article Environment
- 26 Section 9–1605.2(j)(1)
- 27 Annotated Code of Maryland

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 (2014 Replacement Volume) 2SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 3 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 4 Article – Agriculture 8-704.3. 5 6 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 7 INDICATED. 8 "FEE" (2) MEANS THE CHICKEN MANURE POLLUTION FEE 9 **ESTABLISHED UNDER THIS SECTION.** (3) "POULTRY INTEGRATOR" MEANS AN ENTITY THAT CONTRACTS 10 11 WITH A FARMER TO RAISE POULTRY FOR THE ENTITY ON PROPERTY OWNED OR 12 LEASED BY THE FARMER. 13(1) BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2015, THERE IS A CHICKEN MANURE **(B)** POLLUTION FEE TO BE PAID TO THE COMPTROLLER BY A POULTRY INTEGRATOR IN 14 15A MANNER DETERMINED BY THE COMPTROLLER. 16(2) THE FEE IS 5 CENTS FOR EACH CHICKEN A POULTRY INTEGRATOR 17**PROVIDES TO A FARMER IN THE STATE.** 18 (3) A POULTRY INTEGRATOR MAY NOT RECOVER THE COST OF ANY 19 AMOUNT PAID UNDER THIS SUBSECTION FROM A FARMER THAT RAISES CHICKENS 20FOR THE POULTRY INTEGRATOR. 21**(C)** (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, 22THE COMPTROLLER SHALL TRANSFER THE REVENUE FROM THE FEE TO THE MARYLAND AGRICULTURE WATER QUALITY COST SHARE PROGRAM IN THE 2324DEPARTMENT TO FUND COVER CROP ACTIVITIES ON AGRICULTURAL LANDS ON WHICH CHICKEN MANURE HAS BEEN APPLIED AS FERTILIZER. 2526(2) THE COMPTROLLER MAY DISTRIBUTE TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE 27COST ACCOUNT AN AMOUNT THAT IS NECESSARY TO ADMINISTER THE FEE. 28**(D)** THE COMPTROLLER MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS NECESSARY TO ADMINISTER, COLLECT, AND ENFORCE THE FEE. 2930 **Article – Environment**

HOUSE BILL 886

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $1 \quad 9-1605.2.$

2 (h) (1) With regard to the funds collected under subsection (b)(1)(i)1 of this 3 section from users of an on-site sewage disposal system or holding tank that receive a water 4 bill and subsection (b)(1)(i)2 and 3 of this section, beginning in fiscal year 2006, the 5 Comptroller shall:

- 6 (i) Establish a separate account within the Bay Restoration Fund; 7 and
- 8 (ii) Disburse the funds as provided under paragraph (2) of this 9 subsection.
- 10

(2) The Comptroller shall[:

11(i)Deposit 60% of] DEPOSIT the funds in the separate account to be12used for:

13 [1.] (I) Subject to paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this 14 subsection, with priority first given to failing systems and holding tanks located in the 15 Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and then to failing systems that the 16 Department determines are a threat to public health or water quality, grants or loans for 17 up to 100% of:

18 [A.] **1.** The costs attributable to upgrading an on-site 19 sewage disposal system to the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

[B.] 2. The cost difference between a conventional on-site sewage disposal system and a system that utilizes the best available technology for the removal of nitrogen;

[C.] 3. The cost of repairing or replacing a failing on-site sewage disposal system with a system that uses the best available technology for nitrogen removal;

[D.] 4. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under item [B] 2 of this item for each individual system, of replacing multiple on-site sewage disposal systems located in the same community with a new community sewerage system that is owned by a local government and that meets enhanced nutrient removal standards; or

[E.] 5. The cost, up to the sum of the costs authorized under item [C] 3 of this item for each individual system, of connecting a property using an on-site sewage disposal system to an existing municipal wastewater facility that is achieving enhanced nutrient removal or biological nutrient removal level treatment,

1 including payment of the principal, but not interest, of debt issued by a local government2 for such connection costs;

3 [2.] (II) The reasonable costs of the Department, not to 4 exceed 8% of the funds deposited into the separate account, to:

[A.] 1. Implement an education, outreach, and upgrade program to advise owners of on-site sewage disposal systems and holding tanks on the proper maintenance of the systems and tanks and the availability of grants and loans under item [1] (I) of this [item] PARAGRAPH;

- 9 [B.] 2. Review and approve the design and construction of 10 on-site sewage disposal system or holding tank upgrades;
- 11[C.] 3.Issue grants or loans as provided under item [1] (I)12of this [item] PARAGRAPH; and

13 [D.] 4. Provide technical support for owners of upgraded 14 on-site sewage disposal systems or holding tanks to operate and maintain the upgraded 15 systems; and

- 16 [3.] (III) A portion of the reasonable costs of a local public 17 entity that has been delegated by the Department under § 1–301(b) of this article to 18 administer and enforce environmental laws, not to exceed 10% of the funds deposited into 19 the separate account, to implement regulations adopted by the Department for on-site 20 sewage disposal systems that utilize the best available technology for the removal of 21 nitrogen[; and
- (ii) Transfer 40% of the funds to the Maryland Agriculture Water
 Quality Cost Share Program in the Department of Agriculture in order to fund cover crop
 activities].
- 25 (3) Funding for the costs identified in paragraph [(2)(i)1] (2)(I) of this 26 subsection shall be provided in the following order of priority:
- (i) For owners of all levels of income, the costs identified in
 paragraph [(2)(i)1A and B] (2)(I)1 AND 2 of this subsection; and
- (ii) For low-income owners, as defined by the Department, the costs
 identified in paragraph [(2)(i)1C] (2)(I)3 of this subsection:
- 311.First, for best available technologies for nitrogen removal;32and
- 332.Second, for other wastewater treatment systems.

4

1 Funding for the costs identified in paragraph [(2)(i)1D] (2)(I)4 of this (4) $\mathbf{2}$ subsection may be provided if: 3 The environmental impact of the on-site sewage disposal system (i) is documented by the local government and confirmed by the Department; 4 $\mathbf{5}$ (ii) It can be demonstrated that: 6 The replacement of the on-site sewage disposal system 1. 7 with a new community sewerage system is more cost effective for nitrogen removal than upgrading each individual on-site sewage disposal system; or 8 9 2.The individual replacement of the on-site sewage disposal 10 system is not feasible; and 11 The new community sewerage system will only serve lots that (iii) 12have received a certificate of occupancy, or equivalent certificate, on or before October 1, 132008.14Funding for the costs identified in paragraph [(2)(i)1E] (2)(I)5 of this (5)15subsection may be provided only if all of the following conditions are met: 16 The environmental impact of the on-site sewage disposal system (i) is documented by the local government and confirmed by the Department; 1718 (ii) It can be demonstrated that: 19 1. The replacement of the on-site sewage disposal system 20with service to an existing municipal wastewater facility that is achieving enhanced 21nutrient removal or biological nutrient removal level treatment is more cost-effective for 22nitrogen removal than upgrading the individual on-site sewage disposal system; or 232. The individual replacement of the on-site sewage disposal 24system is not feasible; 25The project is consistent with the county's comprehensive plan (iii) and water and sewer master plan; 2627The on-site sewage disposal system was installed as of (iv) 1. October 1, 2008, and the property the system serves is located in a priority funding area, 2829in accordance with § 5–7B–02 of the State Finance and Procurement Article; or 30 2.The on-site sewage disposal system was installed as of 31 October 1, 2008, the property the system serves is not located in a priority funding area, 32and the project meets the requirements under § 5-7B-06 of the State Finance and Procurement Article and is consistent with a public health area of concern: 33

1	A. Identified in the county water and sewer plan; or								
$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6$	B. Certified by a county environmental health director with concurrence by the Department and, if funding is approved, subsequently added to the county water and sewer plan within a time frame jointly agreed on by the Department and the county that takes into consideration the county's water and sewer plan update and amendment process; and								
7 8	(v) The funding agreement for a project that meets the conditions for funding under subparagraph (iv)2 of this paragraph includes provisions to ensure:								
9 10	1. Denial of access for any future connections that are not included in the project's proposed service area; and								
11 12 13	2. That the project will not unduly impede access to funding for upgrading individual on–site sewage disposal systems in the county with best available technology for nitrogen removal.								
$\begin{array}{c} 14\\ 15\\ 16\end{array}$	(6) The Comptroller, in consultation with the Administration, may establish any other accounts and subaccounts within the Bay Restoration Fund as necessary to:								
17	(i) Effectuate the purposes of this subtitle;								
18	(ii) Comply with the provisions of any bond resolution;								
19 20	(iii) Meet the requirements of any federal or State law or of any grant or award to the Bay Restoration Fund; and								
$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	(iv) Meet any rules or program directives established by the Secretary or the Board.								
23	(i) (2) Funds in the Bay Restoration Fund shall be used only:								
$24 \\ 25 \\ 26$	(ii) 3. In fiscal years 2018 and thereafter, after payment of outstanding bonds and the allocation of funds to other required uses of the Bay Restoration Fund for funding in the following order of priority:								
27 28 29	A. For funding an upgrade of a wastewater facility to enhanced nutrient removal at wastewater facilities with a design capacity of 500,000 gallons or more per day;								
$30 \\ 31 \\ 32$	B. For funding for the most cost–effective enhanced nutrient removal upgrades at wastewater facilities with a design capacity of less than 500,000 gallons per day;								

1 2 of this section; and C. For costs identified under subsection [(h)(2)(i)1](H)(2)(I)

D. With respect to a local government that has enacted and implemented a system of charges under § 4–204 of this article to fully fund the implementation of a stormwater management program, for grants to the local government for a portion of the costs of the most cost–effective and efficient stormwater control measures, as determined and approved by the Department, from the restoration fees collected annually by the Comptroller from users of wastewater facilities under this section;

9 (ix) Subject to the [allocation of funds and the] conditions under 10 subsection (h) of this section, for projects related to the removal of nitrogen from on-site 11 sewage disposal systems [and cover crop activities].

- 12 (j) (1) There is a Bay Restoration Fund Advisory Committee.
- 13 (6) The Committee shall:

(vi) Advise the Department on the components of an education,
outreach, and upgrade program established within the Department under subsection
[(h)(2)(i)2] (H)(2)(II)1 of this section;

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July1, 2015.