HOUSE BILL 322

6lr1397

By: **Delegate Afzali** Introduced and read first time: January 27, 2016 Assigned to: Environment and Transportation

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Frederick County – Failure to Obey Traffic Control Device on Maryland Route 75 – Penalty

- FOR the purpose of increasing the maximum penalty for failure to obey a traffic control
 device governing the height of vehicles traveling on a certain portion of Maryland
 Route 75 in Frederick County.
- 7 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 8 Article Transportation
- 9 Section 11–167 and 21–201
- 10 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 11 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2015 Supplement)
- 12 BY adding to
- 13 Article Transportation
- 14 Section 27–105.1
- 15 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 16 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2015 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

19	Article – Transportation
20	11–167.
21	"Traffic control device" means any sign, signal, marking, or device that:
22	(1) Is not inconsistent with the Maryland Vehicle Law; and

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



HOUSE BILL 322

1 (2) Is placed by authority of an authorized public body or official to 2 regulate, warn, or guide traffic.

3 21-201.

4 (a) (1) Subject to the exceptions granted in this title to the driver of an 5 emergency vehicle, the driver of any vehicle, unless otherwise directed by a police officer, 6 shall obey the instructions of any traffic control device applicable to the vehicle and placed 7 in accordance with the Maryland Vehicle Law.

8 (2) The driver of a vehicle approaching an intersection controlled by a 9 traffic control device may not drive across private property or leave the roadway for the 10 purpose of avoiding the instructions of a traffic control device.

11 (b) (1) If a provision of the Maryland Vehicle Law or of an ordinance or 12 regulation of a local authority requires a traffic control device, the provision is 13 unenforceable against an alleged violator if, at the time and place of the alleged violation, 14 the traffic control device is not in proper position and legible enough to be seen by an 15 ordinarily observant individual.

16 (2) Unless a provision of the Maryland Vehicle Law or of an ordinance or 17 regulation of a local authority states that a traffic control device is required, the provision 18 is effective and enforceable even if no traffic control device is in place.

19 (c) Unless the contrary is established by competent evidence, if a traffic control 20 device is placed in a position approximately meeting the requirements of the Maryland 21 Vehicle Law, the device is presumed to have been placed by the official act or direction of 22 lawful authority.

(d) Unless the contrary is established by competent evidence, if a traffic control device is placed in accordance with the Maryland Vehicle Law and purports to meet the lawful requirements governing these devices, the device is presumed to meet the requirements of the Maryland Vehicle Law.

27 **27–105.1**.

A PERSON WHO, IN VIOLATION OF § 21–201 OF THIS ARTICLE, FAILS TO OBEY A TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE GOVERNING THE HEIGHT OF VEHICLES TRAVELING ON MARYLAND ROUTE 75 BETWEEN BALDWIN ROAD AND MARYLAND ROUTE 80 IN FREDERICK COUNTY IS SUBJECT TO A FINE OF NOT MORE THAN \$10,000.

32 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 33 October 1, 2016.