HOUSE BILL 773

C5, C8 (7lr0543)

ENROLLED BILL

— Economic Matters/Finance —

Introduced by Delegates Korman, Clippinger, Barkley, Buckel, Carr, Cassilly, Chang, Fennell, Fraser-Hidalgo, Frick, Jalisi, Jameson, Kelly, Kramer, Lafferty, Lam, Lierman, Mautz, McComas, Miele, Morhaim, Patterson, Pena-Melnyk, Platt, Reznik, Valderrama, Waldstreicher, M. Washington, West, and K. Young

Read and	Examined 1	oy Proofreaders:		
			Pro	oofreader.
			Pro	oofreader.
Sealed with the Great Seal and	presented	to the Governor,	for his appr	oval this
day of	at		o'clock,	M.
				Speaker.
	CHAPTER			
AN ACT concerning				
Clean Energy – E	nergy Sto	rage Technology	Study	
FOR the purpose of requiring the Program to conduct a study of be necessary or beneficial to in requiring the Center Program conducting the study; providing used in conducting the study benefits for certain purposes certain amount per fiscal year report and a final a report on	f regulatory necrease the modern to consum to certain to requiring from the prohibiting requiring the requiring th	reforms and mar use of energy stor alt with certain ex- required considerant the Center Programmer the Center Programmer the Programmer than the cost of the cost of the center Programmer than the Center Program	ket incentives age devices in to ntities and into ations and crites and crites are to consider at the study from excum to submit as	that may the State; terests in eria to be er certain ceeding a n interim

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

1

2

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

Italics indicate opposite chamber/conference committee amendments.



$1\\2$			<u>e</u> ; and generally relating to the Maryland Clean Energy Center <u>rch Program</u> and the study of energy storage systems.
3 4	SECTION 1. E	BE IT	ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
5 6 7 8	shall conduct a stud	y to <u>al</u> to i	Haryland Clean Energy Center Power Plant Research Program determine what regulatory reforms and market incentives are ncrease the use of energy storage devices in the State in a manner stakeholders.
9 10	(2) Ir <u>Program</u> shall consul		nducting the study required under this section, the Center h:
11	(i))	the Public Service Commission;
12	(i	i)	the Office of People's Counsel;
13	(ir	ii)	the Maryland Energy Administration;
14	(ir	v)	environmental organizations;
15	(v	7)	electric companies;
16	(v	vi)	third-party providers of energy storage devices;
17	(v	vii)	associations of third–party providers;
18	(v	viii)	the University of Maryland Energy Research Center;
19	(i:	x)	the Maryland Clean Energy Center;
20	<u>(x</u>	<u>()</u>	developers and owners of electricity generation; and
21	(x	((xi)	other interested parties.
22 23	(b) In condu Center <u>Program</u> shal		g the study and in collaboration with the consulted parties, the
24 25 26	and cases for their us	se, in	er the types and viability of different energy storage technologies cluding projects deployed in the State and other states; and the hese technologies to different service territories of the State;
27 28	(2) ee projects from existing		er existing operational data and results of testing and trial pilot rgy storage facilities;

1	(3) (2) consider <u>wholesale market factors, including</u> available
2 3	information from PJM Interconnection, LLC, derived from PJM's testing and evaluation procedures, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission;
4	(4) consider the integration of energy storage technologies with other
$\frac{5}{6}$	programs, including demand-side management or other means of achieving the purposes identified in the "Ten-Year Plan of Maryland Electric Utilities" prepared by the
7	Commission and the Regional Transmission Expansion Plan process of PJM, that will
8	result in the most economically efficient use of generation resources for society and
9	cost-effective, energy-efficient grid integration and management;
10 11	(5) (3) review energy storage regulatory policies, ownership models, cost recovery mechanisms, procurement targets, and market incentives in other states and use
12	any data or results that are available from those states, as appropriate;
13	(6) (4) review existing State regulatory policies and definitions and
14	determine appropriate revisions to facilitate the expansion of energy storage in the State
15	including considering issues of:
16	(i) whether costs for energy storage can be subject to rate recovery
17	and the standard for rate recovery;
18 19	(ii) removal of any policy-related barriers that restrict the ability to capture all of the societal benefits of energy storage;
20	(iii) (ii) encouraging the expansion of energy storage in the State
21	through a variety of cost recovery mechanisms, including cost recovery through electric
22	distribution rates; and
23	(iv) (iii) encouraging the efficient and timely approval of
24	interconnection of energy storage systems owned by an electric company, a customer, or a
25	third party that are:
26	1. connected to customer facilities; or
27	2. directly connected to transmission and distribution
28	facilities;
29	(7) consider how to ensure that any energy storage policies that are
30	established are technologically viable and cost-effective, including standards for the
31	capacity, efficiency, useful life, and charging characteristics of the systems;

 $\frac{(8)}{(5)}$ examine whether and how pumped hydropower should be included in any regulatory policies or market incentives;

companies, or other parties;

33

1 2 3	(9) (6) consider policies to incentivize deployment of energy storage systems that are connected to customers' facilities and of systems that are directly connected to transmission and distribution facilities;
4 5 6	$\overline{(10)}$ (7) identify appropriate metrics and standards for energy storage systems such as energy capacity, charge and discharge rates, round trip efficiency, durability, and other appropriate metrics and standards; \underline{and}
7 8 9	(11) (8) consider any policies, procurement targets, or other market incentives that would allow for diverse ownership models including ownership of an energy storage system by an electric company, an electric supplier, or another party;.
10	(12) consider the following purposes for energy storage:
11 12 13	(i) integrating intermittent generation from eligible renewable energy resources into the safe and reliable operation of the transmission and distribution grid;
14 15	(ii) allowing intermittent generation from eligible renewable energy resources to operate at or near full capacity;
16 17	(iii) reducing the need for fossil-fuel-powered peaking generation facilities by using stored electricity to meet peak demand;
18 19	(iv) reducing transmission and distribution line losses, including increased losses during periods of congestion on the grid;
20 21	(v) reducing the demand for electricity during peak periods and achieving permanent load-shifting;
22	(vi) providing back-up power and grid resiliency;
23 24	(vii) avoiding or delaying investments in the transmission and distribution system upgrades;
25 26	(viii) using energy storage systems to provide the ancillary services otherwise provided by fossil-fueled generating facilities;
27 28	(ix) as a grid modernization tool that enhances reliability, resiliency, and power quality for electricity consumers; and
29 30	(x) integrating distributed energy resources more efficiently at customer sites and on the transmission and distribution systems;
31 32	(13) consider necessary steps to maintain a safe work environment where energy storage systems are deployed and the associated expenses to customers, electric

1	(14) consider necessary steps for electric companies to efficiently support
2	storage being connected to the transmission and distribution grid, including those related
3	to customer service, regional transmission operator coordination, interconnection, other
4	relevant issues, and the costs associated with those requirements; and
5	(15) consider any other relevant aspect relating to green banks and clean
6	bank financing initiatives that the Center or the Maryland Energy Administration
7	determines appropriate; and
8	(16) consider whether barriers to the deployment of energy storage systems
9	in the State exist in PJM markets and programs and what changes are needed to eliminate
10	those barriers.
11	(c) When examining the cost-effectiveness issue of energy storage or market
12	incentives under subsection (b)(7) of this section, the Center Program shall consider
13	benefits including:
14	(1) cost savings to ratepayers from the provision of services such as energy
15	price arbitrage, ancillary services, capacity, transmission, and distribution asset deferral
16	or offsets;
17	(2) direct cost savings to customers that deploy energy storage systems and
18	to others;
19	(3) an improved ability to integrate renewable resources;
00	
20	(4) improved reliability and power quality;
01	(5) the effect or noted electric nates even the life of a given or even stone as
21	(5) the effect on retail electric rates over the life of a given energy storage
22	system compared to the impact on retail electric rates of using a nonenergy storage system
23	alternative over the life of the nonenergy storage system alternative including system-wide
24	impacts, such as long-term costs of avoided peak-capacity, transmission, and distribution
25	replacement deferral, and market price reductions or efficiency improvements;
0.0	(6) the economic, noneconomic, and environmental benefits of avoided use
26	(6) the economic, noneconomic, and environmental benefits of avoided use
27	
	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems;
	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems;
28	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems; (7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with
	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems;
28 29	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems; (7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with generation, transmission, or distribution assets; and
28 29 30	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems; (7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with generation, transmission, or distribution assets; and (8) the ability of storage systems to be deployed quickly and expanded
28 29	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems; (7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with generation, transmission, or distribution assets; and
28 29 30 31	(7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with generation, transmission, or distribution assets; and (8) the ability of storage systems to be deployed quickly and expanded easily.
28 29 30	of fossil fuels through the deployment of energy storage systems; (7) the benefits of the ability to site storage systems compared with generation, transmission, or distribution assets; and (8) the ability of storage systems to be deployed quickly and expanded

$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	(d) (1) On or before December 1, 2017, the Maryland Clean Energy Center Power Plant Research Program shall present an interim report to the Senate Finance
3	Committee, the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee, the House Economic Matters Committee, and the House Appropriations Committee, in accordance with § 2–1246 of the
$rac{4}{5}$	State Government Article, of the findings of the study required under this section and any
6	recommended policy actions.
7 8 9 10 11	(2) On or before December 1, 2018, the Maryland Clean Energy Center Power Plant Research Program shall present a final report to the Senate Finance Committee, the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee, the House Economic Matters Committee, and the House Appropriations Committee, in accordance with § 2–1246 of the State Government Article, of the findings of the study required under this section and any
12	recommended policy actions.
13 14	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 2017.
	Approved:
	Governor.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.

President of the Senate.