HOUSE BILL 832

L2, N1

8lr0845
CF SB 520

By: **Delegates Rosenberg, R. Lewis, and McCray**Introduced and read first time: February 2, 2018

Committee Report: Favorable

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 14, 2018

Assigned to: Environment and Transportation

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Baltimore City – Landlord and Tenant – Repossession for Failure to Pay Rent – Procedures

- FOR the purpose of specifying certain requirements for a complaint for repossession for failure to pay rent in Baltimore City; altering the date when a certain individual may be ordered to appear in court in a certain repossession action in Baltimore City; altering the notice and service requirements in a certain repossession action in Baltimore City; requiring a court to reschedule a certain hearing and a sheriff to refund certain fees if the sheriff is unable to serve a certain summons in Baltimore City under certain circumstances; making stylistic changes; and generally relating to actions for repossession for failure to pay rent in Baltimore City.
- 12 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 13 The Public Local Laws of Baltimore City
- 14 Section 9–3
- 15 Article 4 Public Local Laws of Maryland
- 16 (1979 Edition and 1997 Supplement and 2000 Supplement, as amended)
- 17 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 18 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article 4 – Baltimore City

20 9-3.

19

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

- Whenever any lessor shall desire to have again and repossess any premises to which he is entitled under the provisions of the preceding section, he or his duly qualified agent or attorney, shall make his written complaint under oath or affirmation, in the District Court of Baltimore City, THAT FOLLOWS SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM AND CONTENT REQUIRED UNDER TITLE 8 OF THE REAL PROPERTY ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND, and describing therein in general terms the property sought to be had again and repossessed as aforesaid, and also setting forth the name of the tenant to whom the same is rented, or his assignee or [under tenant] UNDERTENANT or tenants, with the amount of rent thereon due and unpaid; and praying by warrant to have again and repossess the premises, together with judgment for the amount of rent due and costs; and it shall thereupon be the duty of said District Court of Baltimore City forthwith to issue summons directed to a [constable] SHERIFF of said court, ordering [him] THE SHERIFF to notify said tenant, assignee or undertenant forthwith to appear before the said District Court at trial to BE held on A DATE DETERMINED BY THE COURT THAT IS NO LATER THAN the [fifth] FOURTEENTH day after the filing of said complaint, except as hereinafter provided, to show cause why the prayer of said lessor should not be granted as aforesaid, and the said constable.
 - (B) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, THE SHERIFF shall forthwith proceed to serve said summons on or [before the third day after the filing of said complaint] AT LEAST SEVEN DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL DATE, upon said tenant, assignee or [under tenant] UNDERTENANT in said premises, or upon his or their known or authorized agent, or [said constable] THE SHERIFF shall affix an attested copy of said summons conspicuously upon said premises, and such affixing of said summons AFTER DUE NOTICE TO THE TENANT, ASSIGNEE, OR UNDERTENANT BY FIRST—CLASS MAIL shall, for the purposes of this subheading of this article, be deemed and construed a sufficient service upon all persons whomsoever.
 - (C) IF THE SHERIFF IS UNABLE TO SERVE THE SUMMONS AS REQUIRED BY SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE COURT SHALL RESCHEDULE THE HEARING TO ALLOW FOR SERVICE AT LEAST SEVEN DAYS IN ADVANCE OF THE NEW HEARING DATE, AND THE SHERIFF SHALL REFUND ANY FEES FOR SERVICE IF THE SHERIFF'S FAILURE TO TIMELY SERVE A SUMMONS IS NOT THE RESULT OF A DELAY BY THE COURT.
- 33 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 34 October 1, 2018.