SENATE BILL 981

R5

 $\operatorname{SB}\,439/18-\operatorname{JPR}$

By: Senators Hough, Carter, Cassilly, Eckardt, Edwards, Ready, Salling, Simonaire, Smith, and West

Introduced and read first time: February 18, 2019 Assigned to: Rules

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Vehicle Laws – Protective Headgear Requirement for Motorcycle Riders – 3 Exception

4 FOR the purpose of providing that a certain prohibition against operating or riding on a motorcycle without certain protective headgear does not apply to an individual at $\mathbf{5}$ 6 least a certain age who has been licensed to operate a motorcycle for a certain period 7 of time, has completed a certain motorcycle safety course, or is a passenger on a 8 motorcycle operated by a driver who has been licensed for a certain period of time or 9 has completed a certain safety course; making stylistic changes; and generally 10 relating to the requirement that protective headgear be worn by operators and riders 11 of motorcycles.

- 12 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 13 Article Transportation
- 14 Section 21–1306
- 15 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 16 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2018 Supplement)

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

- 19Article Transportation
- $20 \quad 21-1306.$

21 (a) This section does not apply to any person riding in an enclosed cab.

22 (b) (1) An individual may not operate or ride on a motorcycle unless the 23 individual is wearing protective headgear that meets the standards established by the



9lr2892

| 1 | Administrator. |
|---|---|
| $2 \\ 3$ | (2) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO AN INDIVIDUAL AT LEAST 21 YEARS OLD WHO: |
| 4 5 | (I) HAS BEEN LICENSED TO OPERATE A MOTORCYCLE FOR AT LEAST 2 YEARS; |
| 6 7 8 | (II) HAS COMPLETED A MOTORCYCLE RIDER SAFETY COURSE APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OR THE MOTORCYCLE SAFETY FOUNDATION; OR |
| 9 10 | (III) IS A PASSENGER ON A MOTORCYCLE OPERATED BY AN INDIVIDUAL DESCRIBED IN ITEM (I) OR (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH. |
| 11 | (c) A person may not operate a motorcycle unless: |
| 12 13 | (1) [He] THE PERSON is wearing an eye-protective device of a type approved by the Administrator; or |
| 14 | (2) The motorcycle is equipped with a windscreen. |
| 15 | (d) The Administrator: |
| $\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | (1) May approve or disapprove protective headgear and eye-protective devices required by this section; |
| 18 19 | (2) May adopt and enforce regulations establishing standards and specifications for the approval of protective headgear and eye–protective devices; and |
| $\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$ | (3) Shall publish lists of all protective headgear and eye-protective devices that [he] THE ADMINISTRATOR approves, by name and type. |
| $\frac{22}{23}$ | (e) (1) The failure of an individual to wear protective headgear required under subsection (b) of this section may not: |
| 24 | (i) Be considered evidence of negligence; |
| 25 | (ii) Be considered evidence of contributory negligence; |
| 26 | (iii) Limit liability of a party or an insurer; or |
| $\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28 \end{array}$ | (iv) Diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or operation of a motorcycle. |
| 29 | (2) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subsection, a party, |

SENATE BILL 981

 $\mathbf{2}$

SENATE BILL 981

3

witness, or counsel may not make reference to protective headgear during a trial of a civil action that involves property damage, personal injury, or death if the damage, injury, or death is not related to the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protective headgear.

4 (3) (i) Nothing contained in this subsection may be construed to 5 prohibit the right of a person to institute a civil action for damages against a dealer, 6 manufacturer, distributor, factory branch, or other appropriate entity or person arising out 7 of an incident that involves protective headgear alleged to be defectively designed, 8 manufactured, or repaired.

9 (ii) In a civil action described under subparagraph (i) of this 10 paragraph in which 2 or more parties are named as joint tort-feasors, interpleaded as 11 defendants, or impleaded as defendants, and at least 1 of the joint tort-feasors or 12 defendants is not involved in the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protective 13 headgear, a court shall order on a motion of any party separate trials to accomplish the 14 ends of justice.

15 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June1, 2019.