### **SENATE BILL 981**

#### R5

 $\operatorname{SB}\,439/18-\operatorname{JPR}$ 

## By: Senators Hough, Carter, Cassilly, Eckardt, Edwards, Ready, Salling, Simonaire, Smith, and West

Introduced and read first time: February 18, 2019 Assigned to: Rules

### A BILL ENTITLED

#### 1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Vehicle Laws – Protective Headgear Requirement for Motorcycle Riders – 3 Exception

#### 4 FOR the purpose of providing that a certain prohibition against operating or riding on a motorcycle without certain protective headgear does not apply to an individual at $\mathbf{5}$ 6 least a certain age who has been licensed to operate a motorcycle for a certain period 7 of time, has completed a certain motorcycle safety course, or is a passenger on a 8 motorcycle operated by a driver who has been licensed for a certain period of time or 9 has completed a certain safety course; making stylistic changes; and generally 10 relating to the requirement that protective headgear be worn by operators and riders 11 of motorcycles.

- 12 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 13 Article Transportation
- 14 Section 21–1306
- 15 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 16 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2018 Supplement)

# SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

- 19Article Transportation
- $20 \quad 21-1306.$

21 (a) This section does not apply to any person riding in an enclosed cab.

22 (b) (1) An individual may not operate or ride on a motorcycle unless the 23 individual is wearing protective headgear that meets the standards established by the



9lr2892

1	Administrator.
$2 \\ 3$	(2) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO AN INDIVIDUAL AT LEAST 21 YEARS OLD WHO:
4 5	(I) HAS BEEN LICENSED TO OPERATE A MOTORCYCLE FOR AT LEAST 2 YEARS;
6 7 8	(II) HAS COMPLETED A MOTORCYCLE RIDER SAFETY COURSE APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OR THE MOTORCYCLE SAFETY FOUNDATION; OR
9 10	(III) IS A PASSENGER ON A MOTORCYCLE OPERATED BY AN INDIVIDUAL DESCRIBED IN ITEM (I) OR (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH.
11	(c) A person may not operate a motorcycle unless:
12 13	(1) [He] <b>THE PERSON</b> is wearing an eye-protective device of a type approved by the Administrator; or
14	(2) The motorcycle is equipped with a windscreen.
15	(d) The Administrator:
$\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 17 \end{array}$	(1) May approve or disapprove protective headgear and eye-protective devices required by this section;
18 19	(2) May adopt and enforce regulations establishing standards and specifications for the approval of protective headgear and eye–protective devices; and
$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$	(3) Shall publish lists of all protective headgear and eye-protective devices that [he] THE ADMINISTRATOR approves, by name and type.
$\frac{22}{23}$	(e) (1) The failure of an individual to wear protective headgear required under subsection (b) of this section may not:
24	(i) Be considered evidence of negligence;
25	(ii) Be considered evidence of contributory negligence;
26	(iii) Limit liability of a party or an insurer; or
$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28 \end{array}$	(iv) Diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or operation of a motorcycle.
29	(2) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subsection, a party,

**SENATE BILL 981** 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### **SENATE BILL 981**

3

witness, or counsel may not make reference to protective headgear during a trial of a civil action that involves property damage, personal injury, or death if the damage, injury, or death is not related to the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protective headgear.

4 (3) (i) Nothing contained in this subsection may be construed to 5 prohibit the right of a person to institute a civil action for damages against a dealer, 6 manufacturer, distributor, factory branch, or other appropriate entity or person arising out 7 of an incident that involves protective headgear alleged to be defectively designed, 8 manufactured, or repaired.

9 (ii) In a civil action described under subparagraph (i) of this 10 paragraph in which 2 or more parties are named as joint tort-feasors, interpleaded as 11 defendants, or impleaded as defendants, and at least 1 of the joint tort-feasors or 12 defendants is not involved in the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protective 13 headgear, a court shall order on a motion of any party separate trials to accomplish the 14 ends of justice.

15 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June1, 2019.