E2 1lr2370

By: Delegate Washington

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 2021

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Criminal Procedure - Law Enforcement Procedures - Use of Force

3 FOR the purpose of providing that a certain police officer is justified in using force under 4 certain circumstances; providing that a certain police officer is justified in using 5 deadly force under certain circumstances; requiring a certain trier of fact to consider 6 certain factors when assessing whether a certain police officer's beliefs and actions 7 were reasonable; prohibiting a police officer from using a certain type of restraint, 8 subject to a certain exception; requiring a certain police officer to make a certain 9 attempt to intervene, to terminate, or to prevent a certain other officer's use of a certain type of restraint under certain circumstances; prohibiting a police officer 10 11 from using deadly force directed at a moving vehicle, subject to certain exceptions; 12 requiring a certain trier of fact to consider a certain police officer's beliefs and actions 13 from the perspective of a reasonable police officer; defining certain terms; and 14 generally relating to the use of force by police officers.

- 15 BY adding to
- 16 Article Criminal Procedure
- 17 Section 2–109
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2018 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)
- 20 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 21 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 22 Article Criminal Procedure
- 23 **2–109.**
- 24 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS

25 INDICATED.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.



- **(2)** "DEADLY FORCE" MEANS FORCE THAT IS LIKELY OR INTENDED TO 1 2 CAUSE SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH. 3 "DEADLY WEAPON" MEANS AN OBJECT, USED OR INTENDED TO BE USED THAT IS LIKELY TO CAUSE SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH. 4 5 EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, A POLICE 6 OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING FORCE AGAINST A PERSON IF: 7 **(1)** (I)1. THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT THE 8 PERSON COMMITTED OR IS ABOUT TO COMMIT A CRIME; AND 9 2. THE FORCE IS USED TO PREVENT THE PERSON'S 10 ESCAPE FROM LAWFUL CUSTODY; OR 11 (II)THE FORCE IS USED TO PREVENT THE COMMISSION OF A 12 **CRIME**; AND 13 **(2)** THE POLICE OFFICER USES: 14 **(I)** FORCE THAT IS REASONABLY PROPORTIONATE TO THE 15 THREAT POSED BY THE PERSON; AND 16 (II)NO MORE FORCE THAN IS REASONABLY NECESSARY TO: 17 1. EFFECTUATE AN ARREST; 2. 18 PREVENT THE PERSON'S ESCAPE FROM LAWFUL 19 **CUSTODY**; OR OBTAIN THE PERSON'S COMPLIANCE WITH A LAWFUL 20 3. 21ORDER. 22(C) A POLICE OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING DEADLY FORCE IF:
- 23 (1) THE POLICE OFFICER ACTUALLY AND REASONABLY BELIEVES
- 24 THAT DEADLY FORCE IS IMMEDIATELY NECESSARY TO PROTECT THE POLICE
- 25 OFFICER OR ANOTHER PERSON FROM THE THREAT OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR
- 26 DEATH;
- 27 (2) THE POLICE OFFICER'S ACTIONS ARE REASONABLE GIVEN THE 28 TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES; AND

- 1 (3) ALL OTHER REASONABLE MEANS OF DEFENSE HAVE BEEN 2 EXHAUSTED.
- 3 (D) A TRIER OF FACT SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS AS PART
 4 OF THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN ASSESSING WHETHER THE POLICE
 5 OFFICER'S BELIEFS AND ACTIONS WERE REASONABLE:
- 6 (1) WHETHER THE POLICE OFFICER ENGAGED IN DE-ESCALATION
 7 MEASURES, INCLUDING TAKING COVER, WAITING FOR BACKUP, TRYING TO CALM
 8 THE PERSON, OR USING LESS LETHAL TYPES OF FORCE PRIOR TO THE USE OF
 9 DEADLY FORCE, IF SUCH MEASURES WERE REASONABLE; AND
- 10 (2) WHETHER ANY CONDUCT BY THE POLICE OFFICER INCREASED 11 THE RISK OF A CONFRONTATION.
- 12 **(E) (1)** A POLICE OFFICER MAY NOT USE A CHOKE HOLD, NECK HOLD, 13 STRANGLEHOLD, OR ANY OTHER RESTRAINT OF THE CAROTID ARTERY EXCEPT TO 14 COUNTER AN IMMINENT THREAT OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH TO THE 15 POLICE OFFICER.
- 16 (2) A POLICE OFFICER WHO KNOWS OR REASONABLY SHOULD KNOW
 17 THAT ANOTHER POLICE OFFICER IS USING A RESTRAINT OF A PERSON'S CAROTID
 18 ARTERY OR INTENDS TO USE A RESTRAINT OF A PERSON'S CAROTID ARTERY SHALL
 19 MAKE A REASONABLE ATTEMPT TO INTERVENE TO TERMINATE OR PREVENT THE
 20 OTHER POLICE OFFICER'S USE OF THE RESTRAINT.
- 21 (F) A POLICE OFFICER MAY USE DEADLY FORCE DIRECTED AT A MOVING 22 VEHICLE ONLY:
- 23 (1) TO COUNTER AN IMMINENT THREAT OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY 24 OR DEATH TO THE POLICE OFFICER OR ANOTHER PERSON BY A PERSON INSIDE THE 25 MOVING VEHICLE;
- 26 (2) IF ALL OTHER REASONABLE MEANS OF DEFENSE HAVE BEEN 27 EXHAUSTED; AND
- 28 (3) IF THE POLICE OFFICER HAS MADE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO 29 AVOID BEING IN THE PATH OF THE MOVING VEHICLE.
- 30 (G) A TRIER OF FACT SHALL CONSIDER THE REASONABLENESS OF THE 31 DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER'S BELIEFS AND ACTIONS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF 32 A REASONABLE POLICE OFFICER.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2021.