### D4

(PRE-FILED)

1lr0814 CF HB 748

#### By: Senator Lee

Requested: October 2, 2020 Introduced and read first time: January 13, 2021 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments Senate action: Adopted Read second time: March 19, 2021

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

## 1 AN ACT concerning

#### $\mathbf{2}$

## Family Law - Child Custody and Visitation

3 FOR the purpose of requiring a court, in a custody or visitation proceeding, to deny custody 4 or visitation rights to a certain party under certain circumstances, unless the court  $\mathbf{5}$ makes a certain finding and states the reasons for the finding; authorizing the court 6 to approve a supervised visitation arrangement under certain circumstances; 7 providing that any reasonable effort to protect a child or a party to a custody or 8 visitation order from the other party may not be deemed an unjustifiable denial or 9 interference with visitation under a certain provision of law; establishing that there 10 is no presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child in a child 11 <del>custody or visitation proceeding; requiring the court, in determining the best interest</del> 12of the child in a custody or visitation proceeding, to give extra weight to certain factors: requiring the court to consider certain factors: authorizing the court to 13 consider certain factors; requiring the court to articulate certain findings of fact on 14 15the record; providing for the scope of certain provisions of this Act; making certain clarifying and conforming changes; and generally relating to child custody and 16 17visitation.

## 18 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

- 19 Article Family Law
- 20 Section 9–101<del>, 9–101.1,</del> and 9–105
- 21 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 22 (2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



BY adding to
Article - Family Law
Section 9-109
Annotated Code of Maryland
(2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)
SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,

7 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

8

Article - Family Law

9 9–101.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

# 10 (A) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A CHILD IN NEED OF ASSISTANCE 11 CASE.

[(a) In any custody or visitation proceeding, if the court has reasonable grounds to believe that a child has been abused or neglected by a party to the proceeding, the court shall determine whether abuse or neglect is likely to occur if custody or visitation rights are granted to the party.]

16 Unless the court specifically finds that there is no likelihood of further <del>(h)</del> (A) 17child abuse or neglect by the party, the] **EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION** (C) (B) OF THIS SECTION, IN ANY CUSTODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING, THE court shall 18 deny custody or visitation rights to [that party, except that the court may approve a 19 supervised visitation arrangement that assures the safety and the physiological, 2021psychological, and emotional well-being of the child] A PARTY IF THE COURT HAS 22REASONABLE GROUNDS TO BELIEVE FINDS BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE 23EVIDENCE THAT A CHILD HAS BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THE PARTY, UNLESS 24THE COURT:

25 (1) SPECIFICALLY FINDS THAT THERE IS NO LIKELIHOOD OF 26 FURTHER CHILD ABUSE OR NEGLECT BY THE PARTY; AND

27(2)STATES WITH SPECIFICITY THE REASONS FOR THE FINDING THAT28THERE IS NO LIKELIHOOD OF FURTHER CHILD ABUSE OR NEGLECT BY THE PARTY.

29 (C) (B) A COURT MAY APPROVE A SUPERVISED VISITATION 30 ARRANGEMENT IF THE ARRANGEMENT:

(1) SPECIFICALLY TAKES INTO ACCOUNT THE TYPE OF CHILD ABUSE
OR NEGLECT, INCLUDING WHETHER, IN THE CASE OF CHILD ABUSE, THE ABUSE WAS
EMOTIONAL, PHYSICAL, OR SEXUAL; AND

1	(2) ASSURES THE SAFETY AND THE PHYSIOLOGICAL,
2	PSYCHOLOGICAL, AND EMOTIONAL WELL–BEING OF THE CHILD.
3	9-101.1.
4	(a) In this section, "abuse" has the meaning stated in § 4–501 of this article.
~	
5	(B) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A CHILD IN NEED OF ASSISTANCE
6	<del>CASE.</del>
7	[(b)] (C) In a custody or visitation proceeding, the court shall consider, when
8	deciding custody or visitation issues, evidence of abuse by a party against:
U	
9	(1) the other parent of the party's child;
10	(2) the party's spouse; or
1 1	
11	(3) any child residing within the party's household, including a child other
12	than the child who is the subject of the custody or visitation proceeding.
13	<b>f(c) (D)</b> If the court finds that a party has committed abuse against the other
14	parent of the party's child, the party's spouse, or any child residing within the party's
15	household, the court shall make arrangements for custody or visitation that best protect:
16	(1) the child who is the subject of the proceeding; and
17	$\frac{(2)}{(2)} \qquad \text{the victim of the abuse.}$
18	9-105.
10	
19	(A) In any custody or visitation proceeding, if the court determines that a party to
20 21	a custody or visitation order has unjustifiably denied or interfered with visitation granted
$\frac{21}{22}$	by a custody or visitation order, the court may, in addition to any other remedy available
$\frac{22}{23}$	to the court and in a manner consistent with the best interests of the child, take any or all of the following actions:
20	of the following actions.
24	(1) order that the visitation be rescheduled;
25	(2) modify the custody or visitation order to require additional terms or
26	conditions designed to ensure future compliance with the order; or
~-	
27	(3) assess costs or counsel fees against the party who has unjustifiably
28	denied or interfered with visitation rights.
29	(B) ANY REASONABLE EFFORT TO PROTECT A CHILD OR A PARTY TO A
29 30	CUSTODY OR VISITATION ORDER FROM THE OTHER PARTY MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED
50	

1 AN UNJUSTIFIABLE DENIAL OR INTERFERENCE WITH VISITATION GRANTED BY A 2 CUSTODY OR VISITATION ORDER.

3 **9–109.** 

4 (A) THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF §§ 9–101, 9–101.1, 5 AND 9–101.2 OF THIS SUBTITLE.

6 **(B)** THERE IS NO PRESUMPTION THAT JOINT CUSTODY IS IN THE BEST 7 INTEREST OF THE CHILD.

8 (C) IN ANY CUSTODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING, IN DETERMINING THE 9 BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD, THE COURT SHALL GIVE EXTRA WEIGHT TO FACTORS 10 LISTED IN SUBSECTIONS (E) AND (F) OF THIS SECTION THAT AFFECT THE PHYSICAL 11 AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY OF THE CHILD.

12 (D) IF A CASE INVOLVES DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OR CHILD ABUSE, THE COURT 13 SHALL EXCLUDE ANY FACTORS LISTED IN SUBSECTIONS (E) AND (F) OF THIS 14 SECTION THAT RELATE TO THE WILLINGNESS OF A PARTY TO FACILITATE CONTACT 15 WITH THE CHILD OR THE OTHER PARTY.

16 **(E)** IN ANY CUSTODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING, IN DETERMINING THE 17 BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD, THE COURT SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING 18 FACTORS:

19 (1) THE ABILITY OF EACH OF THE PARTIES TO MEET THE CHILD'S 20 DEVELOPMENTAL NEEDS, INCLUDING:

21

- (I) ENSURING PHYSICAL SAFETY;
- 22 (II) SUPPORTING EMOTIONAL SECURITY AND POSITIVE 23 SELF-IMAGE;
- 24 (III) PROMOTING INTERPERSONAL SKILLS; AND
- 25 (IV) PROMOTING INTELLECTUAL AND COGNITIVE GROWTH;

26 (2) THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE PARTIES, THE
27 CHILD'S SIBLINGS, OTHER RELATIVES, AND ANY OTHER PERSON WHO HAS A
28 SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD;

29 (3) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO MEET THE DAY-TO-DAY NEEDS 30 OF THE CHILD, INCLUDING:

4

			SENALE DILL 37 5
1		<del>(I)</del>	EDUCATION;
2		<del>(II)</del>	SOCIALIZATION;
3		<del>(III)</del>	CULTURE AND RELIGION;
4		<del>(IV)</del>	<del>FOOD;</del>
5		<del>(V)</del>	<del>SHELTER;</del>
6		<del>(VI)</del>	CLOTHING; AND
7		<del>(VII)</del>	MENTAL AND PHYSICAL HEALTH;
8	(4)	THE-	ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO:
9 10	OPPOSED TO THE	<del>(I)</del> NEEI	CONSIDER AND ACT ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD, AS OS OR DESIRES OF THE PARTY;
10			
$\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$	CONFLICT BETWI	<del>(II)</del> <del>EEN TI</del>	PROTECT THE CHILD FROM THE ADVERSE EFFECTS OF ANY HE PARTIES; AND
10		(111)	
$\frac{13}{14}$	THE OTHED DAD		MAINTAIN, FOSTER, AND FACILITATE RELATIONSHIPS WITH
14 $15$		-	BLINGS, OTHER RELATIVES, AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHO ELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD;
10			ELAHONSHIT WITH THE CHIED;
16	<del>(5)</del>	THE I	HISTORY OF ANY EFFORTS BY A PARTY TO INTERFERE WITH
17	THE CHILD'S REL	ATION	ISHIP WITH THE OTHER PARTY;
18	<del>(6)</del>		EVIDENCE OF EXPOSURE OF THE CHILD TO DOMESTIC
19	<del>VIOLENCE, CHILI</del>	<del>) ABU</del>	SE, OR CHILD NEGLECT;
20	<del>(7)</del>	THE /	AGE AND GENDER OF THE CHILD; AND
21	<del>(8)</del>	MILL	FARY DEPLOYMENT OF A PARTY.
22	<del>(f)</del> In Al	NY CU	STODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING, IN DETERMINING THE
23	BEST INTEREST	<del>OF T</del>	HE CHILD, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING
24	FACTORS:		
0 <b>F</b>	(1)	<b>T T T T T T T T T T</b>	
$\frac{25}{26}$	<del>(1)</del>		ENCE OF ANY PRIOR COURT ORDERS OR AGREEMENTS
$\frac{26}{27}$			ES, INCLUDING PRIOR AGREEMENTS CONCERNING THE RRANGEMENTS OR PARENTING RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE
21 90		14 11 <del>7 1 1</del> 1	

28 <del>CHILD;</del>

	6 SENATE BILL 57
$\frac{1}{2}$	(2) THE PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND THE PARTICULAR PARENTING TASKS CUSTOMARILY PERFORMED BY EACH PARTY, INCLUDING:
3	(I) TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES PERFORMED:
4	<b>1. BEFORE THE INITIATION OF LITIGATION;</b>
5	2. DURING THE PENDING LITICATION; AND
6	<b>3.</b> AFTER THE ISSUANCE OF ORDERS OF COURT; AND
7 8	<del>(II)</del> <del>THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES</del> HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE UNDERTAKEN BY THIRD PARTIES;
9 10	(3) THE PROXIMITY OF THE PARTIES' HOMES AS IT RELATES TO THEIR ABILITY TO COORDINATE CUSTODY AND VISITATION, SCHOOL, AND ACTIVITIES;
11 12	(4) THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PARTIES, INCLUDING THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO:
13	(I) EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE WITH THE OTHER PARTY; AND
$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 15 \end{array}$	(II) CO-PARENT THE CHILD WITHOUT DISRUPTION TO THE CHILD'S SOCIAL AND SCHOOL LIFE;
16 17 18	(5) THE EXTENT TO WHICH EITHER PARTY HAS INITIATED OR ENGAGED IN FRIVOLOUS OR VEXATIOUS LITIGATION, AS DEFINED IN THE MARYLAND RULES;
19	(6) THE CHILD'S PREFERENCE IF:
$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$	(I) THE CHILD IS OF SUFFICIENT AGE AND CAPACITY TO FORM A PREFERENCE; AND
$\frac{22}{23}$	<del>(II)</del> <del>THE COURT CONSIDERS THE CHILD'S POSSIBLE</del> SUSCEPTIBILITY TO MANIPULATION BY A PARTY OR BY OTHERS; AND
$24 \\ 25 \\ 26$	(7) ANY OTHER FACTOR THAT THE COURT CONSIDERS APPROPRIATE IN DETERMINING HOW TO BEST SERVE THE PHYSICAL, DEVELOPMENTAL, AND EMOTIONAL NEEDS OF THE CHILD.
27	(G) THE COURT SHALL ARTICULATE ITS FINDINGS OF FACT ON THE

28 **RECORD, INCLUDING:** 

1 (1) THE CONSIDERATION OF EACH FACTOR LISTED IN SUBSECTION 2 (E) OF THIS SECTION;

3 (2) THE CONSIDERATION OF ANY FACTOR LISTED IN SUBSECTION (F)
4 OF THIS SECTION;

5 (3) THE CONSIDERATION OF ANY OTHER FACTOR THAT THE COURT 6 CONSIDERED; AND

# 7 (4) THE WEIGHT THE COURT GAVE TO EACH FACTOR THAT THE COURT 8 CONSIDERED.

9 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 10 October 1, 2021.

Approved:

Governor.

President of the Senate.

Speaker of the House of Delegates.