

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2021 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE
First Reader

Senate Bill 552
Finance

(Senator Young)

Motor Vehicle Insurance Use of Credit History Rating Policy

This bill prohibits a private passenger motor vehicle insurer from rating a risk (insurance policy) using the credit history of an applicant and makes conforming changes. As a result of the bill's changes, the credit history of an insured or applicant may no longer be used by a private passenger automobile insurer in any way. The bill applies to all private passenger motor vehicle insurance policies issued, delivered, or renewed in the State on or after October 1, 2021.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Minimal special fund revenue increase from the \$125 rate and form filing fee in FY 2022. Maryland Insurance Administration review of additional filings may necessitate contractual support in FY 2022 only; special fund expenditures also increase minimally that year if changes in rate making standards (and the loss of discounts) result in more complaints. General fund revenues may be affected, as discussed below.

Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund Effect: The bill does not directly affect Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund (MAIF) finances or operations; MAIF does not use an insured's or applicant's credit history to rate policies.

Local Effect: The bill does not directly affect local governmental operations or finances.

Small Business Effect: Minimal.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill specifies that credit history may not be used to rate a risk in any manner, including (1) the provision or removal of a discount; (2) assigning the insured or applicant to a rating tier; or (3) placing an insured or applicant with an affiliated company.

Current Law: “Credit history” means any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer’s creditworthiness, credit standing, or credit capacity used for the purpose of determining personal lines insurance premiums or eligibility for coverage.

A private passenger motor vehicle insurer may not (1) refuse to underwrite, cancel, refuse to renew, or increase the renewal premium based, in whole or in part, on the credit history of an insured or applicant or (2) require a particular payment plan based, in whole or in part, on the credit history of an insured or applicant. However, an insurer may use the credit history of an applicant to rate a new policy; an insurer that does so:

- may not use a factor on the applicant’s credit history that occurred more than five years prior to issuing the new policy;
- must advise the applicant that credit history is used and, at the applicant’s request, provide a premium quote that separately identifies the portion of the premium attributable to the applicant’s credit history;
- may not use the absence of or inability to determine the applicant’s credit history or the number of credit inquiries about the applicant’s credit history as factors in the rating;
- must review the credit history of an insured whose premium was raised due to credit history at initial rating every two years or on request of the insured (and disclose this requirement to the applicant); and
- based on this periodic review, must adjust the premium to reflect any improvement in the insured’s credit history.

An insurer that uses an applicant’s credit history to rate a policy may provide a discount of up to 40% or impose a surcharge of up to 40% based on the credit history.

Current law prohibits insurers, with respect to *homeowner’s* insurance, from rating a risk based, in whole or in part, on the credit history of an applicant or insured in any manner.

State Revenues: Title 6 of the Insurance Article imposes a 2% premium tax on each authorized insurance company, surplus lines broker, or unauthorized insurance company that sells, or an individual who independently procures, any type of insurance coverage upon a risk that is located in the State. Revenues accrue to the general fund.

Currently available information indicates that private passenger automobile insurers in the State are uncertain as to what effect the bill has on premiums for private passenger automobile insurance policies. Thus, the direction and extent of any impact on general fund revenues through the premium tax cannot be reliably estimated at this time.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: SB 17 of 2020 received a hearing in the Senate Finance Committee, but no further action was taken. SB 235 of 2019 received a hearing in the Senate Finance Committee, but no further action was taken. Its cross file, HB 351 of 2019, received an unfavorable report from the House Economic Matters Committee. In addition, similar bills were introduced in the 2008, 2009, 2010, 2012, and 2019 sessions.

Designated Cross File: HB 168 (Delegate Ivey) - Economic Matters.

Information Source(s): Maryland Insurance Administration; Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - January 27, 2021
an/jc

Analysis by: Richard L. Duncan

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510