This bill alters the circumstances under which an individual may be disqualified from jury service. The bill repeals a provision that disqualifies an individual who has previously received a sentence of imprisonment for more than one year. Instead, an individual is not qualified for jury service if the individual has been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment exceeding one year and is currently serving the sentence imposed for the conviction, including a term of probation. The bill repeals, as obsolete, a provision providing that an individual with a disqualifying conviction who has been pardoned qualifies for jury service.

Fiscal Summary

**State Effect:** The bill does not materially affect the workload of the Judiciary or the Office of the Public Defender (OPD).

**Local Effect:** The bill does not materially affect the workload of the circuit courts or State’s Attorneys’ offices.

**Small Business Effect:** None.

Analysis

**Current Law:** Each adult citizen of the State has both the opportunity for jury service and, when summoned, the duty to serve. However, an individual is not qualified for jury service in specified circumstances, including if the individual has been convicted, in a federal or State court, of a crime punishable by imprisonment exceeding one year and received a sentence of imprisonment for more than one year. An individual who has a
charge pending in a federal or State court for a crime punishable by imprisonment exceeding one year is also not qualified for jury service. An individual with a disqualifying conviction who has been pardoned qualifies for jury service.

**State/Local Fiscal Effect:** Although the bill increases the number of prospective jurors in the State, potentially making the process of summoning jurors and selecting a jury more efficient, any such impact does not materially affect the workload of the Judiciary, the circuit courts, OPD, or State’s Attorneys’ offices.

---

### Additional Information

**Prior Introductions:** HB 1213 of 2020, a similar bill, passed the House and was referred to the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee, but no further action was taken. SB 921 of 2020, a similar bill, received a hearing in the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee, but no further action was taken.

**Designated Cross File:** HB 260 (Delegate W. Fisher) - Judiciary.

**Information Source(s):** Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts); Office of the Public Defender; Maryland State’s Attorneys’ Association; Department of Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:**
- First Reader - February 12, 2021
- Third Reader - March 22, 2021
  - Revised - Amendment(s) - March 22, 2021
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