## **SENATE BILL 336**

D4 SB 355/21 – JPR

#### By: **Senators Carozza, Lee, and West** Introduced and read first time: January 20, 2022 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

#### A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

#### 2 Family Law – Custody Evaluators – Qualifications and Training

- 3 FOR the purpose of specifying certain qualifications and training necessary for an 4 individual to be appointed or approved by a court as a custody evaluator; and 5 generally relating to custody evaluators.
- 6 BY adding to
- 7 Article Family Law
- 8 Section 9–109
- 9 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 10 (2019 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
   That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 13 Article Family Law
- 14 **9–109.**

### 15 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "CUSTODY EVALUATOR" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL 16 APPOINTED OR APPROVED BY A COURT TO PERFORM A CUSTODY EVALUATION.

# 17(B)A COURT MAY NOT APPOINT OR APPROVE AN INDIVIDUAL AS A CUSTODY18EVALUATOR UNLESS THE INDIVIDUAL:

- 19 **(1)** IS:
- 20(I) A PHYSICIAN LICENSED IN ANY STATE WHO IS BOARD21CERTIFIED IN PSYCHIATRY OR HAS COMPLETED A PSYCHIATRY RESIDENCY

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



2lr0935

SENATE BILL 336

1 ACCREDITED BY THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR GRADUATE MEDICAL 2 EDUCATION OR A SUCCESSOR TO THAT COUNCIL;

3 (II) A MARYLAND LICENSED PSYCHOLOGIST OR Α 4 PSYCHOLOGIST WITH AN EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; (III) A MARYLAND LICENSED CLINICAL MARRIAGE AND FAMILY  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 THERAPIST OR A CLINICAL MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPIST WITH AN 7 EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; 8 MARYLAND (IV) A LICENSED CERTIFIED SOCIAL 9 WORKER-CLINICAL OR A CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKER WITH AN EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE: 10

11 (V) 1. A MARYLAND LICENSED GRADUATE OR MASTER 12 SOCIAL WORKER WITH AT LEAST 2 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN ONE OR MORE OF THE 13 AREAS LISTED IN SUBSECTION (C)(1) OF THIS SECTION; OR

142.A GRADUATE OR MASTER SOCIAL WORKER WITH AN15EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE AND EXPERIENCE IN ANY OTHER STATE; OR

16 (VI) A MARYLAND LICENSED CLINICAL PROFESSIONAL 17 COUNSELOR OR A CLINICAL PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR WITH AN EQUIVALENT 18 LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; AND

19 (2) HAS EXPERIENCE, OBTAINED EITHER THROUGH OBSERVATION
 20 UNDER CLINICAL SUPERVISION OR THROUGH THE PERFORMANCE OF CUSTODY
 21 EVALUATIONS WITH THE FOLLOWING:

22 (I) FAMILY SYSTEMS, PARTNER CONFLICT, AND CONFLICT 23 RESOLUTION STYLES;

24(II) NORMATIVE CHILD, ADOLESCENT, AND ADULT25 DEVELOPMENT;

26 (III) THE IMPACT OF INTERPERSONAL LOSS AND CHRONIC 27 STRESS ON AN INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY SYSTEM;

(IV) MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSES, INCLUDING CURRENT
 SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUES RELEVANT TO THE CAPACITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL TO
 PROVIDE HEALTHY, PROTECTIVE, OR RESTORATIVE PARENTING;

31

(V) THE IMMEDIATE AND LONG–TERM NEURODEVELOPMENTAL

1 IMPACT OF CHILD NEGLECT, ALL TYPES OF CHILD ABUSE, AND EXPOSURE TO  $\mathbf{2}$ **DOMESTIC VIOLENCE;** 3 (VI) CULTURALLY AND SPIRITUALLY SENSITIVE CLINICAL 4 **INTERVIEWING SKILLS;**  $\mathbf{5}$ (VII) HOW CHILDREN RESPOND TO TRAUMATIC STRESS AND WHY 6 THE VERBAL AND NONVERBAL EXPRESSION OF TRAUMATIC STRESS BY A CHILD MAY 7 **BE DELAYED;** 8 (VIII) ALL FORMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, INCLUDING SEXUAL 9 VIOLENCE, STALKING, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL AGGRESSION; 10 (IX) THE IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM IMPACTS OF PARENT 11 **SEPARATION ON A CHILD;** 12**PROTECTIVE FACTORS THAT PROMOTE A CHILD'S HEALTHY (**X**)** 13 **RESOLUTION OF PARENT SEPARATION; AND** 14(XI) PROTECTIVE FACTORS AND PARENT PRACTICES THAT 15PROMOTE TRAUMA RECOVERY IN CASES OF CHILD ABUSE. **(C)** BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2023, IN ADDITION TO MEETING THE 16 (1) **REQUIREMENTS UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION AND COMPLYING WITH** 17THE CONTINUING EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE APPLICABLE FIELD, 18 BEFORE APPOINTMENT OR APPROVAL BY A COURT AS A CUSTODY EVALUATOR, AN 19 20INDIVIDUAL MUST COMPLETE AT LEAST 20 HOURS OF INITIAL TRAINING IN THE 21FOLLOWING AREAS: 22**(I)** NEUROTYPICAL INFANT AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT; 23**(II)** THE IMPACT OF ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES, 24TRAUMA, COMPLEX TRAUMA, AND CHRONIC TOXIC STRESS ON THE 25NEURODEVELOPMENT OF A CHILD AND THE VARIOUS RESPONSES OF CHILDREN TO 26 TRAUMA; 27(III) THE INVESTIGATION PROCESS AFTER A LAW ENFORCEMENT 28AGENCY OR LOCAL DEPARTMENT HAS RECEIVED A REPORT OF SUSPECTED CHILD 29ABUSE OR CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE, INCLUDING: 30 1. THE ROLE OF CHILD ADVOCACY CENTERS AND AN 31 **EXPLANATION OF THE FORENSIC INTERVIEW;** 

12. THE LIMITATIONS OF LOCAL DEPARTMENTS IN2INVESTIGATING REPORTS OF SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE AND CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE;3AND

3. THAT THE LACK OF A FINDING OF INDICTED CHILD
ABUSE OR CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT OR A LOCAL DEPARTMENT
DOES NOT MEAN THAT CHILD ABUSE OR CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE DID NOT OCCUR;

7 (IV) THE DYNAMICS AND EFFECTS OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE,
8 INCLUDING GROOMING BEHAVIORS BY FAMILY OFFENDERS AND THE DISCLOSURE
9 OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE BASED ON DEVELOPMENTAL STAGES OF THE CHILD,
10 INCLUDING DELAYED DISCLOSURE;

11(V) THE DYNAMICS AND EFFECTS OF PHYSICAL AND12EMOTIONAL CHILD ABUSE;

(VI) THE DYNAMICS AND EFFECTS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE,
INCLUDING COERCIVE CONTROL, LETHALITY ASSESSMENTS, LITIGATION ABUSE,
AND THAT DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CAN OCCUR WITHOUT A PARTY SEEKING OR
OBTAINING A PROTECTIVE ORDER OR OTHER DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE OF ABUSE;

(VII) THE IMPACT OF EXPOSURE TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ON
CHILDREN AND THE IMPORTANCE OF CONSIDERING THIS IMPACT WHEN MAKING
CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION DECISIONS;

(VIII) THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF CUSTODY BIAS AND IMPLICIT
 BIAS ON CHILD CUSTODY DECISIONS, INCLUDING THE CORE PROBLEM OF A BIAS OF
 PRESUMPTION OF COACHING IN CUSTODY CASES AND THAT ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE
 ARE FALSE;

(IX) INFORMATION ON HOW SURVIVORS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
 MAY PRESENT INFORMATION IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT CONFORM TO GENERAL
 EXPECTATIONS FOR CREDIBILITY;

(X) BEST PRACTICES TO ENSURE THAT REASONABLE AND
FEASIBLE PROTECTIVE MEASURES ARE TAKEN TO REDUCE THE RISK OF
TRAUMATIZATION OR RE-TRAUMATIZATION BY THE COURT PROCESS ON THE CHILD,
INCLUDING AVAILABLE METHODS TO OBTAIN RELEVANT INFORMATION WITHOUT
THE NECESSITY OF REPEATED, DETAILED INTERVIEWS OF THE CHILD;

32 (XI) BACKGROUND AND CURRENT RESEARCH-INFORMED
 33 LITERATURE REGARDING PARENTAL ALIENATION, ITS INVALIDITY AS A SYNDROME,
 34 AND THE INAPPROPRIATENESS OF ITS USE IN CHILD CUSTODY CASES;

4

1 (XII) THE LIMITATIONS OF SEXUAL OFFENDER EVALUATIONS 2 AND RISK ASSESSMENTS IN THE ADJUDICATORY PHASE OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE 3 CASES AND THE ETHICAL PROHIBITIONS ON THE USE OF THESE ASSESSMENTS TO 4 DETERMINE LIKELIHOOD OF OFFENDING;

5 (XIII) TOOLS THAT CAN HELP ASSESS THE CREDIBILITY OF A 6 CHILD WITNESS AND INFORMATION ON HOW METHODS SUCH AS CHILD THERAPY 7 AND EXPRESSIVE ARTS ARE LEGITIMATE THERAPEUTIC TOOLS TO MEASURE BOTH 8 THE DEGREE OF TRAUMATIC IMPACT AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THERAPEUTIC 9 AND SYSTEM INTERVENTION;

10 (XIV) THE CORRELATION BETWEEN CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE AND 11 CHILD PORNOGRAPHY;

12 (XV) APPROPRIATE STANDARDS FOR THE KNOWLEDGE, 13 EXPERIENCE, AND QUALIFICATIONS OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE EVALUATORS AND 14 TREATMENT PROVIDERS AND THE LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF 15 ALLOWING OR RELYING ON UNQUALIFIED EVALUATORS AND THERAPISTS TO 16 PRACTICE OUTSIDE THEIR FIELDS OF EXPERTISE; AND

17 (XVI) HOW THE INAPPROPRIATE APPLICATION OF THE BEST
18 INTEREST OF THE CHILD STANDARD CAN HARM CHILDREN SUFFERING FROM ABUSE
19 AND THE NECESSITY OF WEIGHING THE PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY OF
20 THE CHILD BEFORE WEIGHING OTHER BEST INTEREST FACTORS.

21(2) FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF INITIAL THE TRAINING 22**REQUIREMENTS UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION, IN ORDER FOR A** 23COURT TO CONTINUE TO APPOINT OR APPROVE AN INDIVIDUAL AS A CUSTODY 24EVALUATOR, THE INDIVIDUAL MUST COMPLETE 5 HOURS OF CONTINUING 25EDUCATION AND TRAINING EVERY 2 YEARS.

(D) IN ANY ACTION IN WHICH CHILD SUPPORT, CUSTODY, OR VISITATION IS
 AT ISSUE, A COURT SHALL PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THE PARTIES REGARDING THE
 ROLE, AVAILABILITY, AND COST OF A CUSTODY EVALUATOR IN THE JURISDICTION.

29 (E) BEFORE ENGAGING IN THE CUSTODY EVALUATION PROCESS, A 30 CUSTODY EVALUATOR SHALL PROVIDE, IN WRITING, INFORMATION REGARDING THE 31 POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND FEES AND COSTS FOR THE EVALUATION.

32 (F) THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS MAY ADOPT 33 PROCEDURES TO IMPLEMENT THIS SECTION. 1 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 2 1, 2022.