

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2022 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE
First Reader

Senate Bill 619

(Senator Cassilly)

Judicial Proceedings

Organized Retail Theft

This bill (1) clarifies the venue for prosecution of a case involving multiple thefts in multiple counties; (2) alters the authority of a police officer to make a warrantless arrest for theft; and (3) requires that if a court finds that a defendant committed “organized retail theft,” the finding must be included in the court record and reported to the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS) Central Repository.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: The bill is not expected to materially affect State finances or operations.

Local Effect: The bill is not expected to materially affect local government finances or operations.

Small Business Effect: Minimal.

Analysis

Bill Summary/Current Law:

General Theft Statute

Under the general theft statute, a person may not, under specified circumstances, (1) willfully or knowingly obtain or exert unauthorized control over property; (2) obtain control over property by willfully or knowingly using deception; (3) possess stolen property knowing that it has been stolen or believing that it probably has been stolen; (4) obtain control over property knowing that the property was lost, mislaid, or delivered

under a mistake as to the identity of the recipient or nature or amount of the property; or (5) obtain the services of another that are available only by compensation by deception or with knowledge that the services are provided without the provider's consent. A violator is required to restore the owner's property or pay the owner the value of the property or services and is subject to the penalties listed below:

Value of Property and/or Services

Maximum Penalty

Less than \$100*	Misdemeanor – 90 days imprisonment and/or \$500 fine
At least \$100 but less than \$1,500*	Misdemeanor – 6 months imprisonment and/or \$500 fine (first conviction) or 1 year imprisonment and/or \$500 (second or subsequent conviction)
Less than \$1,500 (four or more prior theft convictions)**	Misdemeanor – 5 years imprisonment and/or \$5,000 fine
At least \$1,500 but less than \$25,000	Felony – 5 years imprisonment and/or \$10,000 fine
At least \$25,000 but less than \$100,000	Felony – 10 years imprisonment and/or \$15,000 fine
\$100,000 or more	Felony – 20 years imprisonment and/or \$25,000 fine

*Subject to two-year statute of limitations.
 **Subject to specified notice requirements.

Section 7-110 of the Criminal Law Article specifies presumptions and permitted and prohibited defenses to the crime of theft. The District Court has concurrent jurisdiction with the circuit courts over felony theft violations under § 7-104 of the Criminal Law Article.

Scheme/Continuing Course of Conduct and Venue

Under current law, when a person commits a theft under one scheme or continuing course of conduct, whether from the same or several sources, the conduct may be considered as one crime, and the value of the property or services may be aggregated in determining whether the theft is a felony or misdemeanor.

The bill specifies that multiple thefts committed by the same person in multiple counties under one scheme or continuing course of conduct may be joined and prosecuted in any

county in which any one of the thefts occurred. However, misdemeanor charges from different counties may not be aggregated to constitute a felony.

Specific Crimes Subject to a Warrantless Arrest

Under current law, a police officer may make a warrantless arrest if the police officer has probable cause to believe:

- that the person has committed any of several specified crimes; and
- that unless the person is arrested immediately, the person (1) may not be apprehended; (2) may cause physical injury or property damage to another; or (3) may tamper with, dispose of, or destroy evidence.

The specified crimes include general theft or motor vehicle theft where the value of the property or service stolen is *less than \$1,000* or an attempt to commit these crimes.

The bill (1) increases the maximum value for a warrantless arrest for theft to *\$1,500* (the current threshold for misdemeanor theft); (2) specifies that a theft involving property/services valued at less than *\$1,500* committed by a person with four or more prior theft convictions is eligible for a warrantless arrest; and (3) removes theft of a motor vehicle valued at less than *\$1,000* from the list of specified crimes (a technical change as this offense already qualifies under the general warrantless arrest provisions).

Organized Retail Theft – Court Finding

Under the bill, “organized retail theft” means the commission, either alone or with one or more other persons, of a series of thefts of retail merchandise from one or more retail merchants with the intent to (1) return the merchandise to the merchant for value or (2) resell, trade, or barter the merchandise for value.

Under the bill, if a defendant is convicted of or receives a probation before judgment for general theft under § 7-104 of the Criminal Law Article, on request of the State’s Attorney, the court must make a finding of fact based on evidence produced at trial as to whether the crime is “organized retail theft.” The State has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the crime is organized retail theft.

If the court finds that the crime is organized retail theft, that finding must become part of the court record for purposes of reporting to CJIS.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: Similar bills have been introduced during previous legislative sessions. SB 385 of 2021 passed the Senate and received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken. Its cross file, HB 446, received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken. SB 598 of 2020, as amended, passed the Senate and was referred to the House Judiciary Committee. No further action was taken. Its cross file, HB 830, received a hearing in the House Judiciary Committee, but no further action was taken.

Designated Cross File: HB 1173 (Delegate McComas, *et al.*) - Judiciary.

Information Source(s): Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Montgomery counties; Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy; Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts); Office of the Public Defender; Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 21, 2022
fnu2/jkb

Analysis by: Amy A. Devadas

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510