HB0138/433226/1

BY: Health and Government Operations Committee

<u>AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 138</u> (First Reading File Bill)

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, in the sponsor line, strike "and Vogel" and substitute "<u>Vogel, Alston,</u> <u>Bhandari, Cullison, Guzzone, Hill, S. Johnson, Kaiser, Kipke, R. Lewis, Lopez,</u> <u>Martinez, Pena-Melnyk, Rosenberg, Taveras, White, and Woods</u>"; in line 2, strike "Public Safety –" and substitute "<u>Study on Statewide 2–1–1 and</u>"; in the same line, strike "- Nonemergency Information"; strike beginning with "establishing" in line 3 down through "system" in line 8 and substitute "<u>requiring the Department of Legislative</u> <u>Services to conduct a study on the implementation of a statewide 3–1–1 system to</u> <u>provide individuals with nonemergency government services, resources, and</u> <u>information, both as a standalone system and as part of a merged system with the</u> <u>statewide 2–1–1 system</u>"; in line 8, after "to" insert "<u>2–1–1 and</u>"; in lines 8 and 9, strike "and nonemergency information"; and strike in their entirety lines 10 through 25, inclusive.

AMENDMENT NO. 2

On pages 2 through 18, strike beginning with "the" in line 1 on page 2 down through "2027" in line 24 on page 18 and substitute "<u>:</u>

(a) <u>The Department of Legislative Services shall study the implementation by</u> the Department of Human Services of a statewide 3–1–1 system in the State that:

(1) provides citizens with nonemergency government services, resources, and information 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year; and

(2) merges the 3–1–1 system with the existing statewide 2–1–1 system.

(b) The study conducted under subsection (a) of this section shall examine:

HB0138/433226/01 Health and Government Operations Committee Amendments to HB 138 Page 2 of 3

(1) the difference in cost between implementing a statewide 3-1-1 system and implementing a combined 2-1-1 and 3-1-1 system;

(2) any potential cost savings to counties that currently operate a county 3–1–1 system if the counties were to join a statewide 3–1–1 system;

(3) any potential cost savings for authorizing the use of existing 2–1–1 infrastructure for a combined 2–1–1 and 3–1–1 system;

(4) the anticipated number of new personnel needed for a combined 2– 1–1 and 3–1–1 system;

(5) the expiration date of technology being utilized by counties that currently operate their own 3–1–1 systems;

(6) the percentage of 2–1–1 calls currently being handled by the 9–8–8 system;

(7) existing studies addressing the change in 9-1-1 call volumes in jurisdictions that have implemented a 3-1-1 system following the implementation of the 3-1-1 system;

(8) the potential role of 211 Maryland in a combined 2–1–1 and 3–1–1 system, including how 211 Maryland can maintain involvement in projects unrelated to the direct operation of the statewide 2–1–1 system; and

(9) any issues with the management of 2–1–1 shifting from a nonprofit entity to a governmental service.

(c) On or before December 1, 2023, the Department of Legislative Services shall report its findings and recommendations, in accordance with § 2–1257 of the State

HB0138/433226/01 Health and Government Operations Committee Amendments to HB 138 Page 3 of 3

<u>Government Article, to the Senate Education, Energy, and the Environment Committee</u> <u>and the House Health and Government Operations Committee</u>".

On page 18, in line 25, strike "July" and substitute "June".