HOUSE BILL 572

3lr1956 G1 HB 745/22 - W&MBy: Delegates Kaiser and Wilkins Introduced and read first time: February 3, 2023 Assigned to: Ways and Means Committee Report: Favorable House action: Adopted Read second time: March 1, 2023 CHAPTER AN ACT concerning Election Law - Postelection Tabulation Audits - Risk-Limiting Audits FOR the purpose of requiring the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with the local boards of elections, to conduct a risk-limiting audit after each statewide election; authorizing, rather than requiring, the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct an automated software audit after a statewide election; repealing a requirement to conduct a manual audit after each statewide general election; requiring the State Board, in collaboration with certain local boards, to conduct pilot risk-limiting audits during a certain period; and generally relating to postelection tabulation audits. BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – Election Law Section 11–309 Annotated Code of Maryland (2022 Replacement Volume and 2022 Supplement) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: Article - Election Law 11 - 309.(a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

- 1 (2) "AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT" MEANS AN AUDIT OF 2 ELECTRONIC IMAGES OF BALLOTS CAST IN AN ELECTION USING SOFTWARE THAT IS 3 INDEPENDENT OF THE VOTING SYSTEM.
- 4 (3) "ELECTRONIC COUNT" MEANS THE VOTE TOTALS PRODUCED BY 5 THE VOTING SYSTEM.
- [(2)] (4) "Manual [audit"] **COUNT"** means inspection of voter–verifiable paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest [that are compared to the vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system].
- 9 [(3) "Previous comparable general election" means:
- 10 (i) in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4 11 years earlier; and
- 12 (ii) in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held 13 4 years earlier.]
- 14 (5) "RISK LIMIT" MEANS THE SMALL, PREDETERMINED MAXIMUM
 15 CHANCE THAT A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT WILL NOT REQUIRE A FULL MANUAL COUNT
 16 OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL
 17 MANUAL COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A
 18 DIFFERENT OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC
 19 COUNT.
- 20 (6) "RISK-LIMITING AUDIT" MEANS A POSTELECTION AUDIT
 21 PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE,
 22 PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF
 23 VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL
 24 COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT
 25 OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.
- 26 [(4)] (7) "Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 27 9–102 of this article.
- [(b) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall conduct an audit of the accuracy of the voting system's tabulation of votes by completing:
- 30 (1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots cast 31 in the election; and
- 32 (2) a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in accordance with 33 subsection (d) of this section.

1	(c)	Following each statewide primary election, the State Board:					
2 3	(1) shall complete an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots cast in the election; and						
4 5	(2) may complete a manual audit of voter–verifiable paper records in a manner prescribed by the State Board.						
6 7	(d) (1) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall complete a manual audit of:						
8			(i)	at le	east 2% of precincts statewide, including:		
9				1.	at least one randomly chosen precinct in each county; and		
10				2.	additional precincts selected by the State Board; and		
11 12 13	(ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total is the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board						
14				1.	early votes;		
15				2.	absentee votes; and		
16				3.	provisional votes.		
17 18	election.	(2)	The m	nanua	al audit shall be completed within 120 days after the general		
19		(3)	If the	man	ual audit shows a discrepancy, the State Board may:		
20			(i)	expa	and the manual audit; and		
21 22	discrepancy.		(ii)	take	any other actions it considers necessary to resolve the		
23 24	post on its w	(4) rebsite			days after the conclusion of the audit, the State Board shall at describes:		
25 26	in each coun	ty and	(i) the m	-	precincts and number of votes selected for the manual audit r in which the precincts and votes were selected;		
27			(ii)	the 1	results of the manual audit; and		

- 1 any discrepancy shown by the manual audit and how the 2 discrepancy was resolved. 3 The State Board shall allow for public observation of each part of the manual audit process to the extent practicable. 4 An audit under this section: 5 (e) 6 may not have any effect on the certified election results; and (1) 7 shall be used to improve the voting system and voting process for future (2)8 elections. 9 **(1)** FOLLOWING EACH STATEWIDE ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN (B) COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, SHALL AUDIT THE ACCURACY OF THE 10 VOTING SYSTEM'S TABULATION OF VOTES BY COMPLETING A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT 11 12 OF: 13 (I)AT LEAST ONE STATEWIDE CONTEST; 14 (II)AT LEAST ONE LOCAL CONTEST IN EACH COUNTY, WHICH MAY BE A COUNTYWIDE CONTEST OR A LOCAL CONTEST ON THE BALLOT IN PART OF 15 16 A COUNTY; AND 17 (III) ANY OTHER CONTESTS SELECTED FOR AUDIT BY THE STATE 18 BOARD. 19 **(2)** SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE **(I)** 20 STATE BOARD SHALL SELECT THE SPECIFIC CONTESTS TO BE AUDITED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION. 2122A CONTEST FOR AN OFFICE FOR WHICH THE TERM BEGINS IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER MAY NOT BE SELECTED FOR AUDIT UNLESS THE 23ELECTION DIRECTOR FOR THE COUNTY AGREES TO AUDIT THE CONTEST. 2425 **(3)** A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT SHALL: 26 (I)MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER 27 28RECORDS UNTIL THE RISK LIMIT IS MET;
- 29 (II) BE COMPLETED BEFORE CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION 30 RESULTS; AND

1 2	(III) BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE.
3 4 5 6	(4) If a risk-limiting audit finds that the election outcome determined by the electronic count is incorrect, the official result of the election shall be altered to match the outcome determined by the risk-limiting audit.
7 8 9	(5) WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT.
10 11 12 13	(C) IN ADDITION TO THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT AFTER A STATEWIDE ELECTION.
4	[(f)] (D) (1) The State Board shall adopt regulations to carry out this section.
15 16 17	(2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:
18	(I) CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE AUDITED;
20	(II) THE RISK LIMIT; AND
21	(III) THE AUDIT METHOD.
22	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:
23 24 25 26	(a) During calendar year 2023, the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with the appropriate local boards of elections, shall conduct pilot risk–limiting audits in at least two counties of at least two contests that were on the November 2022 general election ballot.
27	(b) The State Board shall:
28	(1) select the contests to be audited; and
29	(2) determine how the pilot risk-limiting audits are to be conducted.
30 31	(c) A pilot risk-limiting audit may not have any effect on the certified election results.

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$

(d) On or before December 1, 2023, the State Board shall submit a report on the pilot risk-limiting audits to the Senate Education, Energy, and the Environment Committee and the House Ways and Means Committee, in accordance with § 2–1257 of the State Government Article.
SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June 1, 2023.
Approved:
Governor.
Speaker of the House of Delegates.
President of the Senate.