| D4 | 4 lr 1547 |
|-----------------|-----------|
| HB 285/23 – JUD | CF SB 365 |

By: Delegates Kaufman, Allen, Arikan, Cullison, Ebersole, Foley, Grammer, Hill, Ivey, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, J. Long, McComas, Pasteur, Phillips, Rosenberg, Schmidt, Simmons, Simpson, Solomon, Stein, Taveras, Taylor, Toles, Turner, and Woods

Introduced and read first time: January 18, 2024 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Family Law – Child Custody Evaluators – Qualifications and Training

FOR the purpose of specifying certain qualifications and training necessary for an individual to be appointed or approved by a court as a custody evaluator; specifying that certain expert evidence is admissible in certain child custody and visitation proceedings under certain circumstances; and generally relating to child custody and visitation.

- 8 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 9 Article Family Law
- 10 Section 9–101.1
- 11 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 12 (2019 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)
- 13 BY adding to
- 14 Article Family Law
- 15 Section 9–109
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (2019 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)
- 18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 19 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 20

Article – Family Law

- 21 9–101.1.
- 22 (a) In this section, "abuse" has the meaning stated in § 4–501 of this article.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 (b) In a custody or visitation proceeding, the court shall consider, when deciding 2 custody or visitation issues, evidence of abuse by a party against:

- 3 (1) the other parent of the party's child;
- 4 (2) the party's spouse; or

5 (3) any child residing within the party's household, including a child other 6 than the child who is the subject of the custody or visitation proceeding.

7 (c) If the court finds that a party has committed abuse against the other parent 8 of the party's child, the party's spouse, or any child residing within the party's household, 9 the court shall make arrangements for custody or visitation that best protect:

10

(1) the child who is the subject of the proceeding; and

11 (2) the victim of the abuse.

12 (D) IN A CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING IN WHICH A PARENT 13 IS ALLEGED TO HAVE COMMITTED ABUSE UNDER THIS SECTION, EXPERT EVIDENCE 14 FROM A COURT-APPOINTED OR PARTY-RETAINED PROFESSIONAL RELATING TO 15 THE ALLEGED ABUSE MAY BE ADMITTED ONLY IF THE PROFESSIONAL POSSESSES 16 DEMONSTRATED EXPERTISE AND CLINICAL EXPERIENCE IN WORKING WITH VICTIMS 17 OF ABUSE THAT IS NOT SOLELY FORENSIC IN NATURE.

18 **9–109.**

19 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "CUSTODY EVALUATOR" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL 20 APPOINTED OR APPROVED BY A COURT TO PERFORM A CUSTODY EVALUATION.

21 (B) A COURT MAY NOT APPOINT OR APPROVE AN INDIVIDUAL AS A CUSTODY 22 EVALUATOR UNLESS THE INDIVIDUAL:

23 (1) IS:

24**(I)** PHYSICIAN LICENSED IN ANY STATE WHO IS Α 25BOARD-CERTIFIED IN PSYCHIATRY OR HAS COMPLETED A PSYCHIATRY RESIDENCY ACCREDITED BY THE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL FOR GRADUATE MEDICAL 2627**EDUCATION OR A SUCCESSOR TO THAT COUNCIL;**

28 (II) A MARYLAND LICENSED PSYCHOLOGIST OR A 29 PSYCHOLOGIST WITH AN EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE;

30

(III) A MARYLAND LICENSED CLINICAL MARRIAGE AND FAMILY

| $\frac{1}{2}$ | THERAPIST OR A CLINICAL MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPIST WITH AN EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANT OTHER STATE, | | | |
| 3 | (IV) A MARYLAND LICENSED CERTIFIED SOCIAL | | | |
| 4 | WORKER-CLINICAL OR A CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKER WITH AN EQUIVALENT LEVEL | | | |
| 5 | OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6 | (V) 1. A MARYLAND LICENSED GRADUATE OR MASTER | | | |
| 7 | SOCIAL WORKER WITH AT LEAST 2 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN ONE OR MORE OF THE | | | |
| 8 | AREAS LISTED IN SUBSECTION (D)(1) OF THIS SECTION; OR | | | |
| | | | | |
| 9 | 2. A GRADUATE OR MASTER SOCIAL WORKER WITH AN | | | |
| 10 |) EQUIVALENT LEVEL OF LICENSURE AND EXPERIENCE IN ANY OTHER STATE; OR | | | |
| | | | | |
| 11 | (VI) A MARYLAND LICENSED CLINICAL PROFESSIONAL | | | |
| 12 | COUNSELOR OR A CLINICAL PROFESSIONAL COUNSELOR WITH AN EQUIVALENT | | | |
| 13 | LEVEL OF LICENSURE IN ANY OTHER STATE; AND | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14 | (2) HAS TRAINING IN: | | | |
| | | | | |
| 15 | (I) CHILD GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT; | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 16 | (II) PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTING; | | | |
| 17 | (III) DADENT CILL D DONDING. | | | |
| 17 | (III) PARENT-CHILD BONDING; | | | |
| 18 | (\mathbf{N}) SCODE OF DADENTING. | | | |
| 10 | (IV) SCOPE OF PARENTING; | | | |
| 19 | (V) ADULT DEVELOPMENT AND PSYCHOPATHOLOGY; | | | |
| 19 | (V) ADOLI DEVELOPMENT AND ESICHOPATHOLOGI, | | | |
| 20 | (VI) FAMILY FUNCTIONING; AND | | | |
| 20 | (VI) FAMILI FUNCTIONING, AND | | | |
| 21 | (VII) CHILD AND FAMILY DEVELOPMENT. | | | |
| 41 | (VII) CHILD AND FAMILIT DEVELOT MENT: | | | |
| 22 | (C) IF A COURT IDENTIFIES ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING ISSUES IN A | | | |
| 23 | CUSTODY OR VISITATION PROCEEDING, THE COURT SHALL APPOINT A CUSTODY | | | |
| 24 | EVALUATOR OR LICENSED HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO HAS EXPERIENCE, | | | |
| 25 | EDUCATION, TRAINING, OR SUPERVISION IN THE SPECIFIC ISSUE IDENTIFIED: | | | |
| _0 | | | | |
| 26 | (1) PHYSICAL, SEXUAL, OR PSYCHOLOGICAL ABUSE OF AN INTIMATE | | | |
| 27 | PARTNER OR FORMER INTIMATE PARTNER; | | | |

28 (2) PHYSICAL, SEXUAL, OR PSYCHOLOGICAL ABUSE OF A CHILD;

4

| 1 | (3) | COEF | RCIVE CONTROL; | | |
|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | (4) | NEGI | LECT OF A CHILD; | | |
| 3 | (5) | TRAU | JMA OR TOXIC STRESS; | | |
| 4 | (6) | ALCO | OHOL OR SUBSTANCE ABUSE; | | |
| $5 \\ 6$ | | | CAL, PHYSICAL, OR NEUROLOGICAL IMPAIRMENT THAT FO EFFECTIVELY PARENT; OR | | |
| 7 8 9 | | RMINI | OTHER ISSUE RELEVANT TO A CUSTODY PROCEEDING THAT ES REQUIRES SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE, EDUCATION, TRAINING, | | |
| 10 | (D) (1) | BEGI | INNING OCTOBER 1, 2025, IN ADDITION TO MEETING THE | | |
| 11 | REQUIREMENTS UNDER SUBSECTIONS (B) AND (C) OF THIS SECTION AND | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | APPLICABLE FIELD, BEFORE APPOINTMENT OR APPROVAL BY A COURT AS A | | | | |
| 14 | | , | AN INDIVIDUAL MUST COMPLETE AT LEAST 20 HOURS OF | | |
| 15 | | | O NOT LESS THAN 15 HOURS OF TRAINING EVERY 3 YEARS | | |
| 16 | | | THAT FOCUS SOLELY ON DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE | | |
| 17 | AND CHILD ABUSE | | | | |
| 11 | | , | | | |
| 18 | | (I) | CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE; | | |
| 19 | | (11) | PHYSICAL ABUSE; | | |
| 20 | | (III) | EMOTIONAL ABUSE; | | |
| 21 | | (IV) | COERCIVE CONTROL; | | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 22\\ 23 \end{array}$ | TO DISABILITIES; | (V) | IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT BIAS, INCLUDING BIASES RELATING | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 24 | | (VI) | TRAUMA; | | |
| 25 | | (VII) | LONG-AND SHORT-TERM IMPACTS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE | | |
| 26 | AND CHILD ABUSE | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 27 | | (VIII) | VICTIM AND PERPETRATOR BEHAVIOR PATTERNS AND | | |

28 RELATIONSHIP DYNAMICS WITHIN THE CYCLE OF VIOLENCE.

 1
 (2) THE TRAINING REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS

 2
 SUBSECTION SHALL:

 3
 (I) BE PROVIDED BY:

A PROFESSIONAL WITH SUBSTANTIAL EXPERIENCE IN
 ASSISTING SURVIVORS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OR CHILD ABUSE, INCLUDING A
 VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDER; AND

7 2. IF POSSIBLE, A SURVIVOR OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OR
8 CHILD PHYSICAL OR SEXUAL ABUSE;

9 (II) RELY ON EVIDENCE–BASED RESEARCH BY RECOGNIZED 10 EXPERTS IN THE TYPES OF ABUSE DESCRIBED IN ITEM (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH;

(III) NOT INCLUDE THEORIES, CONCEPTS, OR BELIEF SYSTEMS
UNSUPPORTED BY THE RESEARCH DESCRIBED IN ITEM (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH;
AND

14 (IV) BE DESIGNED TO IMPROVE THE ABILITY OF COURTS TO:

15 **1.** RECOGNIZE AND RESPOND TO CHILD PHYSICAL 16 ABUSE, CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, AND TRAUMA IN VICTIMS, 17 PARTICULARLY CHILDREN; AND

182. MAKE APPROPRIATE CUSTODY DECISIONS THAT19PRIORITIZE SAFETY AND WELL-BEING AND ARE CULTURALLY SENSITIVE AND20APPROPRIATE FOR DIVERSE COMMUNITIES.

(E) IN ANY ACTION IN WHICH CHILD SUPPORT, CUSTODY, OR VISITATION IS
 AT ISSUE, A COURT SHALL PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THE PARTIES REGARDING THE
 ROLE, AVAILABILITY, AND COST OF A CUSTODY EVALUATOR IN THE JURISDICTION.

(F) BEFORE ENGAGING IN THE CUSTODY EVALUATION PROCESS, A
CUSTODY EVALUATOR SHALL PROVIDE, IN WRITING, INFORMATION REGARDING THE
POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND FEES AND COSTS FOR THE EVALUATION.

27 (G) THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS MAY ADOPT 28 PROCEDURES TO IMPLEMENT THIS SECTION.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
 1, 2024.