HOUSE BILL 836

R1, M3 4lr1143 CF SB 681

By: Delegates Edelson, Embry, Foley, Holmes, J. Lewis, J. Long, Love, Palakovich Carr, Ruth, Smith, Solomon, Taveras, Vogel, and Wilkins

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2024

Assigned to: Appropriations and Environment and Transportation

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 8, 2024

CHAPTER	

1 AN ACT concerning

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2 Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projects – Impact Assessments and
3 <u>Mitigation Plans Workgroup</u>
4 (Transportation and Climate Alignment Act of 2024)

FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Transportation, in consultation with the Department of the Environment, to establish a process for performing major highway capacity expansion project impact assessments; requiring the Department of Transportation and a metropolitan planning organization to perform an impact under certain circumstances; requiring the Department of Transportation to use the impact assessment to make a certain determination; requiring the Department of Transportation and a metropolitan planning organization to develop a certain mitigation plan under certain circumstances; requiring the Department of Transportation to review for approval a mitigation plan developed by a metropolitan planning organization; requiring the Department of the Environment to develop a methodology for making a certain determination; authorizing the Department of Transportation to restrict or redirect certain funding that would have been allocated to the metropolitan planning organization under certain circumstances; requiring the Department of Transportation or a metropolitan planning organization to post certain information to its website; establishing the Workgroup to Study Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projects; and generally relating to major highway capacity expansion projects.

BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

Article - Environment

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



1 2 3	Annotated Code)(1), (7), and (8) of Maryland ent Volume and 2023 Supplement)
4 5 6 7 8	Article – Trans Section 2–103.1 Annotated Code	(a)(1), (2), and (4)
9 10 11 12 13 14	Highway Annotated Code	hrough $\frac{2-907}{2-906}$ to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 9. Major Capacity Expansion Projects"
15 16	SECTION 1. B That the Laws of Mar	E IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, yland read as follows:
17		Article - Environment
18	1-701.	
19	(a) (1) In	this section the following words have the meanings indicated.
20 21 22	` /	verburdened community" means any census tract for which three or genvironmental health indicators are above the 75th percentile
23	(i)	Particulate matter (PM) 2.5;
24	(ii)	Ozone;
25	(iii	National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) diesel PM;
26	(iv	NATA cancer risk;
27	(v)	NATA respiratory hazard index;
28	(vi	Traffic proximity;
29	(vi	i) Lead paint indicator;
30	(vi	ii) National Priorities List Superfund site proximity;
31	(ix	Risk Management Plan facility proximity;

1		(x)	Hazardous waste proximity;
2		(xi)	Wastewater discharge indicator;
3 4	(CAFO);	(xii)	Proximity to a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation
5		(xiii)	Percent of the population lacking broadband coverage;
6		(xiv)	Asthma emergency room discharges;
7		(xv)	Myocardial infarction discharges;
8		(xvi)	Low-birth-weight infants;
9		(xvii)	Proximity to emitting power plants;
.0		(xviii)	Proximity to a Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) facility;
1		(xix)	Proximity to a brownfields site;
2		(xx)	Proximity to mining operations; and
13		(xxi)	Proximity to a hazardous waste landfill.
4	(8) to the most recent		erserved community" means any census tract in which, according ensus Bureau Survey:
16		(i)	At least 25% of the residents qualify as low-income;
17		(ii)	At least 50% of the residents identify as nonwhite; or
.8		(iii)	At least 15% of the residents have limited English proficiency.
9			Article - Transportation
20	2–103.1.		
21	(a) (1)	In thi	s section the following words have the meanings indicated.
22	(2)	"Capi	tal project" means:
23 24 25			Any project for which funds are expended for construction, litation of a transportation facility by the Department or of a project by the Maryland Transportation Authority; or

- 1 (ii) Capital equipment, as defined by the Secretary.
- 2 (4) "Major capital project" means any new, expanded, or significantly
- 3 improved facility or service that involves planning, environmental studies, design,
- 4 right-of-way, construction, or purchase of essential equipment related to the facility or
- 5 service.
- 6 SUBTITLE 9. MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECTS.
- 7 **2–901**.
- 8 (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
- 9 INDICATED.
- 10 (B) "CARBON DIOXIDE EQUIVALENT" MEANS THE MEASUREMENT OF A
- 11 GIVEN WEIGHT OF A GREENHOUSE GAS THAT HAS THE SAME GLOBAL WARMING
- 12 POTENTIAL, MEASURED OVER A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME, AS 1 METRIC TON OF
- 13 CARBON DIOXIDE.
- 14 (C) "GREENHOUSE GAS" INCLUDES CARBON DIOXIDE, METHANE, NITROUS
- 15 OXIDE, HYDROFLUOROCARBONS, PERFLUOROCARBONS, AND SULFUR
- 16 HEXAFLUORIDE.
- 17 (D) "GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS" MEANS EMISSIONS OF GREENHOUSE
- 18 GASES IN THE STATE, MEASURED IN METRIC TONS OF CARBON DIOXIDE
- 19 EQUIVALENTS.
- 20 (E) "IMPACT ASSESSMENT" MEANS A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY
- 21 EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENT.
- 22 (F) "INDUCED DEMAND" MEANS THE SITUATION THAT ARISES WHEN AN
- 23 EXPANDED ROAD BECOMES CONCESTED DUE TO ADDITIONAL DRIVERS USING THE
- 24 ROAD BECAUSE IT WAS EXPANDED ANY INCREASE IN TRAVEL ARISING FROM
- 25 IMPROVED TRAVEL CONDITIONS.
- 26 (G) "MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 2–103.1
- 27 OF THIS TITLE.
- 28 (H) (1) "MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" MEANS A
- 29 MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT:
- 30 (I) Whose total cost for all phases exceeds
- 31 **\$10,000,000 \$50,000,000**; AND
- 32 (II) THAT:

$1\\2$	SEPARATION THAT INCR	1. Increases highway capacity; including grade reases highway capacity; or
3 4 5		2. Improves highway capacity through the use nsportation systems or congestion management
6 7	INTERSECTION.	3. INCLUDES GRADE SEPARATION AT AN
8 9 10	<u> </u>	OR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" INCLUDES PROJECT THAT IS PART OF A GROUP OF MAJOR CAPITAL
11 12	(I) OF THIS SUBSECTION;	THAT SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF PARAGRAPH (1)(II)
13	<u>(II)</u>	THAT ARE CONTIGUOUS WITH ONE ANOTHER; AND
14 15	(III) \$50,000,000.	WITH A COMBINED TOTAL COST THAT EXCEEDS
16 17	(2) (3) NOT INCLUDE:	"MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" DOES
18 19	* *	PROJECTS A PROJECT IN THE MARYLAND AVIATION HE MARYLAND PORT ADMINISTRATION;
20	(II)	MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITIES PROJECTS;
21	(III)	WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS;
22 23	(IV) TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY	PROJECTS RELATED TO MARYLAND'S PRIORITIES FOR LOAD DEVELOPMENT;
24 25	(V) PRESERVATION; OR	PROJECTS A PROJECT THAT ARE IS SOLELY FOR SYSTEM
26	(VI)	THE CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF:
27 28	FEET IN LENGTH DOES N	1. A HIGHWAY EXIT RAMP THAT IS LESS THAN 2,500 NOT INCREASE HIGHWAY CAPACITY;

A BIKE LANE; OR

2.

29

1	3. A DEDICATED BUS LANE; OR
2	4. A SIDEWALK.
3 4	(I) "OVERBURDENED COMMUNITY" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 1–701 OF THE ENVIRONMENT ARTICLE.
5 6	(J) "Underserved community" has the meaning stated in § 1–701 of the Environment Article.
7 8 9	(K) (I) "VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED" MEANS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ON-ROAD MILES TRAVELED BY VEHICLES IN A GEOGRAPHIC REGION OVER A 1-YEAR PERIOD.
10	2-902.
11 12 13	(A) THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE ARE IN ADDITION TO AND MAY NOT SUPPLANT ANY HEALTH AND SAFETY GOALS ESTABLISHED UNDER STATE OR LOCAL LAWS OR REGULATIONS.
14	(B) THIS SUBTITLE DOES NOT APPLY TO:
15 16 17	(1) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT THAT WAS, BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL FOR INCLUSION IN, OR FUNDED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNDER:
18 19	(I) THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; OR
20 21	(II) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; OR
22	(III) THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM; OR
232425	(2) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT FOR WHICH AN ASSESSMENT COMPARABLE TO THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED UNDER THIS SUBTITLE WAS PERFORMED;
26 27 28 29	(3) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT THAT, BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, WAS APPROVED BY THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT; OR

- 1 (4) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT THAT WAS
- 2 INCLUDED IN THE FINAL FISCAL YEAR 2024 TO FISCAL YEAR 2029 CONSOLIDATED
- 3 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.
- 4 **2–903.**
- 5 (A) THE DEPARTMENT, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 6 THE ENVIRONMENT, SHALL ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR PERFORMING MAJOR
- 7 HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.
- 8 (B) (1) AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT SHALL INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS AND
- 9 ESTIMATES OF A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S:
- 10 (I) QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN:
- 1. Greenhouse gas emissions for the sixth year
- 12 AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE PROJECT AREA; AND
- 13 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED IN THE PROJECT AREA
- 14 FOR THE SIXTH YEAR AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND
- 15 (II) IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND VEHICLE
- 16 MILES TRAVELED CAUSED BY ANY INDUCED DEMAND CREATED BY THE PROJECT.
- 17 (2) THE ANALYSIS AND ESTIMATES SPECIFIED UNDER PARAGRAPH
- 18 (1)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE DETERMINED USING THE BEST AVAILABLE
- 19 DATA AND MODELING TOOLS, SUCH AS:
- 20 (I) THE STATE HIGHWAY INDUCED FREQUENCY OF TRAVEL
- 21 CALCULATOR;
- 22 (II) THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE
- 23 TRANSPORTATION'S INDUCED TRAVEL CALCULATOR; OR
- 24 (III) ANY OTHER IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL USED FOR
- 25 MEASURING INDUCED DEMAND FOR GRADE SEPARATION PROJECTS.
- 26 **2–904.**
- 27 (A) (1) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- 28 FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT
- 29 MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION
- 30 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

31

1 1	9)	A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:
	4)	A WELLOT OF THE FEW MINE OF THE STATE OF THE

- 2 (I) PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR A MAJOR
 3 HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT MAY BE
 4 CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
 5 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM: AND
- 6 (II) SUBMIT AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT PERFORMED UNDER THIS
 7 SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL:
- 8 (1) BEFORE THE COMPLETION OF THE FEDERAL REVIEW PROCESS
 9 FOR THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT CONDUCTED IN
 10 ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT: AND
- 11 (2) EARLY ENOUGH IN THE PROJECT PLANNING STAGE TO ALLOW FOR PROJECT ALTERATION.
- 13 **(B)** THE DEPARTMENT SHALL USE THE INFORMATION COLLECTED IN THE 14 IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED IN SUBSECTION **(A)** OF THIS SECTION TO 15 DETERMINE WHETHER A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT MEETS 16 THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:
- 17 (1) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE GREENHOUSE GAS 18 EMISSIONS;
- 19 (2) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED;
- 20 (3) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE GREENHOUSE GAS 21 EMISSIONS REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN § 2–1205 OF THE ENVIRONMENT 22 ARTICLE; AND
- 23 (4) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED
 24 REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN THE 2024 ANNUAL ATTAINMENT REPORT ON
 25 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE.
- 26 (C) (1) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY
 27 CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT DOES NOT SATISFY EACH REQUIREMENT
 28 SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT OR, FOR AN
 29 IMPACT ASSESSMENT PERFORMED BY A METROPOLITAN PLANNING
 30 ORGANIZATION, THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:
 - (I) ALTER THE SCOPE OR DESIGN OF THE PROJECT;

1	(II) DEVELOP A MITIGATION PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH §
2	2-905 OF THIS SUBTITLE; OR
3	(III) HALT PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND OMIT THE PROJECT
4	FROM THE RELEVANT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE
5	Consolidated Transportation Program.
6	(2) THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING
7	ORGANIZATION SHALL PERFORM SUBSEQUENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS ON A MAJOR
8	HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT ALTERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
9	PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNTIL THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT
0	THE PROJECT SATISFIES EACH REQUIREMENT SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF
1	THIS SECTION.
2	2-905.
13	(A) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THROUGH AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT
4	THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT WILL RESULT IN A NET
5	INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND
6	THE PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO BE ALTERED OR HALTED UNDER § 2-904(C) OF
7	THIS SUBTITLE, THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING
18	ORGANIZATION SHALL DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A MITIGATION PLAN TO
9	ELIMINATE THE NET INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE
20	MILES TRAVELED.
21	(B) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A
22	MITIGATION PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE ONE OR
23	MORE OF THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION ACTIONS:
24	(I) TRANSIT EXPANSION, INCLUDING:
25	1. REGULAR FIXED BUS ROUTES;
26	2. ARTERIAL BUS RAPID TRANSIT;
27	3. HIGHWAY BUS RAPID TRANSIT;
28	4. RAIL TRANSIT; AND
29	5. INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL;
30	(II) TRANSIT SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
31	1 INCREASED SERVICE LEVELS:

1		<u>2</u> .	TRANSIT FARE REDUCTIONS OR INCENTIVES; AND
2		3.	TRANSIT PRIORITY TREATMENTS;
3 4			TIVE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SEPROTECTED BICYCLE LANES OR PATHWAYS;
5 6	, ,		RED SERVICES FOR MANUAL OR ELECTRIC LOW SPEED STRIC BICYCLES AND SCOOTERS;
7	(V)	TRA	NSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
8		1.	VANPOOL AND SHARED VEHICLE PROGRAMS;
9		2.	TELEWORKING PROGRAMS; AND
0		3.	EXPANSION OF BROADBAND ACCESS;
1	(VI)	PAR	KING MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
12 13	REQUIREMENTS;	1.	REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF PARKING
4		<u>2.</u>	PARKING COST ADJUSTMENTS; AND
5		3.	ELIMINATION OF PARKING SUBSIDIES;
6	(VII)	LAN	ID USE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
17		1.	RESIDENTIAL AND OTHER DENSITY INCREASES;
18		<u>2</u> ,	INFILL DEVELOPMENT; AND
9		3.	TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT; AND
20 21	` '		RASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS RELATED TO OPERATIONS, INCLUDING:
22		1.	COMPLETE STREETS;
23		<u>2</u> ,	REDUCED CONFLICT INTERSECTIONS;
24		2	Crosswalks:

1	4. MIXED-USE PATHS;
2	5. ROADWAY GEOMETRY WITH REDUCED DESIGN
3	SPEEDS; AND
4	6. Buffered and sheltered sidewalks.
5	(2) A MITIGATION ACTION MAY BE INCORPORATED IN THE
6	MITIGATION PLAN FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IF:
_	
7 8	(I) THE NECESSARY FUNDING SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED AND SHEELCHENT AMOUNTS ARE COMMUTTED.
0	SUFFICIENT AMOUNTS ARE COMMITTED;
9	(II) THE MITIGATION ACTION IS FOCUSED ON AREAS IN
0	ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION; AND
1	(III) PROCEDURES ARE ESTABLISHED TO ENSURE THAT THE
$\frac{1}{2}$	MITIGATION ACTION CONFORMS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 2–904(B) OF
3	THIS SUBTITLE.
4	(C) (1) THE BENEFITS PROVIDED BY A MITIGATION ACTION SHALL
L 5	FOCUS ON AREAS IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF PRIORITY:
16	(I) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED
17	COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION
18	PROJECT;
0	(II) AREAS WITHIN OR ASSOCIATED WITH AT LEAST ONE OF
L9 20	(II) AREAS WITHIN OR ASSOCIATED WITH AT LEAST ONE OF THE COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE PROJECT;
•0	THE COMMENTED BY THE I WOOLCY,
21	(HI) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED
22	COMMUNITIES;
23	(IV) THE REGION IN WHICH THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY
24	EXPANSION PROJECT IS LOCATED; OR
25	(V) BENEFITS TO THE ENTIRE STATE.
) C	(2) The Department or a metropolitan planning
26 27	ORGANIZATION SHALL INCLUDE IN A MITIGATION PLAN AN EXPLANATION ON THE
28	FEASIBILITY OF AND RATIONALE FOR SELECTING A MITIGATION ACTION LOCATED
29	IN AN AREA SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(III) THROUGH (V) OF THIS SUBSECTION.

- 1 (D) (1) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL SUBMIT A
 2 MITIGATION PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR
 3 REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
- 4 (2) (1) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL APPROVE A MITIGATION PLAN IF
 5 THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT THE MITIGATION PLAN COMPLIES WITH
 6 THIS SECTION AND THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 2-904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 7 (H) THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT SHALL
 8 DEVELOP A METHODOLOGY FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE IF A
 9 MITIGATION PLAN FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IS
 10 SUFFICIENT FOR REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.
- 11 (3) (I) IF THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT APPROVE A
 12 METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S MITIGATION PLAN SUBMITTED UNDER
 13 THIS SECTION, THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL ADDRESS
 14 ANY ISSUES RAISED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND RESUBMIT THE PLAN TO THE
 15 DEPARTMENT FOR APPROVAL.
- 16 (H) IF A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S
 17 RESUBMITTED MITIGATION PLAN STILL DOES NOT CONFORM WITH THE
 18 REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION AND § 2-904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE
 19 DEPARTMENT MAY RESTRICT AND REDIRECT ANY FUNDING THAT WOULD HAVE
 20 BEEN ALLOCATED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING
 21 ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING FUNDING FROM:
- 24 **2. Surface Transportation Block Grants.**
- 25 2-906.
- 26 **THE**
- 27 **2–905.**
- THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL PUBLISH ON ITS WEBSITE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR EACH IMPACT ASSESSMENT THE DEPARTMENT OR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION PERFORMS:
- 32 (1) A SUMMARY OF THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION 33 PROJECT AND AN OVERVIEW OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT, INCLUDING:

1			(I)	THE ESTIMATED QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN:	
2 3	AFTER THE	PRO.	JECT IS	1. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FOR THE SIXTH YES COMPLETE PROJECT AREA; AND	EAR
4 5	FOR THE SI	XTH Y	ÆAR A	2. VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED IN THE PROJECT A FTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND	REA
6			(II)	THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT; AND	
7 8	MITIGATIO	N AC T	` ′	THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING ALLOCATED TO RELATED TO THE PROJECT; AND	ANY
9 10 11	A MAJOR I	HIGHV	NT OR VAY C	INDUCED DEMAND TRAFFIC MODEL AND METHODOL METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION USED TO ASSAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S IMPACT ON GREENHOUSEHICLE MILES TRAVELED.	SESS
13	2-907. <u>2-9</u> 0	<u>06.</u>			
14 15	THE SUBTITLE.	DEF	PARTMI	ENT MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS TO CARRY OUT	THIS
6	SECT	YON S	2. AND	BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:	
17	<u>(a)</u>	Ther	e is a V	Vorkgroup to Study Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projection	ects.
8	<u>(b)</u>	The '	Workgr	coup consists of the following members:	
19 20	the Senate;	<u>(1)</u>	two n	nembers of the Senate of Maryland, appointed by the Preside	nt of
21 22	the House;	<u>(2)</u>	two n	members of the House of Delegates, appointed by the Speak	er of
23		<u>(3)</u>	the S	ecretary of Transportation, or the Secretary's designee;	
24		<u>(4)</u>	the S	tate Highway Administrator, or the Administrator's designee	<u>5;</u>
25 26	the Executiv	<u>(5)</u> ve Dir		Executive Director of the Maryland Transportation Authorit designee;	y, or
27		<u>(6)</u>	the S	ecretary of the Environment, or the Secretary's designee;	

30

31 32

	11	HOUSE BIEL 666
1 2	appointed by	(7) one representative of the environmental advocacy community, y the President of the Senate;
3 4	appointed by	(8) one representative of the environmental advocacy community, y the Speaker of the House;
5 6	appointed by	(9) one representative of a transportation industry organization, y the Governor;
7 8	designated b	(10) one representative of the Baltimore Regional Transportation Board, by the Board; and
9 10	Government	(11) one representative of the Metropolitan Washington Council of ts, designated by the Executive Director of the Council.
11	<u>(c)</u>	The Secretary of Transportation shall designate the chair of the Workgroup.
12	<u>(d)</u>	The Department of Transportation shall provide staff for the Workgroup.
13	<u>(e)</u>	A member of the Workgroup:
14		(1) may not receive compensation as a member of the Workgroup; but
15 16	Travel Regu	(2) is entitled to reimbursement for expenses under the Standard State lations, as provided in the State budget.
17 18 19	(<u>f</u>) assessments including:	The Workgroup shall study and make recommendations related to impact and mitigation plans for major highway capacity expansion projects,
20 21 22		(1) the existing approach for assessing greenhouse gas emissions and es traveled for highway projects reviewed in accordance with the National et al Policy Act;
23 24 25	demand in traveled;	(2) a definition for "induced demand" and methods for evaluating induced assessments that measure greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles
26 27 28		(3) the role of metropolitan planning organizations and transportation t associations in supporting assessments that measure greenhouse gas and vehicle miles traveled for transportation projects;
29		(4) appropriate mitigation strategies and approaches that should be

implemented to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled for major

highway capacity expansion projects when an impact assessment shows that the project

does not meet the emissions requirements under Section 1 of this Act; and

$\frac{1}{2}$	(5) how process and regional considerations should factor into the review process of a project, including the role of nonurban projects and the alignment of project
3	requirements with future project prioritization efforts.
4 5 6	(g) On or before December 1, 2024, the Workgroup shall report its findings and recommendations to the General Assembly in accordance with § 2–1257 of the State Government Article.
7 8 9 10	SECTION <u>2.</u> <u>3.</u> AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 2024. <u>Section 2 of this Act shall remain effective for a period of 1 year and, at the end of June 30, 2025, Section 2 of this Act, with no further action required by the General <u>Assembly</u>, shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.</u>
	Approved:
	Governor.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.
	President of the Senate.