R1, M3 4lr1143 CF SB 681

By: Delegates Edelson, Embry, Foley, Holmes, J. Lewis, J. Long, Love, Palakovich Carr, Ruth, Smith, Solomon, Taveras, Vogel, and Wilkins

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2024

Assigned to: Appropriations and Environment and Transportation

## A BILL ENTITLED

l	AN	ACT	concerning
L	TITA	1101	COLICCITILITY

2	Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projects - Impact Assessments and
3	Mitigation Plans
4	(Transportation and Climate Alignment Act of 2024)

- FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Transportation to establish a process for 5 6 performing major highway capacity expansion project impact assessments; 7 requiring the Department of Transportation and a metropolitan planning 8 organization to perform an impact assessment under certain circumstances; 9 requiring the Department of Transportation to use the impact assessment to make 10 a certain determination; requiring the Department of Transportation and a 11 metropolitan planning organization to develop a certain mitigation plan under 12 certain circumstances; requiring the Department of Transportation to review for 13 approval a mitigation plan developed by a metropolitan planning organization; requiring the Department of the Environment to develop a methodology for making 14 15 a certain determination; authorizing the Department of Transportation to restrict 16 or redirect certain funding that would have been allocated to the metropolitan 17 planning organization under certain circumstances; requiring the Department of 18 Transportation or a metropolitan planning organization to post certain information 19 to its website; and generally relating to major highway capacity projects.
- 20 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 21 Article Environment
- 22 Section 1–701(a)(1), (7), and (8)
- 23 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 24 (2013 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)
- 25 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 26 Article Transportation
- 27 Section 2–103.1(a)(1), (2), and (4)
- 28 Annotated Code of Maryland

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



	_					
1	(2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)					
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY adding to     Article – Transportation     Section 2–901 through 2–907 to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 9. Major     Highway Capacity Expansion Projects"     Annotated Code of Maryland     (2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)  SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,					
9			nd read as follows:			
10	Article – Environment					
11	1–701.					
12	(a) (1)	In thi	s section the following words have the meanings indicated.			
13 14 15	(7) more of the followstatewide:		burdened community" means any census tract for which three or nvironmental health indicators are above the 75th percentile			
16		(i)	Particulate matter (PM) 2.5;			
17		(ii)	Ozone;			
18		(iii)	National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) diesel PM;			
19		(iv)	NATA cancer risk;			
20		(v)	NATA respiratory hazard index;			
21		(vi)	Traffic proximity;			
22		(vii)	Lead paint indicator;			
23		(viii)	National Priorities List Superfund site proximity;			
24		(ix)	Risk Management Plan facility proximity;			
25		(x)	Hazardous waste proximity;			
26		(xi)	Wastewater discharge indicator;			
27 28	(CAFO);	(xii)	Proximity to a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation			

1			(xiii)	Percent of the population lacking broadband coverage;
2			(xiv)	Asthma emergency room discharges;
3			(xv)	Myocardial infarction discharges;
4	(xvi) I		(xvi)	Low-birth-weight infants;
5			(xvii)	Proximity to emitting power plants;
6			(xviii)	Proximity to a Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) facility;
7	(xix) Proximity to a brownfields site;		Proximity to a brownfields site;	
8			(xx)	Proximity to mining operations; and
9			(xxi)	Proximity to a hazardous waste landfill.
10 11	, ,			
12			(i)	At least 25% of the residents qualify as low–income;
13			(ii)	At least 50% of the residents identify as nonwhite; or
14			(iii)	At least 15% of the residents have limited English proficiency.
15				Article - Transportation
16	2–103.1.			
17	(a)	(1)	In thi	s section the following words have the meanings indicated.
18		(2)	"Capi	tal project" means:
19 20 21				Any project for which funds are expended for construction, litation of a transportation facility by the Department or of a project by the Maryland Transportation Authority; or
22			(ii)	Capital equipment, as defined by the Secretary.
23 24 25 26			or se	r capital project" means any new, expanded, or significantly rvice that involves planning, environmental studies, design, on, or purchase of essential equipment related to the facility or

- 1 **2–901**.
- 2 (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS
- 3 INDICATED.
- 4 (B) "CARBON DIOXIDE EQUIVALENT" MEANS THE MEASUREMENT OF A
- 5 GIVEN WEIGHT OF A GREENHOUSE GAS THAT HAS THE SAME GLOBAL WARMING
- 6 POTENTIAL, MEASURED OVER A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME, AS 1 METRIC TON OF
- 7 CARBON DIOXIDE.
- 8 (C) "GREENHOUSE GAS" INCLUDES CARBON DIOXIDE, METHANE, NITROUS
- 9 OXIDE, HYDROFLUOROCARBONS, PERFLUOROCARBONS, AND SULFUR
- 10 HEXAFLUORIDE.
- 11 (D) "GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS" MEANS EMISSIONS OF GREENHOUSE
- 12 GASES IN THE STATE, MEASURED IN METRIC TONS OF CARBON DIOXIDE
- 13 EQUIVALENTS.
- 14 (E) "IMPACT ASSESSMENT" MEANS A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY
- 15 EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENT.
- 16 (F) "INDUCED DEMAND" MEANS THE SITUATION THAT ARISES WHEN AN
- 17 EXPANDED ROAD BECOMES CONGESTED DUE TO ADDITIONAL DRIVERS USING THE
- 18 ROAD BECAUSE IT WAS EXPANDED.
- 19 (G) "MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 2–103.1
- 20 **OF THIS TITLE.**
- 21 (H) (1) "MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" MEANS A
- 22 MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT:
- 23 (I) WHOSE TOTAL COST FOR ALL PHASES EXCEEDS
- 24 **\$10,000,000**; AND
- 25 (II) THAT:
- 26 1. INCREASES HIGHWAY CAPACITY;
- 27 2. Improves highway capacity through the use
- 28 OF INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS OR CONGESTION MANAGEMENT
- 29 SYSTEMS; OR

1 2	INTERSECTION.	3. INCLUDES	GRADE	SEPARATION	AT	AN
3 4	(2) "MAJO INCLUDE:	OR HIGHWAY CAI	PACITY EXPA	NSION PROJECT"	DOES	NOT
5 6	(I) OR THE MARYLAND POR	PROJECTS IN THE RT ADMINISTRATI		AVIATION ADMIN	NISTRA	ΓΙΟΝ
7	(II)	MAINTENANCE AI	ND STORAGE	FACILITIES PROJ	ECTS;	
8	(III)	WATER QUALITY	IMPROVEMEN	NT PROJECTS;		
9 10	(IV) TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY	PROJECTS RELA LOAD DEVELOPM		RYLAND'S PRIO	RITIES	FOR
11 12	(V)	PROJECTS THAT	ARE SOLELY	FOR SYSTEM PRES	SERVAT	'ION;
13	(VI)	THE CONSTRUCT	ON OR RECO	NSTRUCTION OF:		
14 15	FEET IN LENGTH;	1. A HIGHWAY	EXIT RAMP	THAT IS LESS T	ΓHAN 2	,500
16		2. A BIKE LAN	E; OR			
17		3. A DEDICATI	ED BUS LANE			
18 19	(I) "OVERBURI OF THE ENVIRONMENT A		Y" HAS THE	MEANING STATED	) IN § 1-	-701
20 21	(J) "UNDERSER OF THE ENVIRONMENT		" HAS THE M	IEANING STATED	IN § 1-	-701
22 23	(K) "VEHICLE M MILES TRAVELED BY VE					
24	2-902.					
25 26	` '	REMENTS OF THIS				

LOCAL LAWS OR REGULATIONS.

27

## 1 (B) THIS SUBTITLE DOES NOT APPLY TO:

- 2 (1) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT THAT WAS,
- 3 BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL FOR INCLUSION IN, OR FUNDED
- 4 FOR CONSTRUCTION UNDER:
- 5 (I) THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT
- 6 PROGRAM;
- 7 (II) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
- 8 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; OR
- 9 (III) THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM; OR
- 10 (2) A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT FOR WHICH
- 11 AN ASSESSMENT COMPARABLE TO THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED UNDER
- 12 THIS SUBTITLE WAS PERFORMED.
- 13 **2–903**.
- 14 (A) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR PERFORMING
- 15 MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.
- 16 (B) (1) AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT SHALL INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS AND
- 17 ESTIMATES OF A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S:
- 18 (I) QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN:
- 19 1. Greenhouse gas emissions for the sixth year
- 20 AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND
- 2. Vehicle miles traveled in the project area
- 22 FOR THE SIXTH YEAR AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND
- 23 (II) IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND VEHICLE
- 24 MILES TRAVELED CAUSED BY ANY INDUCED DEMAND CREATED BY THE PROJECT.
- 25 (2) The analysis and estimates specified under paragraph
- 26 (1)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE DETERMINED USING THE BEST AVAILABLE
- 27 DATA AND MODELING TOOLS, SUCH AS:
- 28 (I) THE STATE HIGHWAY INDUCED FREQUENCY OF TRAVEL
- 29 CALCULATOR;

- 1 (II) THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE 2 TRANSPORTATION'S INDUCED TRAVEL CALCULATOR; OR
- 3 (III) ANY OTHER IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL USED FOR 4 MEASURING INDUCED DEMAND FOR GRADE SEPARATION PROJECTS.
- 5 **2-904**.
- 6 (A) (1) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT
  7 FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT
  8 MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION
  9 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.
- 10 (2) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:
- 11 (I) PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR A MAJOR 12 HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT MAY BE 13 CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 14 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; AND
- 15 (II) SUBMIT AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT PERFORMED UNDER THIS 16 SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
- 17 **(B)** THE DEPARTMENT SHALL USE THE INFORMATION COLLECTED IN THE 18 IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED IN SUBSECTION **(A)** OF THIS SECTION TO 19 DETERMINE WHETHER A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT MEETS 20 THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:
- 21 (1) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE GREENHOUSE GAS 22 EMISSIONS;
- 23 (2) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED;
- 24 (3) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE GREENHOUSE GAS 25 EMISSIONS REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN § 2–1205 OF THE ENVIRONMENT 26 ARTICLE; AND
- 27 (4) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN THE 2024 ANNUAL ATTAINMENT REPORT ON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE.

- 1 (C) (1) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY 2 CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT DOES NOT SATISFY EACH REQUIREMENT 3 SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT OR, FOR AN 4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT PERFORMED BY A METROPOLITAN PLANNING 5 ORGANIZATION, THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:
- 6 (I) ALTER THE SCOPE OR DESIGN OF THE PROJECT;
- 7 (II) DEVELOP A MITIGATION PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 8 2–905 OF THIS SUBTITLE; OR
- 9 (III) HALT PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND OMIT THE PROJECT 10 FROM THE RELEVANT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE 11 CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.
- 12**(2)** THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN **PLANNING** ORGANIZATION SHALL PERFORM SUBSEQUENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS ON A MAJOR 13 HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT ALTERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH 14 PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNTIL THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT 15 THE PROJECT SATISFIES EACH REQUIREMENT SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF 16 17 THIS SECTION.
- 18 **2–905.**
- 19 (A) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THROUGH AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT 20 THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT WILL RESULT IN A NET 21INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND 22 THE PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO BE ALTERED OR HALTED UNDER § 2-904(C) OF 23SUBTITLE, THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A MITIGATION PLAN TO 24 25 ELIMINATE THE NET INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE 26 MILES TRAVELED.
- 27 (B) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A 28 MITIGATION PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE ONE OR 29 MORE OF THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION ACTIONS:
- 30 (I) TRANSIT EXPANSION, INCLUDING:
- 31 REGULAR FIXED BUS ROUTES;
- 32 **2.** ARTERIAL BUS RAPID TRANSIT;

1			3.	HIGHWAY BUS RAPID TRANSIT;
2			4.	RAIL TRANSIT; AND
3			<b>5.</b>	INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL;
4		(II)	TRAN	NSIT SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
5			1.	INCREASED SERVICE LEVELS;
6			2.	TRANSIT FARE REDUCTIONS OR INCENTIVES; AND
7			3.	TRANSIT PRIORITY TREATMENTS;
8	IMPROVEMENTS,	` /	ACTI JDING	VE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTED BICYCLE LANES OR PATHWAYS;
10 11	VEHICLES, INCLU	` '		RED SERVICES FOR MANUAL OR ELECTRIC LOW SPEED TRIC BICYCLES AND SCOOTERS;
12		(v)	TRAN	NSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
13			1.	VANPOOL AND SHARED VEHICLE PROGRAMS;
14			2.	TELEWORKING PROGRAMS; AND
15			3.	EXPANSION OF BROADBAND ACCESS;
16		(VI)	PARI	KING MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
17 18	REQUIREMENTS;		1.	REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF PARKING
19			2.	PARKING COST ADJUSTMENTS; AND
20			3.	ELIMINATION OF PARKING SUBSIDIES;
21		(VII)	LANI	O USE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
22			1.	RESIDENTIAL AND OTHER DENSITY INCREASES;
23			2.	INFILL DEVELOPMENT; AND

**COMMUNITIES**;

1	3. TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT; AND
2 3	(VIII) INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS RELATED TO PEDESTRIANS OR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS, INCLUDING:
4	1. Complete streets;
5	2. REDUCED CONFLICT INTERSECTIONS;
6	3. Crosswalks;
7	4. MIXED-USE PATHS;
8	5. ROADWAY GEOMETRY WITH REDUCED DESIGN SPEEDS; AND
0	6. Buffered and sheltered sidewalks.
$\frac{1}{2}$	(2) A MITIGATION ACTION MAY BE INCORPORATED IN THE MITIGATION PLAN FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IF:
$\frac{13}{4}$	(I) THE NECESSARY FUNDING SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED AND SUFFICIENT AMOUNTS ARE COMMITTED;
15 16	(II) THE MITIGATION ACTION IS FOCUSED ON AREAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION; AND
	(III) PROCEDURES ARE ESTABLISHED TO ENSURE THAT THE MITIGATION ACTION CONFORMS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 2–904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE.
20 21	(C) (1) THE BENEFITS PROVIDED BY A MITIGATION ACTION SHALL FOCUS ON AREAS IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF PRIORITY:
22 23 24	(I) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT;
25 26	(II) AREAS WITHIN OR ASSOCIATED WITH AT LEAST ONE OF THE COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE PROJECT;
7	(III) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED

- 1 (IV) THE REGION IN WHICH THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY 2 EXPANSION PROJECT IS LOCATED; OR
- 3 (V) BENEFITS TO THE ENTIRE STATE.
- 4 (2) THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING
- 5 ORGANIZATION SHALL INCLUDE IN A MITIGATION PLAN AN EXPLANATION ON THE
- 6 FEASIBILITY OF AND RATIONALE FOR SELECTING A MITIGATION ACTION LOCATED
- 7 IN AN AREA SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(III) THROUGH (V) OF THIS SUBSECTION.
- 8 (D) (1) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL SUBMIT A
- 9 MITIGATION PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR
- 10 REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
- 11 (2) (I) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL APPROVE A MITIGATION PLAN IF
- 12 THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT THE MITIGATION PLAN COMPLIES WITH
- 13 THIS SECTION AND THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 2–904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 14 (II) THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT SHALL
- 15 DEVELOP A METHODOLOGY FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE IF A
- 16 MITIGATION PLAN FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IS
- 17 SUFFICIENT FOR REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.
- 18 (3) (I) IF THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT APPROVE A
- 19 METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S MITIGATION PLAN SUBMITTED UNDER
- 20 THIS SECTION, THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL ADDRESS
- 21 ANY ISSUES RAISED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND RESUBMIT THE PLAN TO THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT FOR APPROVAL.
- 23 (II) IF A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S
- 24 RESUBMITTED MITIGATION PLAN STILL DOES NOT CONFORM WITH THE
- 25 REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION AND § 2-904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE
- 26 DEPARTMENT MAY RESTRICT AND REDIRECT ANY FUNDING THAT WOULD HAVE
- 27 BEEN ALLOCATED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING
- 28 ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING FUNDING FROM:
- 29 1. The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
- 30 **PROGRAM**; AND
- 31 2. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANTS.
- 32 **2–906.**

- 1 THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL
- 2 PUBLISH ON ITS WEBSITE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR EACH IMPACT
- 3 ASSESSMENT THE DEPARTMENT OR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
- 4 PERFORMS:
- 5 (1) A SUMMARY OF THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION
- 6 PROJECT AND AN OVERVIEW OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT, INCLUDING:
- 7 (I) THE ESTIMATED QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN:
- 8 1. Greenhouse gas emissions for the sixth year
- 9 AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND
- 10 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED IN THE PROJECT AREA
- 11 FOR THE SIXTH YEAR AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE;
- 12 (II) THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT; AND
- 13 (III) THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING ALLOCATED TO ANY
- 14 MITIGATION ACTIONS RELATED TO THE PROJECT; AND
- 15 (2) THE INDUCED DEMAND TRAFFIC MODEL AND METHODOLOGY
- 16 THE DEPARTMENT OR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION USED TO ASSESS
- 17 A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE
- 18 GAS EMISSIONS AND VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED.
- 19 **2-907.**
- THE DEPARTMENT MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS TO CARRY OUT THIS
- 21 SUBTITLE.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
- 23 1, 2024.