R1, M3 4lr2622CF 4lr1143

By: Senators Lam and Gile

Introduced and read first time: January 29, 2024 Assigned to: Finance and Budget and Taxation

## A BILL ENTITLED

4	A TAT	ACIT	•
ı	AN	$\mathbf{ACT}$	concerning
_	111	1101	COLLCCITILITY

2	Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projects - Impact Assessments and
3	Mitigation Plans
4	(Transportation and Climate Alignment Act of 2024)

5 FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Transportation to establish a process for 6 performing major highway capacity expansion project impact assessments; requiring 7 the Department of Transportation and a metropolitan planning organization to 8 perform an impact assessment under certain circumstances; requiring the 9 Department of Transportation to use the impact assessment to make a certain determination; requiring the Department of Transportation and a metropolitan 10 11 planning organization to develop a certain mitigation plan under certain 12 circumstances; requiring the Department of Transportation to review for approval a 13 mitigation plan developed by a metropolitan planning organization; requiring the Department of the Environment to develop a methodology for making a certain 14 15 determination; authorizing the Department of Transportation to restrict or redirect 16 certain funding that would have been allocated to the metropolitan planning organization under certain circumstances; requiring the Department 17 18 Transportation or a metropolitan planning organization to post certain information to its website; and generally relating to major highway capacity projects. 19

20	BY repea	ling and	reenacting,	without	amend	ments,
----	----------	----------	-------------	---------	-------	--------

21 Article – Environment

22 Section 1-701(a)(1), (7), and (8)

23 Annotated Code of Maryland

24(2013 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)

25 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments.

26 Article – Transportation

27 Section 2–103.1(a)(1), (2), and (4)

28 Annotated Code of Maryland

29 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 2 3 4 5 6	BY adding to  Article – Transportation Section 2–901 through 2–907 to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 9. Major Highway Capacity Expansion Projects" Annotated Code of Maryland (2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)  SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLANI			
8	That the La	ws of I	Maryla	nd read as follows:
9				Article – Environment
10	1–701.			
11	(a)	(1)	In thi	s section the following words have the meanings indicated.
12 13 14	more of the statewide:	(7) e follo		burdened community" means any census tract for which three or nvironmental health indicators are above the 75th percentile
15			(i)	Particulate matter (PM) 2.5;
16			(ii)	Ozone;
17			(iii)	National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) diesel PM;
18			(iv)	NATA cancer risk;
19			(v)	NATA respiratory hazard index;
20			(vi)	Traffic proximity;
21			(vii)	Lead paint indicator;
22			(viii)	National Priorities List Superfund site proximity;
23			(ix)	Risk Management Plan facility proximity;
24			(x)	Hazardous waste proximity;
25			(xi)	Wastewater discharge indicator;
26			(xii)	Proximity to a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO);
27			(xiii)	Percent of the population lacking broadband coverage;

1			(xiv)	Asthma emergency room discharges;
2			(xv)	Myocardial infarction discharges;
3			(xvi)	Low-birth-weight infants;
4			(xvii)	Proximity to emitting power plants;
5			(xviii)	Proximity to a Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) facility;
6			(xix)	Proximity to a brownfields site;
7			(xx)	Proximity to mining operations; and
8			(xxi)	Proximity to a hazardous waste landfill.
9 10	to the most	(8) recent		erserved community" means any census tract in which, according ensus Bureau Survey:
11			(i)	At least 25% of the residents qualify as low–income;
12			(ii)	At least 50% of the residents identify as nonwhite; or
13			(iii)	At least 15% of the residents have limited English proficiency.
14				Article - Transportation
15	2–103.1.			
16	(a)	(1)	In thi	s section the following words have the meanings indicated.
17		(2)	"Capi	tal project" means:
18 19 20				Any project for which funds are expended for construction, ilitation of a transportation facility by the Department or of a project by the Maryland Transportation Authority; or
21			(ii)	Capital equipment, as defined by the Secretary.
22 23 24 25	-	•	or se	or capital project" means any new, expanded, or significantly rvice that involves planning, environmental studies, design, on, or purchase of essential equipment related to the facility or
26	$\mathbf{S}$	UBTIT	LE 9. M	IAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECTS.

**2–901.** 

- 1 (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 2 INDICATED.
- 3 (B) "CARBON DIOXIDE EQUIVALENT" MEANS THE MEASUREMENT OF A
- 4 GIVEN WEIGHT OF A GREENHOUSE GAS THAT HAS THE SAME GLOBAL WARMING
- 5 POTENTIAL, MEASURED OVER A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME, AS 1 METRIC TON OF
- 6 CARBON DIOXIDE.
- 7 (C) "GREENHOUSE GAS" INCLUDES CARBON DIOXIDE, METHANE, NITROUS
- 8 OXIDE, HYDROFLUOROCARBONS, PERFLUOROCARBONS, AND SULFUR
- 9 HEXAFLUORIDE.
- 10 (D) "GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS" MEANS EMISSIONS OF GREENHOUSE
- 11 GASES IN THE STATE, MEASURED IN METRIC TONS OF CARBON DIOXIDE
- 12 EQUIVALENTS.
- 13 (E) "IMPACT ASSESSMENT" MEANS A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY
- 14 EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENT.
- 15 (F) "INDUCED DEMAND" MEANS THE SITUATION THAT ARISES WHEN AN
- 16 EXPANDED ROAD BECOMES CONGESTED DUE TO ADDITIONAL DRIVERS USING THE
- 17 ROAD BECAUSE IT WAS EXPANDED.
- 18 (G) "MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 2–103.1 OF
- 19 THIS TITLE.
- 20 (H) (1) "MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" MEANS A
- 21 MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT:
- 22 (I) WHOSE TOTAL COST FOR ALL PHASES EXCEEDS
- 23 **\$10,000,000**; AND
- 24 (II) THAT:
- 25 1. INCREASES HIGHWAY CAPACITY;
- 26 2. Improves highway capacity through the use
- 27 OF INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS OR CONGESTION MANAGEMENT
- 28 SYSTEMS; OR
- 29 3. INCLUDES GRADE SEPARATION AT AN INTERSECTION.

"MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT" DOES NOT **(2)** 1 2 **INCLUDE:** PROJECTS IN THE MARYLAND AVIATION ADMINISTRATION 3 OR THE MARYLAND PORT ADMINISTRATION; 4 5 (II)MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACILITIES PROJECTS; 6 (III) WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS; (IV) PROJECTS RELATED TO MARYLAND'S PRIORITIES FOR 7 8 TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD DEVELOPMENT; (V) PROJECTS THAT ARE SOLELY FOR SYSTEM PRESERVATION: 9 10 OR (VI) THE CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF: 11 A HIGHWAY EXIT RAMP THAT IS LESS THAN 2,500 12 1. 13 FEET IN LENGTH; 14 2. A BIKE LANE; OR 3. 15 A DEDICATED BUS LANE. "OVERBURDENED COMMUNITY" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 1-701 16 OF THE ENVIRONMENT ARTICLE. 17 "Underserved community" has the meaning stated in § 1-701 of 18 THE ENVIRONMENT ARTICLE. 19 "VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED" MEANS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ON-ROAD 20 21MILES TRAVELED BY VEHICLES IN A GEOGRAPHIC REGION OVER A 1-YEAR PERIOD. 2-902. 22THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE ARE IN ADDITION TO AND MAY 2324NOT SUPPLANT ANY HEALTH AND SAFETY GOALS ESTABLISHED UNDER STATE OR 25LOCAL LAWS OR REGULATIONS. 26**(B)** THIS SUBTITLE DOES NOT APPLY TO:

A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT THAT WAS,

27

**(1)** 

27

CALCULATOR;

- BEFORE JULY 1, 2024, SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL FOR INCLUSION IN, OR FUNDED 1 2 FOR CONSTRUCTION UNDER: 3 **(I)** THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION **IMPROVEMENT** 4 PROGRAM; **PLANNING** 5 (II)A METROPOLITAN **ORGANIZATION** 6 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; OR 7 (III) THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM; OR 8 A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT FOR WHICH 9 AN ASSESSMENT COMPARABLE TO THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED UNDER THIS 10 SUBTITLE WAS PERFORMED. 11 2-903. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ESTABLISH A PROCESS FOR PERFORMING 12 (A) MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS. 13 14 **(B) (1)** AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT SHALL INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS AND 15 ESTIMATES OF A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S: (I)16 QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN: 17 1. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FOR THE SIXTH YEAR 18 AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND 2. 19 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED IN THE PROJECT AREA FOR THE SIXTH YEAR AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE; AND 20 21(II)IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND VEHICLE 22MILES TRAVELED CAUSED BY ANY INDUCED DEMAND CREATED BY THE PROJECT. 23**(2)** THE ANALYSIS AND ESTIMATES SPECIFIED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION SHALL BE DETERMINED USING THE BEST AVAILABLE 24DATA AND MODELING TOOLS, SUCH AS: 25 26 **(I)** THE STATE HIGHWAY INDUCED FREQUENCY OF TRAVEL
- 28 (II) THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE 29 TRANSPORTATION'S INDUCED TRAVEL CALCULATOR; OR

- 1 (III) ANY OTHER IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL USED FOR 2 MEASURING INDUCED DEMAND FOR GRADE SEPARATION PROJECTS.
- 3 **2-904.**
- 4 (A) (1) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- 5 FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT MAY
- 6 BE CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION
- 7 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.
- 8 (2) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:
- 9 (I) PERFORM AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY
- 10 CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT BEFORE THE PROJECT MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR
- 11 INCLUSION IN A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TRANSPORTATION
- 12 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; AND
- 13 (II) SUBMIT AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT PERFORMED UNDER THIS
- 14 SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
- 15 (B) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL USE THE INFORMATION COLLECTED IN THE
- 16 IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION TO
- 17 DETERMINE WHETHER A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT MEETS
- 18 THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:
- 19 (1) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS;
- 20 (2) THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED;
- 21 (3) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE GREENHOUSE GAS
- 22 EMISSIONS REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN § 2–1205 OF THE ENVIRONMENT
- 23 ARTICLE; AND
- 24 (4) THE PROJECT CONFORMS WITH THE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED
- 25 REDUCTION TARGETS SPECIFIED IN THE 2024 ANNUAL ATTAINMENT REPORT ON
- 26 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE.
- 27 (C) (1) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY
- 28 CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT DOES NOT SATISFY EACH REQUIREMENT SPECIFIED
- 29 IN SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT OR, FOR AN IMPACT
- 30 ASSESSMENT PERFORMED BY A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, THE
- 31 METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL:

1	(I) ALTER THE SCOPE OR DESIGN OF THE PROJECT;
2 3	(II) DEVELOP A MITIGATION PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH § $2-905$ OF THIS SUBTITLE; OR
$\frac{4}{5}$	(III) HALT PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND OMIT THE PROJECT FROM THE RELEVANT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OR THE
6	CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.
7	(2) THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING
8	ORGANIZATION SHALL PERFORM SUBSEQUENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS ON A MAJOR
9	HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT ALTERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
10	PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNTIL THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT
11	THE PROJECT SATISFIES EACH REQUIREMENT SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF
12	THIS SECTION.
13	2–905.
14	(A) IF THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THROUGH AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT
15	THAT A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT WILL RESULT IN A NET
16	INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND THE
17	PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO BE ALTERED OR HALTED UNDER § 2–904(C) OF THIS
18	SUBTITLE, THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
19	SHALL DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A MITIGATION PLAN TO ELIMINATE THE NET
20	INCREASE IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS OR VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED.
21	(B) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A MITIGATION
22	PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE ONE OR MORE OF THE
23	FOLLOWING MITIGATION ACTIONS:
24	(I) TRANSIT EXPANSION, INCLUDING:
25	1. REGULAR FIXED BUS ROUTES;
26	2. ARTERIAL BUS RAPID TRANSIT;
27	3. HIGHWAY BUS RAPID TRANSIT;
28	4. RAIL TRANSIT; AND
29	5. Intercity passenger rail;

1	(II)	TRA	NSIT SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
2		1.	INCREASED SERVICE LEVELS;
3		2.	TRANSIT FARE REDUCTIONS OR INCENTIVES; AND
4		3.	TRANSIT PRIORITY TREATMENTS;
5 6	(III) IMPROVEMENTS, INCLU	ACTI JDING	VE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTED BICYCLE LANES OR PATHWAYS;
7 8	(IV) VEHICLES, INCLUDING		RED SERVICES FOR MANUAL OR ELECTRIC LOW SPEED FRIC BICYCLES AND SCOOTERS;
9	(V)	TRA	NSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
10		1.	VANPOOL AND SHARED VEHICLE PROGRAMS;
11		2.	TELEWORKING PROGRAMS; AND
12		3.	EXPANSION OF BROADBAND ACCESS;
13	(VI)	PARI	KING MANAGEMENT, INCLUDING:
14 15	REQUIREMENTS;	1.	REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF PARKING
16		2.	PARKING COST ADJUSTMENTS; AND
17		3.	ELIMINATION OF PARKING SUBSIDIES;
18	(VII)	LANI	D USE IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING:
19		1.	RESIDENTIAL AND OTHER DENSITY INCREASES;
20		2.	INFILL DEVELOPMENT; AND
21		3.	TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT; AND
22 23	, ,		ASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS RELATED TO PERATIONS, INCLUDING:

COMPLETE STREETS;

1.

24

1	2. REDUCED CONFLICT INTERSECTIONS;
2	3. Crosswalks;
3	4. MIXED-USE PATHS;
4 5	5. ROADWAY GEOMETRY WITH REDUCED DESIGN SPEEDS; AND
6	6. Buffered and sheltered sidewalks.
7 8	(2) A MITIGATION ACTION MAY BE INCORPORATED IN THE MITIGATION PLAN FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IF:
9 10	(I) THE NECESSARY FUNDING SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED AND SUFFICIENT AMOUNTS ARE COMMITTED;
11 12	(II) THE MITIGATION ACTION IS FOCUSED ON AREAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION; AND
13 14 15	(III) PROCEDURES ARE ESTABLISHED TO ENSURE THAT THE MITIGATION ACTION CONFORMS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § $2-904(B)$ OF THIS SUBTITLE.
16 17	(C) (1) THE BENEFITS PROVIDED BY A MITIGATION ACTION SHALL FOCUS ON AREAS IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF PRIORITY:
18 19	(I) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT;
20 21	(II) AREAS WITHIN OR ASSOCIATED WITH AT LEAST ONE OF THE COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY THE PROJECT;
22 23	(III) OVERBURDENED COMMUNITIES OR UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES;
24 25	(IV) THE REGION IN WHICH THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IS LOCATED; OR
26	(V) BENEFITS TO THE ENTIRE STATE.
27	(2) THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING

- 1 ORGANIZATION SHALL INCLUDE IN A MITIGATION PLAN AN EXPLANATION ON THE
- 2 FEASIBILITY OF AND RATIONALE FOR SELECTING A MITIGATION ACTION LOCATED
- 3 IN AN AREA SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(III) THROUGH (V) OF THIS SUBSECTION.
- 4 (D) (1) A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL SUBMIT A
- 5 MITIGATION PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER THIS SECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR
- 6 REVIEW AND APPROVAL.
- 7 (2) (I) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL APPROVE A MITIGATION PLAN IF
- 8 THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINES THAT THE MITIGATION PLAN COMPLIES WITH THIS
- 9 SECTION AND THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER § 2–904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 10 (II) THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT SHALL DEVELOP
- 11 A METHODOLOGY FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE IF A MITIGATION PLAN
- 12 FOR A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT IS SUFFICIENT FOR
- 13 REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.
- 14 (3) (I) IF THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT APPROVE A
- 15 METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S MITIGATION PLAN SUBMITTED UNDER
- 16 THIS SECTION, THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL ADDRESS ANY
- 17 ISSUES RAISED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND RESUBMIT THE PLAN TO THE
- 18 **DEPARTMENT FOR APPROVAL.**
- 19 (II) IF A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION'S
- 20 RESUBMITTED MITIGATION PLAN STILL DOES NOT CONFORM WITH THE
- 21 REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION AND § 2-904(B) OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT MAY RESTRICT AND REDIRECT ANY FUNDING THAT WOULD HAVE
- 23 BEEN ALLOCATED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING
- 24 ORGANIZATION, INCLUDING FUNDING FROM:
- 25 1. The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
- 26 PROGRAM; AND
- 27 2. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANTS.
- 28 **2–906.**

33

- THE DEPARTMENT OR A METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION SHALL
- 30 PUBLISH ON ITS WEBSITE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR EACH IMPACT
- 31 ASSESSMENT THE DEPARTMENT OR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
- 32 **PERFORMS:** 
  - (1) A SUMMARY OF THE MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION

16 17

1, 2024.

1	PROJECT AND AN OVERVIEW OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT, INCLUDING:
2	(I) THE ESTIMATED QUANTITATIVE NET CHANGE IN:
3 4	1. Greenhouse gas emissions for the sixth year after the project is complete; and
5 6	2. VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED IN THE PROJECT AREA FOR THE SIXTH YEAR AFTER THE PROJECT IS COMPLETE;
7	(II) THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT; AND
8	(III) THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING ALLOCATED TO ANY MITIGATION ACTIONS RELATED TO THE PROJECT; AND
10 11 12 13	(2) THE INDUCED DEMAND TRAFFIC MODEL AND METHODOLOGY THE DEPARTMENT OR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION USED TO ASSESS A MAJOR HIGHWAY CAPACITY EXPANSION PROJECT'S IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED.
14	2–907.
15	THE DEPARTMENT MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS TO CARRY OUT THIS SUBTITLE

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July