Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2024 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE Third Reader - Revised

House Bill 371

(Delegate Grossman)

Ways and Means

Education, Energy, and the Environment

Election Law - Recounts - Procedures

This emergency bill requires a petitioner for a recount to select the method for conducting the recount, from among specified methods. The bill also requires a local board of elections to (1) preserve and store certain voter-verifiable paper records together with their corresponding duplicate ballots and (2) in a recount, follow specified requirements relating to duplicate ballots.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: The bill is not expected to materially affect State finances.

Local Effect: The bill is not expected to materially affect local government finances.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill requires a petitioner for a recount to select the method for conducting the recount. The petitioner may select only one of the following methods: (1) rescanning the ballots using the same vote tabulating equipment that was used in the election or alternative vote tabulating equipment, if alternative equipment is available and its use is feasible, as determined by the State Board of Elections (SBE); (2) a manual recount of voter-verifiable paper records; or (3) any other recount method approved by the State Administrator of Elections.

The bill requires a local board of elections to (1) preserve the voter-verifiable paper record corresponding to each duplicate ballot that is created and (2) store each duplicate ballot that is created together with the corresponding voter-verifiable paper record.

In a recount, a local board of elections must examine the voter-verifiable paper record and duplicate ballot and (1) if the voter-verifiable paper record and duplicate ballot do not match, the local board must create a new duplicate ballot that accurately reflects the voter's intent and count that duplicate ballot or (2) if the voter-verifiable paper record and duplicate ballot match, the local board must count the duplicate ballot.

"Duplicate ballot" means a paper ballot that:

- is created by a local board for purposes of vote tabulation by an optical scanner because the voter-verifiable paper record submitted by the voter is not acceptable for vote tabulation by an optical scanner; and
- is a copy of a voter-verifiable paper record that (1) for an absentee ballot returned by mail, reflects the intent of the voter, or (2) for a provisional ballot, includes only the votes for contests for which the voter is eligible to vote.

"Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 9-102 of the Election Law Article, and means (1) a paper ballot prepared by the voter for the purpose of being read by a precinct-based optical scanner; (2) a paper ballot prepared by the voter to be mailed to the applicable local board, whether mailed from a domestic or an overseas location; and (3) a paper ballot created through the use of a ballot marking device.

Current Law:

Recount Provisions under the Election Law Article

A candidate for public or party office who has been defeated based on the certified results of any election conducted under the Election Law Article may petition for a recount of the votes cast for the office sought. However, a candidate may not petition for a recount if the margin of difference between the number of votes received by an apparent winner and the losing candidate with the highest number of votes for the office is greater than 5% of the total votes cast for those candidates. The petition must be filed within three days after the results of the election have been certified, with the board with which the candidate's certificate of candidacy was filed, and must specify that the recount be conducted in all of the precincts in which the office was on the ballot or only in the precincts designated in the petition.

A registered voter eligible to vote for a question on the ballot in an election conducted under the Election Law Article may file a petition for a recount based on the certified results HB 371/ Page 2

of the question. However, a registered voter may not file a petition for a recount if the margin of difference between the number of votes cast for and the number of votes cast against the question is greater than 5%. The petition must be filed within three days after the results of the election are certified and must specify that the recount be conducted in all of the precincts in which the question was on the ballot or only in precincts designated in the petition. If the question was on the ballot in one county, the petition must be filed in that county. If the question was on the ballot in more than one county, the petition must be filed with SBE.

SBE must promptly notify each appropriate local board of elections of a petition that is filed with SBE, and a local board must promptly notify SBE of a petition that is filed with the local board.

State Board of Elections Regulations

SBE regulations governing recounts require the petitioner to specify whether the recount must be conducted by (1) manually retabulating the printed election totals reports; (2) rescanning all ballots using the same vote tabulating equipment that was used in the election or alternative vote tabulating equipment, if alternative equipment is available and its use is feasible; (3) manually retabulating all ballots; or (4) any other recount option approved by the State Administrator.

During absentee and provisional ballot canvassing, if a ballot is determined to be unacceptable for machine tabulation, the ballot must be duplicated. Following duplication, the original ballot must be replaced by the duplicate ballot, and the duplicate ballot must be counted with the ballots for that precinct. Both ballots must be given a unique identifying number and be securely attached to each other.

Additional Information

Recent Prior Introductions: Similar legislation has been introduced within the last three years. See SB 339 and HB 642 of 2023.

Designated Cross File: SB 115 (Senator Kagan) - Education, Energy, and the Environment.

Information Source(s): Baltimore City; Calvert, Howard, and Prince George's counties; Maryland State Board of Elections; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - January 26, 2024 rh/sdk Third Reader - April 9, 2024

Revised - Amendment(s) - April 9, 2024

Analysis by: Arnold H. Adja Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510