CAROLYN A. QUATTROCKI Chief Deputy Attorney General

LEONARD J. HOWIE IIIDeputy Attorney General

CARRIE J. WILLIAMS
Deputy Attorney General

SHARON S. MERRIWEATHER

Deputy Attorney General

ZENITA WICKHAM HURLEYDeputy Attorney General



STATE OF MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF COUNSEL TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ANTHONY G. BROWN
Attorney General

SANDRA BENSON BRANTLEY

Principal Counsel

DAVID W. STAMPERDeputy Principal Counsel

PETER V. BERNS
General Counsel

CHRISTIAN E. BARRERA
Chief Operating Officer

April 7, 2025

The Honorable Wes Moore Governor of Maryland State House 100 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Delivered via email

RE: House Bill 601 and Senate Bill 1008, "Economic Development - Small Business Guaranty Fund – Alterations"

Dear Governor Moore:

We have reviewed and hereby approve House Bill 601 and Senate Bill 1008, identical bills entitled "Economic Development - Small Business Guaranty Fund – Alterations." We write separately to advise that the bills must be implemented consistent with the constitutional prohibition on giving or loaning the credit of the State.

Article III, § 34 of the Maryland Constitution states, in relevant part: "The credit of the State shall not in any manner be given, or loaned to, or in aid of any individual association or corporation" As initially introduced, House Bill 601 and Senate Bill 1008 authorized the Maryland Small Business Development Financing Authority ("Authority") to provide, under its Long-term Guaranty Program, a loan guaranty that is: (1) supported by the full faith and credit of the State and (2) in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, an official treasurer's check, funds on deposit in an escrow or other depository account, or any other legal instrument promising restitution or reimbursement for a financial institution's loan losses.

The Honorable Wes Moore April 7, 2025 Page 2

Although an amendment to the bills struck the language authorizing the guaranty to be supported by the full faith and credit of the State, the removal of that language does not necessarily ensure compliance with Article III, § 34. In providing any form of guaranty authorized by the bills, the Authority still must consider whether the guaranty complies with § 34. See Maryland Indus. Dev. Fin. Auth. v. Helfrich, 250 Md. 602 (1968) (finding unconstitutional a statutory scheme establishing an industrial mortgage guaranty program).

Sincerely,

Anthony G. Brown

AGB/DWS/kd

cc: The Honorable Susan C. Lee

Jeremy Baker Victoria L. Gruber