### SB0653/933521/1

### BY: Senator McCray

## <u>AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL 653</u> (First Reading File Bill)

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, in line 6, before "a" insert "<u>the establishment of</u>"; in the same line, strike "price preference" and substitute "<u>evaluation factor</u>"; and in the same line, after "for" insert "<u>certain</u>".

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2

On page 4, after line 14, insert:

## "(D) "ESOP EVALUATION FACTOR" MEANS A PREFERENCE FOR AN ESOP BIDDER OR ESOP OFFEROR THAT A UNIT MAY INCLUDE IN THE VALUATION OF PROPOSALS.";

and in lines 15, 18, and 21, strike "(D)", "(E)", and "(F)", respectively, and substitute "(E)", "(F)", and "(G)", respectively.

On pages 4 and 5, strike in their entirety the lines beginning with line 24 on page 4 through line 6 on page 5, inclusive.

On page 5, in lines 17 and 18, strike "A PERCENTAGE PREFERENCE" and substitute "<u>AN EVALUATION FACTOR</u>"; and in line 18, strike "**5**%" and substitute "**10**%".

On pages 5 and 6, strike in their entirety the lines beginning with line 20 on page 5 through line 7 on page 6, inclusive, and substitute:

SB0653/933521/01 Amendments to SB 653 Page 2 of 2

## "(A) <u>A UNIT MAY INCLUDE AN ESOP EVALUATION FACTOR IN THE</u> <u>TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR A COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL UNDER § 13–</u> <u>104 OF THIS ARTICLE FOR A CONTRACT SUBJECT TO THIS SUBTITLE.</u>

**McCray** 

## (B) AN ESOP EVALUATION FACTOR MAY BE:

# (1) IF A POINT SYSTEM IS USED FOR EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS, UP TO THE PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL ALLOCABLE TECHNICAL POINTS AUTHORIZED UNDER § 14–803 OF THIS SUBTITLE; OR

# (2) IF A POINT SYSTEM IS NOT USED FOR EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS, RANKED IN ITS RELATIVE ORDER OF IMPORTANCE.".

On page 6, in line 26, after "**2028**;" insert "<u>AND</u>"; and strike beginning with "**THE**" in line 27 down through "(**3**)" in line 29.