

HOUSE BILL 426

G1
HB 40/24 – W&M

5lr1806
CF SB 313

By: **Delegates Kaiser and Wilkins**

Introduced and read first time: January 16, 2025

Assigned to: Ways and Means

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Election Law – Postelection Tabulation Audits – Risk-Limiting Audits**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with the local
4 boards of elections, to conduct a risk-limiting audit after each statewide election;
5 authorizing the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct a
6 risk-limiting audit after a special general election; authorizing, rather than
7 requiring, the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct an
8 automated software audit after a statewide election; repealing a requirement to
9 conduct a manual audit after each statewide general election; and generally relating
10 to postelection tabulation audits.

11 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
12 Article – Election Law
13 Section 11–309
14 Annotated Code of Maryland
15 (2022 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)

16 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
17 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

18 **Article – Election Law**

19 11–309.

20 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

21 **(2) “AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT” MEANS AN AUDIT OF**
22 **ELECTRONIC IMAGES OF BALLOTS CAST IN AN ELECTION USING SOFTWARE THAT IS**
23 **INDEPENDENT OF THE VOTING SYSTEM.**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 **(3) “ELECTRONIC COUNT” MEANS THE VOTE TOTALS PRODUCED BY**
2 **THE VOTING SYSTEM.**

3 **(4) “LOCAL CONTEST” MEANS A CONTEST THAT:**

4 **(I) IS NOT A STATEWIDE CONTEST; AND**

5 **(II) APPEARS ON THE BALLOT IN ALL OR PART OF A COUNTY,**
6 **INCLUDING A CONTEST TO REPRESENT A DISTRICT THAT INCLUDES MORE THAN ONE**
7 **COUNTY.**

8 **[(2)] (5) “Manual [audit] COUNT” means inspection of voter-verifiable**
9 **paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest [that are compared to the**
10 **vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system].**

11 **[(3) “Previous comparable general election” means:**

12 (i) in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4
13 years earlier; and

14 (ii) in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held
15 4 years earlier.]

16 **(6) “RISK LIMIT” MEANS THE SMALL, PREDETERMINED MAXIMUM**
17 **CHANCE THAT A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT WILL NOT REQUIRE A FULL MANUAL COUNT**
18 **OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL**
19 **MANUAL COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A**
20 **DIFFERENT OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC**
21 **COUNT.**

22 **(7) “RISK-LIMITING AUDIT” MEANS A POSTELECTION AUDIT**
23 **PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE,**
24 **PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF**
25 **VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL**
26 **COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT**
27 **OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.**

28 **[(4)] (8) “Voter-verifiable paper record” has the meaning stated in §**
29 **9–102 of this article.**

30 **[(b) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall conduct an**
31 **audit of the accuracy of the voting system’s tabulation of votes by completing:**

32 (1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots cast
33 in the election; and

1 (2) a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in accordance with
2 subsection (d) of this section.

3 (c) Following each statewide primary election, the State Board:

4 (1) shall complete an automated software audit of the electronic images of
5 all ballots cast in the election; and

6 (2) may complete a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in a
7 manner prescribed by the State Board.

8 (d) (1) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall
9 complete a manual audit of:

10 (i) at least 2% of precincts statewide, including:

11 1. at least one randomly chosen precinct in each county; and

12 2. additional precincts selected by the State Board; and

13 (ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total in
14 the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least a
15 minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board:

16 1. early votes;

17 2. absentee votes; and

18 3. provisional votes.

19 (2) The manual audit shall be completed within 120 days after the general
20 election.

21 (3) If the manual audit shows a discrepancy, the State Board may:

22 (i) expand the manual audit; and

23 (ii) take any other actions it considers necessary to resolve the
24 discrepancy.

25 (4) Within 14 days after the conclusion of the audit, the State Board shall
26 post on its website a report that describes:

27 (i) the precincts and number of votes selected for the manual audit
28 in each county and the manner in which the precincts and votes were selected;

1 (ii) the results of the manual audit; and

2 (iii) any discrepancy shown by the manual audit and how the
3 discrepancy was resolved.

4 (5) The State Board shall allow for public observation of each part of the
5 manual audit process to the extent practicable.

6 (e) An audit under this section:

7 (1) may not have any effect on the certified election results; and

8 (2) shall be used to improve the voting system and voting process for future
9 elections.]

10 **(B) (1) FOLLOWING EACH STATEWIDE ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN**
11 **COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, SHALL AUDIT THE ACCURACY OF THE**
12 **VOTING SYSTEM'S TABULATION OF VOTES BY CONDUCTING A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT**
13 **OF:**

14 **(I) AT LEAST ONE STATEWIDE CONTEST;**

15 **(II) AT LEAST ONE LOCAL CONTEST IN EACH COUNTY; AND**

16 **(III) ANY OTHER CONTESTS SELECTED FOR AUDIT BY THE STATE**
17 **BOARD.**

18 **(2) FOLLOWING A SPECIAL GENERAL ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD,**
19 **IN COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT A RISK-LIMITING**
20 **AUDIT.**

21 **(3) (I) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE**
22 **STATE BOARD SHALL SELECT THE SPECIFIC CONTESTS TO BE AUDITED UNDER**
23 **PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.**

24 **(II) A CONTEST FOR AN OFFICE FOR WHICH THE TERM BEGINS**
25 **IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER MAY NOT BE SELECTED FOR AUDIT UNLESS THE**
26 **ELECTION DIRECTOR FOR THE COUNTY AGREES TO AUDIT THE CONTEST.**

27 **(4) A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT SHALL:**

28 **(I) MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL**
29 **VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER**
30 **RECORDS UNTIL THE RISK LIMIT IS MET;**

1 (II) BE COMPLETED BEFORE CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION
2 RESULTS; AND

3 (III) BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT
4 PRACTICABLE.

5 (5) IF A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT FINDS THAT THE ELECTION OUTCOME
6 DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT IS INCORRECT, THE OFFICIAL RESULT OF
7 THE ELECTION SHALL BE ALTERED TO MATCH THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE
8 RISK-LIMITING AUDIT.

9 (6) WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING
10 AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT
11 THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT.

12 (C) IN ADDITION TO THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT REQUIRED UNDER
13 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN COLLABORATION WITH
14 THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT AFTER A
15 STATEWIDE ELECTION.

16 [(f)] (D) (1) The State Board shall adopt regulations to carry out this section.

17 (2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
18 SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
19 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:

20 (I) CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE
21 AUDITED;

22 (II) THE RISK LIMIT; AND

23 (III) THE AUDIT METHOD.

24 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June
25 1, 2025.