HOUSE BILL 703

E2

5lr1923 CF SB 507

By: Delegates Kaufman, Allen, Feldmark, Guyton, McComas, Phillips, Schindler, Shetty, Taveras, and Terrasa

Introduced and read first time: January 24, 2025 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Criminal Procedure – Diagnosis of Developmental Disability or Intellectual 3 Disability – Evidence

FOR the purpose of providing that certain evidence of certain diagnoses is admissible in a
criminal proceeding under certain circumstances; requiring a judge or a District
Court commissioner to consider any diagnosis for the defendant of a certain
developmental disability or intellectual disability when making a pretrial release
determination; and generally relating to criminal defendants who have been
diagnosed with developmental disability or intellectual disability.

- 10 BY adding to
- 11 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 12 Section 10–926
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- 15 BY adding to
- 16 Article Criminal Procedure
- 17 Section 5–216
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2018 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- 20 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 21 Article Health General
- 22 Section 7–101(g) and (n)
- 23 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 24 (2023 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- 25 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 26 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:



| HOUSE BILL 7 | 03 |
|--------------|----|
|--------------|----|

2

1

Article - Courts and Judicial Proceedings

2 **10–926.**

IN A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING, EVIDENCE, INCLUDING EXPERT TESTIMONY, CONCERNING A DIAGNOSIS FOR THE DEFENDANT OF AN AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AS DEFINED IN THE MOST RECENT EDITION OF THE DIAGNOSTIC AND STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MENTAL DISORDERS, OR OF A DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY OR AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AS DEFINED IN § 7–101 OF THE HEALTH – GENERAL ARTICLE, IS ADMISSIBLE IF THE EVIDENCE:

9 (1) TENDS TO SHOW THAT THE DEFENDANT, AT THE TIME OF THE 10 ALLEGED OFFENSE, DID OR DID NOT HAVE THE MENTAL STATE REQUIRED FOR THE 11 OFFENSE CHARGED; AND

- 12 (2) IS OTHERWISE ADMISSIBLE UNDER THE MARYLAND RULES.
- 13 Article Criminal Procedure
- 14 **5–216.**

15 A JUDGE OR A DISTRICT COURT COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER ANY 16 DIAGNOSIS FOR THE DEFENDANT OF A DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY OR AN 17 INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AS DEFINED IN § 7–101 OF THE HEALTH – GENERAL 18 ARTICLE, WHEN MAKING A PRETRIAL RELEASE DETERMINATION.

19

Article – Health – General

- 20 7–101.
- 21 (g) "Developmental disability" means a severe chronic disability of an individual 22 that:

(1) Is attributable to a physical or mental impairment, other than the sole diagnosis of mental illness, or to a combination of mental and physical impairments;

- 25 (2) Is manifested before the individual attains the age of 22;
- 26 (3) Is likely to continue indefinitely;

(4) Results in an inability to live independently without external support
 or continuing and regular assistance; and

HOUSE BILL 703

1 (5) Reflects the need for a combination and sequence of special, 2 interdisciplinary, or generic care, treatment, or other services that are individually planned 3 and coordinated for the individual.

4 (n) "Intellectual disability" means a developmental disability that is evidenced by 5 significantly subaverage intellectual functioning and impairment in the adaptive behavior 6 of an individual.

7 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 8 October 1, 2025.