

SENATE BILL 313

G1
SB 523/24 – EEE

5lr1805
CF HB 426

By: **Senator M. Washington**

Introduced and read first time: January 13, 2025

Assigned to: Education, Energy, and the Environment

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

Senate action: Adopted

Read second time: February 24, 2025

CHAPTER _____

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Election Law – Postelection Tabulation Audits – Risk-Limiting Audits**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with the local
4 boards of elections, to conduct a risk-limiting audit after each statewide election;
5 authorizing the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct a
6 risk-limiting audit after a special general election; authorizing, rather than
7 requiring, the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct an
8 automated software audit after a statewide election; authorizing a local board to
9 conduct a risk-limiting audit of a local contest under certain circumstances;
10 repealing a requirement to conduct a manual audit after each statewide general
11 election; and generally relating to postelection tabulation audits.

12 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
13 Article – Election Law
14 Section 11–309
15 Annotated Code of Maryland
16 (2022 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)

17 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
18 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

19 **Article – Election Law**

20 11–309.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Underlining indicates amendments to bill.

~~Strike out~~ indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



1 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

2 (2) “AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT” MEANS AN AUDIT OF
3 ELECTRONIC IMAGES OF BALLOTS CAST IN AN ELECTION USING SOFTWARE THAT IS
4 INDEPENDENT OF THE VOTING SYSTEM.

5 (3) “ELECTRONIC COUNT” MEANS THE VOTE TOTALS PRODUCED BY
6 THE VOTING SYSTEM.

7 (4) “LOCAL CONTEST” MEANS A CONTEST THAT:

8 (I) IS NOT A STATEWIDE CONTEST; AND

9 (II) APPEARS ON THE BALLOT IN ALL OR PART OF A COUNTY,
10 INCLUDING A CONTEST TO REPRESENT A DISTRICT THAT INCLUDES MORE THAN ONE
11 COUNTY.

12 [(2)] (5) “Manual [audit] COUNT” means inspection of voter-verifiable
13 paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest [that are compared to the
14 vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system].

15 [(3)] “Previous comparable general election” means:

16 (i) in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4
17 years earlier; and

18 (ii) in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held
19 4 years earlier.]

20 (6) “RISK LIMIT” MEANS THE SMALL, PREDETERMINED MAXIMUM
21 CHANCE THAT A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT WILL NOT REQUIRE A FULL MANUAL COUNT
22 OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL
23 MANUAL COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A
24 DIFFERENT OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC
25 COUNT.

26 (7) “RISK-LIMITING AUDIT” MEANS A POSTELECTION AUDIT
27 PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE,
28 PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF
29 VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL
30 COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT
31 OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.

32 [(4)] (8) “Voter-verifiable paper record” has the meaning stated in §
33 9-102 of this article.

1 [(b) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall conduct an
2 audit of the accuracy of the voting system's tabulation of votes by completing:

3 (1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots cast
4 in the election; and

5 (2) a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in accordance with
6 subsection (d) of this section.

7 (c) Following each statewide primary election, the State Board:

8 (1) shall complete an automated software audit of the electronic images of
9 all ballots cast in the election; and

10 (2) may complete a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in a
11 manner prescribed by the State Board.

12 (d) (1) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall
13 complete a manual audit of:

14 (i) at least 2% of precincts statewide, including:

15 1. at least one randomly chosen precinct in each county; and

16 2. additional precincts selected by the State Board; and

17 (ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total in
18 the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least a
19 minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board:

20 1. early votes;

21 2. absentee votes; and

22 3. provisional votes.

23 (2) The manual audit shall be completed within 120 days after the general
24 election.

25 (3) If the manual audit shows a discrepancy, the State Board may:

26 (i) expand the manual audit; and

27 (ii) take any other actions it considers necessary to resolve the
28 discrepancy.

1 (4) Within 14 days after the conclusion of the audit, the State Board shall
2 post on its website a report that describes:

3 (i) the precincts and number of votes selected for the manual audit
4 in each county and the manner in which the precincts and votes were selected;

5 (ii) the results of the manual audit; and

6 (iii) any discrepancy shown by the manual audit and how the
7 discrepancy was resolved.

8 (5) The State Board shall allow for public observation of each part of the
9 manual audit process to the extent practicable.

10 (e) An audit under this section:

11 (1) may not have any effect on the certified election results; and

12 (2) shall be used to improve the voting system and voting process for future
13 elections.]

14 **(B) (1) FOLLOWING EACH STATEWIDE ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN**
15 **COLLABORATION WITH ~~THE LOCAL BOARDS~~ EACH LOCAL BOARD, SHALL AUDIT THE**
16 **ACCURACY OF THE VOTING SYSTEM'S TABULATION OF VOTES IN EACH COUNTY BY**
17 **CONDUCTING A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT OF:**

18 **(I) AT LEAST ONE STATEWIDE CONTEST; AND**

19 **(II) ~~AT LEAST ONE LOCAL CONTEST IN EACH COUNTY; AND~~**

20 **(~~III~~) ANY OTHER CONTESTS SELECTED FOR AUDIT BY THE STATE**
21 **BOARD.**

22 **(2) FOLLOWING A SPECIAL GENERAL ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD,**
23 **IN COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT A RISK-LIMITING**
24 **AUDIT.**

25 **(3) (I) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE**
26 **STATE BOARD SHALL SELECT THE SPECIFIC CONTESTS TO BE AUDITED UNDER**
27 **PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.**

28 **(II) A CONTEST FOR AN OFFICE FOR WHICH THE TERM BEGINS**
29 **IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER MAY NOT BE SELECTED FOR AUDIT UNLESS THE**
30 **ELECTION DIRECTOR FOR THE COUNTY AGREES TO AUDIT THE CONTEST.**

1 (4) A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT SHALL:

2 (I) MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL
3 VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER
4 RECORDS UNTIL THE RISK LIMIT IS MET OR THE CORRECT ELECTION OUTCOME IS
5 ESTABLISHED;

6 (II) BE COMPLETED BEFORE ~~CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION~~
7 ~~RESULTS~~ THE BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS TRANSMITS A CERTIFIED STATEMENT
8 OF THE ELECTION RESULTS TO THE STATE BOARD UNDER § 11-503(A) OF THIS
9 TITLE; AND

10 (III) BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT
11 PRACTICABLE.

12 (5) (I) IF A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT FINDS THAT THE ELECTION
13 OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT IS ~~INCORRECT, THE OFFICIAL~~
14 ~~RESULT OF THE ELECTION SHALL BE ALTERED TO MATCH THE OUTCOME~~
15 ~~DETERMINED BY THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT.~~

16 (6) ~~WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING~~
17 ~~AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT~~
18 ~~THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT~~
19 INACCURATE, THE STATE ADMINISTRATOR, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE STATE
20 BOARD, SHALL DIRECT THE RELEVANT BOARD OF CANVASSERS TO IMMEDIATELY
21 INVESTIGATE THE MATTER TO DETERMINE AN ACCURATE ELECTION RESULT.

22 (II) THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS SHALL CONCLUDE THE
23 INVESTIGATION WITHIN 3 DAYS.

24 (III) THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS SHALL CORRECT THE
25 ELECTION RESULT IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATIONS ADOPTED BY THE STATE
26 BOARD.

27 (6) THE STATE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL REPORT THE RESULTS OF
28 THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT TO THE BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS AND THE STATE
29 BOARD.

30 (C) IN ADDITION TO THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT REQUIRED UNDER
31 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN COLLABORATION WITH
32 THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT AFTER A
33 STATEWIDE ELECTION.

1 (D) A LOCAL BOARD MAY CONDUCT A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT OF A LOCAL
2 CONTEST AT THE DISCRETION OF THE LOCAL BOARD.

3 [(f)] ~~(D)~~ (E) (1) The State Board shall adopt regulations to carry out this section.

4 (2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
5 SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
6 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:

7 (I) CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE
8 AUDITED;

9 (II) THE RISK LIMIT; AND

10 (III) THE AUDIT METHOD.

11 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June
12 1, 2025.

Approved:

Governor.

President of the Senate.

Speaker of the House of Delegates.