## **SENATE BILL 338**

## By: Senators West, Hettleman, Salling, Sydnor, and Brooks Baltimore County Senators

Introduced and read first time: January 16, 2025

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments Senate action: Adopted with floor amendments

Read second time: February 26, 2025

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

- 1 AN ACT concerning
- 2 Baltimore County Speed Monitoring Systems Interstate 695 and Interstate 83
- 3 FOR the purpose of authorizing the State Highway Administration to place and use a 4 certain number of speed monitoring systems on Interstate 695 and Interstate 83 in 5 Baltimore County subject to certain requirements; requiring that fines collected in 6 Baltimore County as a result of violations enforced by speed monitoring systems on 7 Interstate 695 and Interstate 83 be used to recover costs and assist in covering the 8 cost of roadway and safety improvements on Interstate 695 and Interstate 83 in 9 Baltimore County; requiring the Department of State Police to mail a warning notice 10 instead of a citation for a violation recorded by a speed monitoring system on
- 11 Interstate 695 or Interstate 83 in Baltimore County during a certain time period;
- and generally relating to speed monitoring systems in Baltimore County.
- 13 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 14 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 15 Section 7–302(e)(3) and 10–311(b)
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- 18 BY adding to
- 19 Article Transportation
- 20 Section 21–811
- 21 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 22 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)

## EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 2 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 3 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 4 7–302.
- 5 [Civil] EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF (e) (3)(I)6 THIS PARAGRAPH, CIVIL penalties resulting from citations issued using a vehicle height 7 monitoring system, traffic control signal monitoring system, speed monitoring system, work zone speed control system, stop sign monitoring system, school bus monitoring 8 9 camera, bus lane monitoring system, or a noise abatement monitoring system that are collected by the District Court shall be collected in accordance with subsection (a) of this 10 section and distributed in accordance with § 12–118 of the Transportation Article. 11
- 12 (II) 1. THE SUBJECT TO SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 3 OF THIS
  13 SUBPARAGRAPH, THE FINES COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT AS A RESULT OF
- 14 VIOLATIONS ENFORCED BY SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS ON INTERSTATE 695 AND
- 15 Interstate 83 in Baltimore County shall be remitted to the
- 16 COMPTROLLER FOR DISTRIBUTION TO THE STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION TO
- 17 BE USED SOLELY TO ASSIST:
- A. RECOVER THE COST OF IMPLEMENTING AND
- 19 ADMINISTERING THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS ON INTERSTATE 695 AND
- 20 INTERSTATE 83 IN BALTIMORE COUNTY; AND
- 21 <u>B. Assist</u> in covering the cost of roadway and
- 22 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ON INTERSTATE 695 AND INTERSTATE 83 IN BALTIMORE
- 23 COUNTY.
- 24 2. Fines <del>remitted</del> <u>distributed</u> to the State
- 25 HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION UNDER SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH
- 26 ARE SUPPLEMENTAL TO AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO TAKE THE PLACE OF FUNDING
- 27 THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE APPROPRIATED FOR USES DESCRIBED UNDER
- 28 SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH.
- 3. NOT MORE THAN 49% OF THE FINES DISTRIBUTED TO
- 30 THE STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION UNDER SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS
- 31 SUBPARAGRAPH MAY BE USED TO RECOVER THE COST OF IMPLEMENTING AND
- 32 ADMINISTERING THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS ON INTERSTATE 695 AND
- 33 INTERSTATE 83 IN BALTIMORE COUNTY.
- 34 10–311.

$\frac{1}{2}$	(b) A recorded image of a motor vehicle produced by a speed monitoring system in accordance with § 21–809 [or], § 21–810, OR § 21–811 of the Transportation Article is		
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$	admissible in a proceeding concerning a civil citation issued under that section for a violation of Title 21, Subtitle 8 of the Transportation Article without authentication.		
5	Article - Transportation		
6	21–811.		
7 8	(A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.		
9 10 11	(2) (I) "OWNER" MEANS THE REGISTERED OWNER OF A MOTOR VEHICLE OR A LESSEE OF A MOTOR VEHICLE UNDER A LEASE OF 6 MONTHS OR LONGER.		
12	(II)	"OWNER" DOES NOT INCLUDE:	
13 14	OR	1. A MOTOR VEHICLE RENTAL OR LEASING COMPANY;	
15 16	ISSUED UNDER TITLE 1	2. A HOLDER OF A SPECIAL REGISTRATION PLATE 3, SUBTITLE 9, PART III OF THIS ARTICLE.	
17 18	(3) "REC MONITORING SYSTEM:	ORDED IMAGE" MEANS AN IMAGE RECORDED BY A SPEED	
19	<b>(I)</b>	On:	
20		1. A PHOTOGRAPH;	
21		2. A MICROPHOTOGRAPH;	
22		3. AN ELECTRONIC IMAGE;	
23		4. VIDEOTAPE; OR	
24		5. ANY OTHER MEDIUM; AND	
25	(II)	SHOWING:	
26		1. THE REAR OF A MOTOR VEHICLE;	

- 1 2. AT LEAST TWO TIME-STAMPED IMAGES OF THE
- 2 MOTOR VEHICLE THAT INCLUDE THE SAME STATIONARY OBJECT NEAR THE MOTOR
- 3 VEHICLE; AND
- 4 ON AT LEAST ONE IMAGE OR PORTION OF TAPE, A
- 5 CLEAR AND LEGIBLE IDENTIFICATION OF THE ENTIRE REGISTRATION PLATE
- 6 NUMBER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE.
- 7 (4) "SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM" MEANS A DEVICE HAVING ONE OR
- 8 MORE MOTOR VEHICLE SENSORS CONNECTED TO A CAMERA SYSTEM CAPABLE OF
- 9 PRODUCING RECORDED IMAGES OF MOTOR VEHICLES.
- 10 (5) "SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL
- 11 WHO HAS BEEN TRAINED AND CERTIFIED TO OPERATE A SPEED MONITORING
- 12 SYSTEM AND WHO IS:
- 13 (I) A POLICE OFFICER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
- 14 **POLICE**;
- 15 (II) A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
- 16 POLICE; OR
- 17 (III) A STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION CONTRACTOR.
- 18 (B) (1) (I) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE
- 19 STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION MAY PLACE NOT MORE THAN THREE SPEED
- 20 MONITORING SYSTEMS IN EACH DIRECTION THAT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF
- 21 THIS SECTION TO RECORD THE IMAGES OF MOTOR VEHICLES TRAVELING ON
- 22 Interstate 695 in Baltimore County.
- 23 (II) NOT MORE THAN FOUR TWO SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS
- 24 IN EACH DIRECTION MAY BE OPERATED IN OPERATION AT THE SAME TIME ON A
- 25 HIGHWAY SPECIFIED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH AT LOCATIONS
- 26 IDENTIFIED BY THE STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, THE MARYLAND STATE
- 27 POLICE, OR THE BALTIMORE COUNTY-POLICE DEPARTMENT AS BEING AT HIGH
- 28 RISK FOR MOTOR VEHICLE CRASHES THAT RESULT IN SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR
- 29 **DEATH.**
- 30 (2) (I) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE
- 31 STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION MAY PLACE NOT MORE THAN TWO SPEED
- 32 MONITORING SYSTEMS IN EACH DIRECTION THAT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF
- 33 THIS SECTION TO RECORD THE IMAGES OF MOTOR VEHICLES TRAVELING ON
- 34 Interstate 83 in Baltimore County.

1	(II) NOT MORE THAN THREE ONE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS
2	SYSTEM IN EACH DIRECTION MAY BE OPERATED IN OPERATION AT THE SAME TIME
3	ON A HIGHWAY SPECIFIED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH AT
4	LOCATIONS IDENTIFIED BY THE STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, THE
5	MARYLAND STATE POLICE, OR THE BALTIMORE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT AS
6	BEING AT HIGH RISK FOR MOTOR VEHICLE CRASHES THAT RESULT IN SERIOUS
7	BODILY INJURY OR DEATH.
0	
8	(3) (I) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH
9	(1) OR (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION MAY BE USED ONLY:
10	(I) WHEN BEING OPERATED BY A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM
11	OPERATOR; AND
12	(II) 1. IF ALL SPEED LIMIT SIGNS APPROACHING AND
13	WITHIN THE SEGMENT OF HIGHWAY ON WHICH THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM IS
14	<del>LOCATED INCLUDE SIGNS THAT:</del>
15	A. ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARYLAND MANUAL
16	ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES; AND
17	B. INDICATE THAT A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM IS IN
18	<del>USE; AND</del>
19	2. If the State Highway Administration ensures
20	THAT EACH SIGN THAT INDICATES THAT A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM IS IN USE IS
21	PROXIMATE TO A DEVICE THAT DISPLAYS A REAL-TIME POSTING OF THE SPEED AT
22	WHICH A DRIVER IS TRAVELING. ONLY IF, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARYLAND
23	MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, A CONSPICUOUS ROAD SIGN IS
24	PLACED AT A REASONABLE DISTANCE CONSISTENT WITH NATIONAL GUIDELINES
25	BEFORE THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM ALERTING DRIVERS THAT A SPEED
26	MONITORING SYSTEM MAY BE IN OPERATION.
07	(II) A CREED MONITORING CYCTEM ODERATOR NEED NOT DE
27	(II) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR NEED NOT BE
28	PRESENT IN PERSON OR REMOTELY AT THE HIGHWAY CORRIDOR WHERE A SPEED
29	MONITORING SYSTEM IS IN USE.
30	(4) (I) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATED ON INTERSTATE
31	695 IN BALTIMORE COUNTY MAY BE USED ONLY TO RECORD THE IMAGES OF
32	VEHICLES THAT ARE TRAVELING AT SPEEDS AT LEAST 16 12 MILES PER HOUR

34 (II) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATED ON INTERSTATE 35 83 IN BALTIMORE COUNTY MAY BE USED ONLY TO RECORD THE IMAGES OF

33

ABOVE THE POSTED SPEED LIMIT.

- 1 VEHICLES THAT ARE TRAVELING AT SPEEDS AT LEAST 12 MILES PER HOUR ABOVE
- 2 THE POSTED SPEED LIMIT.
- 3 (5) (I) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR SHALL
- 4 COMPLETE TRAINING BY THE MANUFACTURER OF THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM
- 5 IN THE PROCEDURES FOR SETTING UP, TESTING, AND OPERATING THE SPEED
- 6 MONITORING SYSTEM.
- 7 (II) ON COMPLETION OF THE TRAINING, THE MANUFACTURER
- 8 SHALL ISSUE A SIGNED CERTIFICATE TO THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM
- 9 **OPERATOR.**
- 10 (III) THE CERTIFICATE OF TRAINING SHALL BE ADMITTED AS
- 11 EVIDENCE IN ANY COURT PROCEEDING FOR A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.
- 12 (6) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR SHALL FILL OUT AND
- 13 SIGN A DAILY SET-UP LOG FOR A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM THAT:
- 14 (I) STATES THE DATE AND TIME WHEN AND THE LOCATION
- 15 WHERE THE SYSTEM WAS SET UP:
- 16 (II) STATES THAT THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR
- 17 SUCCESSFULLY PERFORMED, AND THE DEVICE PASSED, THE
- 18 MANUFACTURER-SPECIFIED SELF-TESTS OF THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM
- 19 BEFORE PRODUCING A RECORDED IMAGE;
- 20 (III) SHALL BE KEPT ON FILE; AND
- 21 (IV) SHALL BE ADMITTED AS EVIDENCE IN ANY COURT
- 22 PROCEEDING FOR A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.
- 23 (7) (I) A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM SHALL UNDERGO AN
- 24 ANNUAL CALIBRATION CHECK PERFORMED BY AN INDEPENDENT CALIBRATION
- 25 LABORATORY.
- 26 (II) THE INDEPENDENT CALIBRATION LABORATORY SHALL
- 27 ISSUE A SIGNED CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION AFTER THE ANNUAL CALIBRATION
- 28 CHECK THAT:
- 29 1. SHALL BE KEPT ON FILE; AND
- 30 2. SHALL BE ADMITTED AS EVIDENCE IN ANY COURT
- 31 PROCEEDING FOR A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.

1	(8) THE PROCUREMENT OF A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM UNDER
2	THIS SECTION SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 13, SUBTITLE 1
3	OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE.
4	(C) (1) UNLESS THE DRIVER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE RECEIVED A
5	CITATION FROM A POLICE OFFICER AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION, THE OWNER
6	OR, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (F)(4) OF THIS SECTION, THE DRIVER OF A
7	MOTOR VEHICLE IS SUBJECT TO A CIVIL PENALTY IF AN IMAGE OF THE MOTOR
8	VEHICLE IS RECORDED BY A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH
9	SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION WHILE BEING OPERATED IN VIOLATION OF THIS
10	SUBTITLE.
11	(2) A CIVIL PENALTY UNDER THIS SUBSECTION MAY NOT EXCEED \$40.
10	(a) For him house on mind on one in Prompton Court of the
12	(3) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE DISTRICT COURT SHALL:
13	(I) PRESCRIBE A UNIFORM CITATION FORM CONSISTENT WITH
14	SUBSECTION (D)(1) OF THIS SECTION AND § 7–302 OF THE COURTS ARTICLE; AND
	Sebsterion (b)(1) of find sterion in by 1 302 of find cock is find the best of the cock is find the cock is f
15	(II) INDICATE ON THE CITATION THE AMOUNT OF THE CIVIL
16	PENALTY TO BE PAID BY PERSONS WHO CHOOSE TO PREPAY THE CIVIL PENALTY
17	WITHOUT APPEARING IN DISTRICT COURT.
18	(D) (1) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPHS (2) THROUGH (4)
19	OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE OR A CONTRACTOR OF
20	THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE SHALL MAIL TO THE OWNER LIABLE UNDER
21	SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION A CITATION THAT SHALL INCLUDE:
20	(I) THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE DESIGNATION OF
22	(I) THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE REGISTERED OWNER OF
23	THE VEHICLE;
24	(II) THE REGISTRATION NUMBER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE
25	INVOLVED IN THE VIOLATION;
	INVOLVED IN THE VIOLETTION,
26	(III) THE VIOLATION CHARGED;
27	(IV) THE LOCATION WHERE THE VIOLATION OCCURRED;
28	(V) THE DATE AND TIME OF THE VIOLATION;

29 (VI) AT LEAST ONE RECORDED IMAGE OF THE VEHICLE WITH A
30 DATA BAR IMPRINTED ON EACH IMAGE THAT INCLUDES THE SPEED OF THE VEHICLE
31 AND THE DATE AND TIME THE IMAGE WAS RECORDED;

- 1 (VII) THE AMOUNT OF THE CIVIL PENALTY IMPOSED AND THE 2 DATE BY WHICH THE CIVIL PENALTY SHOULD BE PAID;
- 3 (VIII) A SIGNED STATEMENT BY A POLICE OFFICER EMPLOYED BY
- 4 THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE OR A CONTRACTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 5 STATE POLICE THAT, BASED ON INSPECTION OF RECORDED IMAGES, THE MOTOR
- 6 VEHICLE WAS BEING OPERATED IN VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE;
- 7 (IX) A STATEMENT THAT RECORDED IMAGES ARE EVIDENCE OF
- 8 A VIOLATION OF THIS SUBTITLE;
- 9 (X) INFORMATION ADVISING THE PERSON ALLEGED TO BE
- 10 LIABLE UNDER THIS SECTION OF THE MANNER AND TIME IN WHICH LIABILITY AS
- 11 ALLEGED IN THE CITATION MAY BE CONTESTED IN THE DISTRICT COURT; AND
- 12 (XI) INFORMATION ADVISING THE PERSON ALLEGED TO BE
- 13 LIABLE UNDER THIS SECTION THAT FAILURE TO PAY THE CIVIL PENALTY OR TO
- 14 CONTEST LIABILITY IN A TIMELY MANNER:
- 1. IS AN ADMISSION OF LIABILITY;
- 16 2. MAY RESULT IN THE REFUSAL TO REGISTER THE
- 17 MOTOR VEHICLE; AND
- 3. MAY RESULT IN THE SUSPENSION OF THE MOTOR
- 19 VEHICLE REGISTRATION.
- 20 (2) THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE SHALL MAIL A WARNING
- 21 NOTICE INSTEAD OF A CITATION TO THE OWNER LIABLE UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF
- 22 THIS SECTION DURING THE FIRST 90 DAYS THAT THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM
- 23 IS IN OPERATION.
- 24 (3) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (F)(4) OF THIS SECTION,
- 25 THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE MAY NOT MAIL A CITATION TO A PERSON WHO
- 26 IS NOT AN OWNER.
- 27 (4) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (F)(4) OF THIS SECTION, A
- 28 CITATION ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE MAILED NOT LATER THAN 2
- 29 WEEKS AFTER THE ALLEGED VIOLATION IF THE VEHICLE IS REGISTERED IN THE
- 30 STATE, AND NOT LATER THAN 30 DAYS AFTER THE ALLEGED VIOLATION IF THE
- 31 VEHICLE IS REGISTERED IN ANOTHER STATE.
- 32 (5) A PERSON WHO RECEIVES A CITATION UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF
- 33 THIS SUBSECTION MAY:

- 1 (I) PAY THE CIVIL PENALTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH
- 2 INSTRUCTIONS ON THE CITATION; OR
- 3 (II) ELECT TO STAND TRIAL IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 4 ALLEGED VIOLATION.
- 5 (E) (1) A CERTIFICATE ALLEGING THAT THE VIOLATION OF THIS
- 6 SUBTITLE OCCURRED AND THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS
- 7 SECTION HAVE BEEN SATISFIED, SWORN TO, OR AFFIRMED BY AN OFFICER OF THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE, BASED ON INSPECTION OF RECORDED IMAGES
- 9 PRODUCED BY A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM, SHALL BE EVIDENCE OF THE FACTS
- 10 CONTAINED IN THE CERTIFICATE AND SHALL BE ADMISSIBLE IN A PROCEEDING
- 11 ALLEGING A VIOLATION UNDER THIS SECTION WITHOUT THE PRESENCE OR
- 12 TESTIMONY OF THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR WHO PERFORMED THE
- 13 REQUIREMENTS UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION.
- 14 (2) If A PERSON WHO RECEIVED A CITATION UNDER SUBSECTION (D)
- 15 OF THIS SECTION DESIRES A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATOR TO BE
- 16 PRESENT AND TESTIFY AT TRIAL, THE PERSON SHALL NOTIFY THE COURT AND THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE IN WRITING NOT LATER THAN 20 DAYS BEFORE
- 18 TRIAL.
- 19 (3) ADJUDICATION OF LIABILITY SHALL BE BASED ON A
- 20 PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE.
- 21 (F) (1) THE DISTRICT COURT MAY CONSIDER IN DEFENSE OF A
- 22 **VIOLATION:**
- 23 (I) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THAT
- 24 THE MOTOR VEHICLE OR THE REGISTRATION PLATES OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE WERE
- 25 STOLEN BEFORE THE VIOLATION OCCURRED AND WERE NOT UNDER THE CONTROL
- 26 OR POSSESSION OF THE VEHICLE OWNER AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION;
- 27 (II) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION,
- 28 EVIDENCE THAT THE PERSON NAMED IN THE CITATION WAS NOT OPERATING THE
- 29 VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION; AND
- 30 (III) ANY OTHER ISSUES AND EVIDENCE THAT THE DISTRICT
- 31 COURT DEEMS PERTINENT.
- 32 (2) TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE MOTOR VEHICLE OR THE
- 33 REGISTRATION PLATES WERE STOLEN BEFORE THE VIOLATION OCCURRED AND
- 34 WERE NOT UNDER THE CONTROL OR POSSESSION OF THE OWNER AT THE TIME OF

- 1 THE VIOLATION, THE OWNER SHALL SUBMIT PROOF THAT A POLICE REPORT
- 2 REGARDING THE STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLE OR REGISTRATION PLATES WAS FILED IN
- 3 A TIMELY MANNER.
- 4 (3) TO SATISFY THE EVIDENTIARY BURDEN UNDER PARAGRAPH
- 5 (1)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE PERSON NAMED IN THE CITATION SHALL PROVIDE
- 6 TO THE DISTRICT COURT A LETTER, SWORN TO OR AFFIRMED BY THE PERSON AND
- 7 MAILED BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED, THAT:
- 8 (I) STATES THAT THE PERSON NAMED IN THE CITATION WAS
- 9 NOT OPERATING THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION; AND
- 10 (II) INCLUDES ANY OTHER CORROBORATING EVIDENCE.
- 11 (4) (I) IF THE DISTRICT COURT FINDS THAT THE PERSON NAMED
- 12 IN THE CITATION WAS NOT OPERATING THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE
- 13 VIOLATION OR RECEIVES EVIDENCE UNDER PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION
- 14 IDENTIFYING THE PERSON DRIVING THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION,
- 15 THE CLERK OF THE COURT MAY PROVIDE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE
- 16 A COPY OF ANY EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIATING WHO WAS OPERATING THE VEHICLE AT
- 17 THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION.
- 18 (II) ON RECEIPT OF SUBSTANTIATING EVIDENCE FROM THE
- 19 DISTRICT COURT UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE
- 20 DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE MAY ISSUE A CITATION AS PROVIDED IN
- 21 SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION TO THE PERSON WHO THE EVIDENCE INDICATES
- 22 WAS OPERATING THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION.
- 23 (III) ANY CITATION ISSUED UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS
- 24 PARAGRAPH SHALL BE MAILED NOT LATER THAN 2 WEEKS AFTER RECEIPT OF THE
- 25 EVIDENCE FROM THE DISTRICT COURT.
- 26 (G) IF A PERSON LIABLE UNDER THIS SECTION DOES NOT PAY THE CIVIL
- 27 PENALTY OR CONTEST THE VIOLATION, THE ADMINISTRATION MAY:
- 28 (1) REFUSE TO REGISTER OR REREGISTER THE REGISTRATION OF
- 29 THE MOTOR VEHICLE CITED FOR THE VIOLATION; OR
- 30 (2) SUSPEND THE REGISTRATION OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE CITED FOR
- 31 THE VIOLATION.
- 32 (H) A VIOLATION FOR WHICH A CIVIL PENALTY IS IMPOSED UNDER THIS
- 33 **SECTION:**

- 1 (1) Is not a moving violation for the purpose of assessing 2 points under § 16–402 of this article;
- 3 (2) MAY NOT BE RECORDED BY THE ADMINISTRATION ON THE 4 DRIVING RECORD OF THE OWNER OR DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE;
- 5 (3) MAY BE TREATED AS A PARKING VIOLATION FOR PURPOSES OF § 6 26–305 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND
- 7 (4) MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED IN THE PROVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLE 8 INSURANCE COVERAGE.
- 9 (I) IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE, THE
  10 CHIEF JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT SHALL ADOPT PROCEDURES FOR THE
  11 ISSUANCE OF CITATIONS, THE TRIAL OF CIVIL VIOLATIONS, AND THE COLLECTION
  12 OF CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 13 **(J) (1)** THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE OR A CONTRACTOR DESIGNATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE SHALL ADMINISTER AND PROCESS CIVIL CITATIONS ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION IN COORDINATION WITH THE DISTRICT COURT.
- 17 (2) If A CONTRACTOR PROVIDES, DEPLOYS, OR OPERATES A SPEED
  18 MONITORING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE OR THE STATE
  19 HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, THE CONTRACTOR'S FEE MAY NOT BE CONTINGENT ON
  20 THE NUMBER OF CITATIONS ISSUED OR PAID.
- 21 **(K)** THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE AND THE STATE HIGHWAY 22 ADMINISTRATION JOINTLY SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS ESTABLISHING 23 STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES FOR SPEED MONITORING SYSTEMS AUTHORIZED 24 UNDER THIS SECTION.

## SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:

25

- 26 (a) On or before June 1, 2030, the State Highway Administration shall submit a
  27 report to the Governor and, in accordance with § 2–1257 of the State Government Article,
  28 the General Assembly, on the speed monitoring systems placed on Interstate 695 and
  29 Interstate 83 in Baltimore County in accordance with § 21–811 of the Transportation
  30 Article as enacted by Section 1 of this Act.
- 31 (b) The report required under subsection (a) of this section shall include:
- 32 (1) any changes since the implementation of speed monitoring systems 33 under Section 1 of this Act in:

$\frac{1}{2}$	<del></del>	fatality rates on Interstate 695 and Interstate 83 in
3 4	<del></del>	of repeat violations recorded by speed monitoring
5 6 7	6 with posted speed limits at areas on Ir	nprovements in driver awareness and compliance sterstate 695 and Interstate 83 in Baltimore County perated;
8 9		consequences including traffic congestion or an s;
10 11	<del></del>	collected as a result of violations enforced by the
12	12 (5) the percentage of t	ne gross revenue collected that has been used:
13 14	<del></del>	e cost of implementing and administering the speed
15 16		afety purposes, including pedestrian or highway
17 18 19	18 monitoring systems has been sufficien	ellected as a result of violations enforced by the speed to cover the costs specified under paragraph (5)(i)
20 21		en used for public safety purposes on Interstate 695
22 23		collected has been supplemental to, and has not nds allocated for transportation;
24	24 (9) the percentage of is	ssued citations that have been contested;
25	25 (10) the percentage of c	ontested citations that were overturned or reduced;
26 27 28 29	required under § 21–811(d)(4) of the Table Act, and any required maintenance	ave consistently been issued within the time frames cansportation Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this calibration, and certification checks have been ed;
30 31		s been any pattern of citation errors or disputes

1 2 3	(13) whether speed monitoring systems have been consistently maintained and calibrated in accordance with § 21–811(b)(7) of the Transportation Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act;
4 5 6	(14) whether the locations that have been identified as being at high risk for motor vehicle crashes that result in serious bodily injury or death have changed since the implementation of the speed monitoring systems;
7 8	(15) whether speed monitoring systems have been relocated as a result of any changes under item (14) of this subsection, or any changes in traffic patterns;
9 10 11	(16) whether the speed limit thresholds under § 21–811(b)(4) of the Transportation Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act have effectively influenced driver behavior;
12 13	(17) any issues with speed monitoring system visibility, signage, or technical malfunctions;
14 15	(18) whether there have been any formal complaints or legal challenges to the implementation of speed monitoring systems under Section 1 of this Act;
16 17	(19) any privacy or data security concerns that have arisen from the handling of recorded images or citation data;
18	(20) any significant delays in processing citations or appeals;
19 20 21	(21) whether any public safety improvements intended to be funded as a result of violations enforced by the speed monitoring systems have been completed on schedule and within budget; and
22 23 24	(22) any disparities in the number of citations issued as a result of violations enforced by the speed monitoring systems on different communities or demographic groups in the State.
25 26 27 28	SECTION 2. 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June 1, 2025. It shall remain effective for a period of 5 years and 1 month and, at the end of June 30, 2030, this Act, with no further action required by the General Assembly, shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.