SENATE BILL 655

D1

5lr2146 CF 5lr3304

By: **Senator Hester** Introduced and read first time: January 25, 2025 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Courts – Artificial Intelligence Evidence Clinic Pilot Program – Establishment

FOR the purpose of establishing an Artificial Intelligence Evidence Clinic Pilot Program 3 within the Administrative Office of the Courts; requiring the Administrative Office 4 $\mathbf{5}$ of the Courts to issue a request for proposals to select an entity to conduct the 6 Program and requiring the Administrative Office of the Courts to give preference to 7 certain entities in the selection process; authorizing the Governor to include in the 8 annual budget bill an appropriation to the Program and establishing a certain 9 limitation on the expenditure of appropriated funds; and generally relating to the Artificial Intelligence Evidence Clinic Pilot Program. 10

11 BY adding to

- 12 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 13 Section 13–101.2
- 14 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 15 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 18

Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings

19 **13–101.2.**

20 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 21 INDICATED.

22 (2) "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 23 3.5–801 OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



SENATE BILL 655

 $\mathbf{2}$

1

(3) "OFFICE" MEANS THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS.

2 (4) "PROGRAM" MEANS THE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE EVIDENCE 3 CLINIC PILOT PROGRAM.

4 (B) THERE IS AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE EVIDENCE CLINIC PILOT 5 PROGRAM IN THE OFFICE.

6 (C) (1) THE PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM IS TO PROVIDE EXPERTISE IN 7 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO THE CIRCUIT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT COURT IN 8 THE FORM OF EXPERT TESTIMONY ON THE AUTHENTICITY OF ELECTRONIC 9 EVIDENCE THAT A COURT DETERMINES MAY HAVE BEEN CREATED OR ALTERED 10 USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.

11 (2) THE PROGRAM SHALL ENGAGE COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY 12 STUDENTS, RECENT GRADUATES, AND FACULTY AND TECHNOLOGY PROFESSIONALS 13 DEDICATED TO THE RESEARCH AND ADVANCEMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE 14 IN ORDER TO DEVELOP EXPERT WITNESS RESOURCES FOR COURTS TO USE IN CASES 15 IMPLICATING THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.

16 (3) THE PROGRAM SHALL PRIORITIZE CIVIL CASES IN WHICH ONE OR
 17 MORE PARTIES DO NOT HAVE LEGAL REPRESENTATION OR REASONABLE ACCESS TO
 18 EXPERT TESTIMONY.

19 (D) (1) THE OFFICE SHALL ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR THE 20 PROGRAM TO SELECT AN ENTITY TO MANAGE THE PROGRAM.

21 (2) AT A MINIMUM, THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL:

22 (I) STATE WITH SPECIFICITY THE GOALS OF THE PROGRAM; 23 AND

24(II) STATE WITH SPECIFICITY THE OBJECTIVES AND25PERFORMANCE CRITERIA THAT WILL BE USED TO MEASURE THE SUCCESS OF THE26PROGRAM, INCLUDING AN ENTITY'S WILLINGNESS TO:

271.LIST THE CLINIC AS A FOR-CREDIT COURSE; AND

28 **2. ENABLE CREDIT SHARING ACROSS INSTITUTIONS OF** 29 HIGHER EDUCATION.

30 (3) IN SELECTING AN ENTITY TO MANAGE THE PROGRAM, THE 31 OFFICE SHALL GIVE PREFERENCE TO AN ENTITY THAT: **SENATE BILL 655**

(I) 1 IS A MARYLAND-BASED VENDOR: $\mathbf{2}$ **(II)** HAS AN ACADEMIC FOCUS ON COMPUTER SCIENCE AND **TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND ADVANCEMENT;** 3 (III) HAS 4 EXPERIENCE RELATING TO ARTIFICIAL $\mathbf{5}$ **INTELLIGENCE; AND** 6 (IV) WILL MAXIMIZE THE USE OF STATE FUNDS THROUGH THE 7 MECHANISMS, INCLUDING PREEXISTING MATERIALS, USE OF FUNDING PARTNERSHIPS, AND RESOURCE MATCHING. 8 FOR FISCAL YEARS 2027 AND 2028, THE GOVERNOR MAY 9 **(E)** (1) 10 INCLUDE IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET BILL AN APPROPRIATION OF \$250,000 TO THE 11 **PROGRAM.** NOT MORE THAN 20% OF THE MONEY APPROPRIATED TO THE 12(2) **PROGRAM MAY BE USED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.** 13 14**(F)** THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE OFFICE AND AN ENTITY 15SELECTED TO MANAGE THE PROGRAM SHALL INCLUDE A CLAUSE THAT REQUIRES THE OFFICE TO HOLD HARMLESS THE ENTITY SELECTED TO CONDUCT THE 16 PROGRAM AGAINST ANY CLAIM ALLEGING LIABILITY OR DAMAGES RELATING TO 17THE PROVISION OF EXPERTISE IN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS PART OF THE 18 PROGRAM, EXCEPT IN CASES OF WILLFUL OR WANTON MISCONDUCT, GROSS 19 20NEGLIGENCE, OR INTENTIONALLY TORTIOUS CONDUCT.

21 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 22 1, 2025.

3