## **SENATE BILL 1007**

E2

5lr3501 CF HB 314

#### By: **Senator Smith** Introduced and read first time: February 1, 2025 Assigned to: Rules

#### A BILL ENTITLED

#### 1 AN ACT concerning

# Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance – Intercepted Communications – Admissibility of Evidence

- FOR the purpose of providing that the contents of a certain intercepted communication and
  evidence derived from the communication may be received in evidence in a certain
  proceeding under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the admissibility
- 7 of intercepted communications.
- 8 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 9 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 10 Section 10–405
- 11 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 12 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement)
- 13 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
  14 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 15

### **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings**

16 10-405.

17 (a) Except as provided in [subsection] SUBSECTIONS (b) AND (C) of this section, 18 whenever any wire, oral, or electronic communication has been intercepted, no part of the 19 contents of the communication and no evidence derived therefrom may be received in 20 evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, 21 department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of 22 this State, or a political subdivision thereof if the disclosure of that information would be 23 in violation of this subtitle.



#### SENATE BILL 1007

1 (b) If any wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted in any state or  $\mathbf{2}$ any political subdivision of a state, the United States or any territory, protectorate, or 3 possession of the United States, including the District of Columbia in accordance with the 4 law of that jurisdiction, but that would be in violation of this subtitle if the interception was made in this State, the contents of the communication and evidence derived from the  $\mathbf{5}$ communication may be received in evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or 6 before any court, grand jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative 7committee, or other authority of this State, or any political subdivision of this State if: 8

9 (1) At least one of the parties to the communication was outside the State 10 during the communication;

11 (2) The interception was not made as part of or in furtherance of an 12 investigation conducted by or on behalf of law enforcement officials of this State; and

13 (3) All parties to the communication were co-conspirators in a crime of 14 violence as defined in § 14–101 of the Criminal Law Article.

SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, IF ANY WIRE, 15**(C)** (1) 16 ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION HAS BEEN INTERCEPTED, THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION 17MAY BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE IN ANY TRIAL, HEARING, OR OTHER PROCEEDING IN 18 19OR BEFORE ANY COURT, GRAND JURY, DEPARTMENT, OFFICER, AGENCY, REGULATORY BODY, LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE, OR OTHER AUTHORITY OF THIS 20STATE, OR ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THIS STATE IF A COURT DETERMINES 2122THAT:

(I) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE
 DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE OFFERED AS EVIDENCE OF A MATERIAL
 FACT;

26(II) THE INTERCEPTION WAS NOT MADE AS PART OF OR IN27FURTHERANCE OF AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY OR ON BEHALF OF LAW28ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OF THIS STATE;

(III) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE
 DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE MORE PROBATIVE ON THE POINT FOR
 WHICH THEY ARE OFFERED THAN ANY OTHER EVIDENCE THAT THE PROPONENT CAN
 PROCURE THROUGH REASONABLE EFFORTS; AND

33(IV) THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE WILL BE BEST SERVED BY THE34ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND35EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION.

1 (2) THE CONTENTS OF A COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED 2 FROM THE COMMUNICATION MAY NOT BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE UNDER 3 PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNLESS THE PROPONENT PROVIDES THE 4 ADVERSE PARTY, SUFFICIENTLY IN ADVANCE OF THE TRIAL OR HEARING TO ALLOW 5 THE ADVERSE PARTY A FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE, WITH:

6 (I) NOTICE OF THE INTENTION TO OFFER THE CONTENTS OF 7 THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION; AND

8 (II) THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY WHOSE 9 COMMUNICATION WAS INTERCEPTED.

10 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 11 October 1, 2025.