E2 5lr3501 CF HB 314

## By: Senator Smith Senators Smith, Love, James, West, Folden, McKay, Charles, and Sydnor Introduced and read first time: February 1, 2025 Assigned to: Rules Re-referred to: Judicial Proceedings, March 11, 2025 Committee Report: Favorable with amendments Senate action: Adopted Read second time: March 18, 2025 CHAPTER AN ACT concerning Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance – Intercepted Communications – Admissibility of Evidence FOR the purpose of providing that the contents of a certain intercepted communication and evidence derived from the communication may be received in evidence in a certain criminal proceeding under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the admissibility of intercepted communications. BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings Section 10-405 Annotated Code of Maryland (2020 Replacement Volume and 2024 Supplement) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings** 10-405.

## EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

whenever any wire, oral, or electronic communication has been intercepted, no part of the

Except as provided in [subsection] SUBSECTIONS (b) AND (C) of this section,



6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

contents of the communication and no evidence derived therefrom may be received in evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of this State, or a political subdivision thereof if the disclosure of that information would be in violation of this subtitle.

- (b) If any wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted in any state or any political subdivision of a state, the United States or any territory, protectorate, or possession of the United States, including the District of Columbia in accordance with the law of that jurisdiction, but that would be in violation of this subtitle if the interception was made in this State, the contents of the communication and evidence derived from the communication may be received in evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of this State, or any political subdivision of this State if:
- 14 (1) At least one of the parties to the communication was outside the State 15 during the communication;
- 16 (2) The interception was not made as part of or in furtherance of an investigation conducted by or on behalf of law enforcement officials of this State; and
- 18 (3) All parties to the communication were co-conspirators in a crime of violence as defined in § 14–101 of the Criminal Law Article.
- 20 (C) **(1)** SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, IF ANY WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION HAS BEEN INTERCEPTED, THE CONTENTS 21 22OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION 23MAY BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE IN ANY TRIAL, HEARING, OR OTHER PROCEEDING IN 24OR BEFORE ANY COURT, GRAND JURY, DEPARTMENT, OFFICER, AGENCY, 25REGULATORY BODY, LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE, OR OTHER AUTHORITY OF THIS 26 STATE, OR ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THIS STATE IF A A CRIMINAL TRIAL OR 27 HEARING BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT OR CIRCUIT COURT IF THE COURT 28**DETERMINES THAT:**
- 29 (I) THE CASE IN WHICH THE COMMUNICATION IS OFFERED 30 INVOLVES A CRIME COMMITTED AGAINST AT LEAST ONE INDIVIDUAL:
- 31 (II) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE 32 DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE OFFERED AS EVIDENCE OF A MATERIAL 33 FACT;
- 34 (H) (III) THE INTERCEPTION WAS NOT MADE AS PART OF OR IN 35 FURTHERANCE OF AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY OR ON BEHALF OF LAW 36 ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OF THIS STATE;

1	(III) (IV) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND
2	EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE MORE PROBATIVE ON THE
3	POINT FOR WHICH THEY ARE OFFERED THAN ANY OTHER EVIDENCE THAT THE
4	PROPONENT CAN PROCURE THROUGH REASONABLE EFFORTS; AND
5	(IV) (V) THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE WILL BE BEST SERVED BY
6	THE ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND
7	EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION.
8	(2) THE CONTENTS OF A COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED
9	FROM THE COMMUNICATION MAY NOT BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE UNDER
10	PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNLESS THE PROPONENT PROVIDES THE
11	ADVERSE PARTY, SUFFICIENTLY IN ADVANCE OF THE TRIAL OR HEARING TO ALLOW
12	THE ADVERSE PARTY A FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE, BUT NOT LATER THAN 14
13	CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL OR HEARING, WITH:
14 15	(I) NOTICE OF THE INTENTION TO OFFER THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION; AND
16	(II) THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY WHOSE
17	COMMUNICATION WAS INTERCEPTED.
18 19	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2025.
	Approved:
	Governor.
	President of the Senate.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.