

HOUSE BILL 756

E2

6lr0747

By: **Delegate Conaway**

Introduced and read first time: February 4, 2026

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Law Enforcement – Use of Facial Recognition Technology – Images Captured in**
3 **Dwelling Interior**

4 FOR the purpose of authorizing the use of facial recognition technology by law enforcement
5 to investigate the commission or attempted commission of certain crimes if the image
6 used by facial recognition technology was captured in the interior of a dwelling; and
7 generally relating to the use of facial recognition technology by law enforcement.

8 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
9 Article – Criminal Procedure
10 Section 2–501
11 Annotated Code of Maryland
12 (2025 Replacement Volume)

13 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
14 Article – Criminal Procedure
15 Section 2–503
16 Annotated Code of Maryland
17 (2025 Replacement Volume)

18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
19 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

20 **Article – Criminal Procedure**

21 2–501.

22 (a) In this subtitle the following words have the meanings indicated.

23 (b) (1) “Facial recognition technology” means a computer program, a service,
24 or any other technology that analyzes facial features and is used by or at the direction of a

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



law enforcement agency for the identification, verification, or persistent tracking of individuals in still or video images for use in criminal investigations.

(2) “Facial recognition technology” does not include technology:

(i) used only for the analysis of facial features to grant or deny access to an electronic device; or

(ii) that uses an automated or semiautomated process only for the purpose of redacting a recording or an image for release or disclosure outside a law enforcement agency to protect the privacy of a subject depicted in the recording or image if the process does not generate or result in the retention of any biometric data or surveillance information.

(c) “Law enforcement agency” has the meaning stated in § 2–101 of the Public Safety Article.

2–503.

(a) (1) **[A] EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION,** A police officer or other employee or agent of a law enforcement agency may not, in the furtherance of a criminal investigation:

(i) use facial recognition technology to investigate a crime other than the commission of or the attempt to commit:

1. a crime of violence as defined in § 14–101 of the Criminal Law Article;

2. a human trafficking offense under Title 3, Subtitle 11 of the Criminal Law Article;

3. first– or second–degree child abuse under § 3–601 of the Criminal Law Article;

4. a child pornography offense under § 11–207 of the Criminal Law Article;

5. a hate crime under § 10–304 of the Criminal Law Article;

6. a weapon crime under § 4–102, § 4–103, § 4–203(a)(1)(iii) or (iv), § 4–204, or § 4–303(a)(2) of the Criminal Law Article;

7. a weapon crime under § 5–138, § 5–140, § 5–141, § 5–207(c)(16), § 5–406(a)(3), or § 5–703(a) of the Public Safety Article;

1 8. aggravated cruelty to animals under § 10–606 or § 10–607
2 of the Criminal Law Article;

3 9. importation of fentanyl or a fentanyl analogue under §
4 5–614(a)(1)(xii) of the Criminal Law Article;

5 10. stalking under § 3–802 of the Criminal Law Article;

6 11. a criminal act involving circumstances presenting a
7 substantial and ongoing threat to public safety or national security; or

8 12. a crime under the laws of another state substantially
9 equivalent to a crime listed in items 1 through 10 of this item involving a fugitive from
10 justice charged with a crime in that state and sought under Title 9 of this article;

11 (ii) analyze an image or a recording of an individual:

12 1. engaged in activity protected under the United States
13 Constitution, the Maryland Constitution, or the Maryland Declaration of Rights, unless
14 there is reasonable suspicion to believe that the individual has committed, is in the process
15 of committing, or is about to commit a crime; or

16 2. who is not intended to be identified;

17 (iii) use facial recognition technology to analyze a sketch or manually
18 produced image;

19 (iv) disclose to a witness in the criminal investigation, prior to the
20 witness participating in a live identification or photo array identification, that a particular
21 suspect or image of a suspect was identified using facial recognition technology; or

22 (v) use facial recognition technology for the purpose of live or
23 real-time identification of an image or a recording.

24 **(2) (I) IN THIS PARAGRAPH, “DWELLING” MEANS A STRUCTURE**
25 **ANY PART OF WHICH HAS BEEN ADAPTED FOR OVERNIGHT ACCOMMODATION OF AN**
26 **INDIVIDUAL, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER AN INDIVIDUAL IS ACTUALLY PRESENT.**

27 **(II) IF A STILL OR VIDEO IMAGE WAS CAPTURED BY A CAMERA**
28 **INSIDE THE INTERIOR OF A DWELLING, A POLICE OFFICER OR OTHER EMPLOYEE OR**
29 **AGENT OF A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY USE FACIAL RECOGNITION**
30 **TECHNOLOGY TO INVESTIGATE THE COMMISSION OF OR THE ATTEMPT TO COMMIT:**

31 1. **ARSON IN THE FIRST DEGREE UNDER § 6–102 OF THE**
32 **CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;**

1 **2. ARSON IN THE SECOND DEGREE UNDER § 6–103 OF**
2 **THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;**

3 **3. BURGLARY IN THE FIRST DEGREE UNDER § 6–202 OF**
4 **THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;**

5 **4. BURGLARY IN THE THIRD DEGREE UNDER § 6–204 OF**
6 **THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;**

7 **5. BURGLARY IN THE FOURTH DEGREE UNDER § 6–205**
8 **OF THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;**

9 **6. TRESPASS ON POSTED PROPERTY UNDER § 6–402 OF**
10 **THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE; OR**

11 **7. WANTON TRESPASS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY UNDER §**
12 **6–403 OF THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE.**

13 **[(2)] (3)** (i) Except as provided in subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph,
14 an image being evaluated using facial recognition technology may be compared only to
15 images contained in:

16 1. the database of images of driver's license and
17 identification card photos maintained by the Motor Vehicle Administration or the
18 department of motor vehicles of another state; or

19 2. a database of mugshot photos maintained by a local, State,
20 or federal law enforcement agency or a law enforcement agency of another country.

21 (ii) An image being evaluated using facial recognition technology
22 may be compared to an image contained in a database other than a database described in
23 subparagraph (i) of this paragraph if:

24 1. the law enforcement agency conducting the investigation
25 has entered into an agreement with the entity that maintains the database governing the
26 methods by which images in the database are collected; and

27 2. the agreement provides that the agreement will be
28 terminated in the event that the entity maintaining the database commits a material
29 breach of the provisions governing the methods by which images in the database are
30 collected.

31 **[(3)] (4)** Before being used for any purpose in relation to a criminal
32 investigation, a result generated by facial recognition technology shall be independently

1 verified by an individual who has completed training in accordance with § 2–505 of this
2 subtitle.

3 (b) A police officer or other employee or agent of a law enforcement agency may
4 not use facial recognition technology to identify an individual solely based on:

5 (1) the police officer's, employee's, or agent's personal interest not related
6 to legitimate duties or objectives of the law enforcement agency;

7 (2) the individual's political or social beliefs or activities;

8 (3) the individual's participation in lawful activities; or

9 (4) the individual's race, color, religious beliefs, sexual orientation, gender,
10 disability, national origin, or status as being homeless.

11 (c) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection and subject to
12 paragraph (3) of this subsection, a result generated by facial recognition technology in
13 violation of this section and all other evidence subsequently derived from the result may
14 not be introduced by the State for any purpose in a criminal court proceeding or in a juvenile
15 court proceeding under Title 3, Subtitle 8A of the Courts Article.

16 (2) Evidence derived from a result generated in violation of subsection
17 (a)(1) **OR (2)** of this section may be introduced for a purpose described in § 2–502 of this
18 subtitle if the court finds that the evidence would otherwise be subject to a legally valid
19 exception to the exclusionary rule.

20 (3) This subsection may not be construed to allow the use of a result
21 generated using facial recognition technology to be introduced as evidence in a criminal
22 trial or in an adjudicatory hearing held under § 3–8A–18 of the Courts Article.

23 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
24 October 1, 2026.