

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2026 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE
First Reader

Senate Bill 152
(Senator Muse)
Judicial Proceedings

**Prince George's County - Point-to-Point Speed Monitoring Systems - Maryland
Route 210**

This bill establishes a regulatory framework to allow the use of point-to-point speed monitoring systems in Prince George's County. By January 31, 2028, and by each January 31 thereafter, Prince George's County must report specified information about the point-to-point speed monitoring program to the General Assembly.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Transportation Trust Fund (TTF) revenues and expenditures increase beginning as early as FY 2027 to the extent that Prince George's County establishes point-to-point speed monitoring systems on Maryland Route 210. General fund revenues increase beginning as early as FY 2027 to the extent more violations are contested under the bill, as discussed below. General fund expenditures are not anticipated to be materially affected, as discussed below.

Local Effect: Prince George's County revenues and expenditures increase beginning as early as FY 2027 to the extent that the county installs point-to-point speed monitoring systems, as discussed below.

Small Business Effect: Potential minimal.

Analysis

Bill Summary:

Point-to-Point Monitoring Systems

The bill authorizes the use of point-to-point speed monitoring systems in Prince George's County. "Point-to-point speed monitoring system" means a device that

uses at least two motor vehicle sensors to calculate the average speed of a motor vehicle between two geographically fixed points.

A point-to-point speed monitoring system may be placed and used only at a location that is identified by safety studies as high risk for motor vehicle crashes or as having a high prevalence for speeding. A point-to-point speed monitoring system may be used only to identify speed violations by motor vehicles traveling at an average speed of at least 12 miles per hour above the posted speed limit in a monitored segment of highway, generate citations, and respond to appeals. A point-to-point speed monitoring system may not be used for general surveillance, immigration enforcement, or criminal investigations or law enforcement purposes unrelated to speeding violations.

Prince George's County may place and use point-to-point speed monitoring systems on Maryland Route 210 only if:

- each monitored segment of highway is at least one mile and not more than ten miles in length;
- specified signage indicating a point-to-point speed monitoring system is in use is installed;
- each monitored segment of highway includes at least one device that displays a real-time posting of the speed at which a driver is traveling; and
- the county and the State Highway Administration (SHA) publish notice of the location of the system and the monitored segment of highway on their websites at least 30 days before activating the system.

Existing provisions of law governing other speed monitoring systems used in the State, including provisions that enumerate the escalating penalty structure for a violation recorded by a speed monitoring system, also apply for point-to-point speed monitoring systems.

Citation Revenues

Similar to other local government speed camera monitoring system programs in the State, Prince George's County may use citation revenues to recover the costs of implementing and administering the point-to-point speed monitoring system program and may spend any remaining balance solely for public safety purposes, including pedestrian or highway safety programs. For any fiscal year in which the balance remaining after the costs of implementing and administering the systems is greater than 10% of the total revenues of the county for the fiscal year, the county must remit any funds that exceed 10% of the total revenues to the Comptroller.

However, for any point-to-point speed monitoring system implemented on Maryland Route 210, Prince George's County must remit the citation revenues (after cost recovery) to the Comptroller for distribution to SHA. SHA must solely use the revenues to assist in covering the costs of (1) examining the engineering, infrastructure, and other relevant factors that may contribute to safety issues on Maryland Route 210; (2) reporting its findings and recommendations on any solutions to these safety issues; and (3) implementing any solutions to these safety issues.

Reporting

By January 31, 2028, and each January 31 thereafter, Prince George's County must report to the General Assembly on the point-to-point speed monitoring systems operated under the bill, including:

- the total number of citations issued for each monitored segment of highway;
- the gross revenue generated;
- the expenditures incurred;
- the net revenue generated;
- the total amount of any payments made to a contractor under the program;
- a description of how the net revenue generated from the point-to-point speed monitoring systems was used, including what percentage of net revenue was dedicated to roadway safety improvements on or near Maryland Route 210;
- changes to the average speed on each monitored segment of highway; and
- for each monitored segment of highway, changes in the number of motor vehicle crashes and motor vehicle crashes that result in serious bodily injury or death.

Current Law: State law authorizes the use of various automated monitoring systems, including traffic control system monitoring systems, speed monitoring systems, school bus monitoring systems, vehicle height monitoring systems, and work zone speed control systems. Generally, pursuant to § 21-809(d)(5) of the Transportation Article, a person who receives a citation, may:

- pay the civil penalty, in accordance with the instructions on the citation; or
- elect to stand trial in the District Court for the alleged violation.

With specified exceptions, penalty revenues from automated enforcement systems, including speed monitoring systems, must be paid (1) to the administering State agency or local jurisdiction, in an uncontested case and (2) directly to the District Court (and consequently the general fund) in a case that is contested in District Court.

For additional information, please the **Appendix – Speed Monitoring Systems.**

State Fiscal Effect:

District Court

To the extent the District Court collects fines for citations issued under the bill, general fund revenues increase beginning as early as fiscal 2027. Any such impact depends on the extent to which Prince George's County elects to deploy the systems authorized under the bill, the amount of the fine established/collected for a violation, and whether citations are contested (and, therefore, paid into the general fund upon a guilty disposition). However, general fund revenues are likely to be minimal.

It is anticipated that any additional workload resulting from the bill's requirements does not materially affect general fund expenditures for the District Court.

The Judiciary advises that the significant expansion of automated enforcement systems in the State in recent years necessitates an upgrade to its case management system to improve citation intake and payment processing. For locally operated automated enforcement systems, the District Court administers citations and the payment of fines from contested citations only. For automated enforcement systems operated by a State agency, the District Court administers citations and the payment of fines for both contested and uncontested citations. The court currently processes these citations through a manual workflow that has struggled to keep pace with the expansion of automated enforcement systems. The Judiciary estimates the cost of an IT upgrade to automate the process at approximately \$1.4 million; additional staffing costs may also be incurred.

However, because the Judiciary's need is not exclusively attributable to the bill, but rather due to the general expansion of automated enforcement systems, these costs are not reflected in this analysis.

State Highway Administration

As noted above, Prince George's County is required to transfer net fine revenues (*i.e.*, revenues remaining after cost recovery) from speed camera violations on Maryland Route 210 to the Comptroller for distribution to SHA. Thus, under the bill, TTF revenues increase to the extent that the county implements a point-to-point speed monitoring system program for Maryland Route 210. TTF expenditures increase correspondingly as the revenues are used for authorized purposes.

Local Fiscal Effect: To the extent that Prince George's County chooses to implement a point-to-point speed monitoring system program pursuant to the bill's authorization:

- expenditures increase to install the devices and required signs and for other administrative expenses that may be incurred for the operation of the program (e.g., mailing or contractual costs);
- revenues increase as citations are issued and penalty revenues are paid to the local jurisdiction; and
- expenditures further increase as the penalty revenues are used for authorized purposes (or remitted to SHA for systems established on Maryland Route 210).

Additional Information

Recent Prior Introductions: Similar legislation has not been introduced within the last three years.

Designated Cross File: HB 421 (Prince George's County Delegation) - Environment and Transportation.

Information Source(s): Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts); Maryland Department of Transportation; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - January 26, 2026
jg/jkb

Analysis by: Richard L. Duncan

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510

Appendix – Speed Monitoring Systems

Speed Monitoring Systems – Authorization and Administrative Requirements

Speed monitoring systems are authorized to be used by the State Highway Administration (SHA), the Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA), and local jurisdictions in specified locations as prescribed by State law. Most commonly, the systems are authorized for use in school zones; however, the systems may also be used on other specified highways and roadways, including Maryland Route 210 in Prince George’s County, Interstate 83 in Baltimore City and Baltimore County, and Maryland Route 200 in Montgomery County.

A speed monitoring system may not be used by a local jurisdiction unless its use is authorized by the governing body of the jurisdiction after reasonable notice and a public hearing. Before activating a speed monitoring system, SHA, MDTA, or a local jurisdiction, as applicable, must publish notice of the location of the system on its website and in a newspaper of general circulation in the jurisdiction. Statute prescribes additional requirements applicable to monitoring systems in certain locations. For example, speed monitoring systems in school zones may only operate Monday through Friday from 6:00 a.m. through 8:00 p.m.

In addition, statute includes numerous specifications that, subject to limited exception, broadly apply to the use of speed cameras in the State, including those related to:

- the placement of new speed monitoring systems (and movement of existing systems), including the timeframe during which such systems may only be used to issue warnings instead of citations;
- the placement and location of speed limit signs approaching and within an area covered by a speed monitoring system;
- the designation of an official or employee to investigate and respond to questions or concerns about the speed camera program;
- required training for speed monitoring system operators;
- required annual calibration checks for each speed monitoring system;
- guidelines for if an agency or local jurisdiction hires a contractor to operate a speed camera program;
- procedures for the use of recordings captured by a speed monitoring system as evidence; and
- data collection and reporting.

Citations and Fines

Unless the driver of the motor vehicle received a citation from a police officer at the time of the violation, the owner or the driver of a motor vehicle is subject to a civil penalty if the motor vehicle is recorded by a speed monitoring system while exceeding the posted speed limit. The authorized agency (e.g., a local law enforcement agency) must mail a citation to the owner with specified information, including a copy of the recorded image, the location where the violation occurred, and the amount of the civil penalty imposed and the date by which the civil penalty should be paid. A person who receives a citation may:

- pay the civil penalty, in accordance with the instructions on the citation (e.g., directly to the political subdivision for most locally administered programs); or
- elect to stand trial in the District Court for the alleged violation.

Chapter 505 of 2025 established an escalating penalty structure that generally applies for alleged violations recorded by speed monitoring systems in the State, as shown below in **Exhibit 1**.

Exhibit 1
Penalty Structure for Violations Recorded by Speed Monitoring Systems
Effective October 1, 2025

<u>Exceeding the Speed Limit by:</u>	<u>Maximum Penalty</u>
12 - 15 MPH, inclusive	\$40
16 - 19 MPH, inclusive	70
20 - 29 MPH, inclusive	120
30 - 39 MPH, inclusive	230
40 or more MPH	425

MPH: miles per hour

Source: Department of Legislative Services
