



# UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
*Maryland Institute for Applied and Environmental Health*

Devon C. Payne-Sturges, Dr.P.H.  
Assistant Professor  
2234L School of Public Health Bldg  
College Park, Maryland 20742-2611  
301.405.2025 TEL, 301.405.8397 FAX

February 11, 2020

**Re:** SB300 – Pesticides –Use of Chlorpyrifos - Prohibition

**Submitted to:** The Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee

**Position:** In support of SB 300

Chairman Pinsky and members of the committee,

I am an Assistant Professor with the Maryland Institute for Applied Environmental Health and the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics within the University of Maryland School of Public Health. My areas of expertise are children's environmental health, risk assessment and environmental health policy. Prior to joining the faculty at the School of Public Health, I worked for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for 12 years. While at U.S. EPA, I managed the human health extramural research portfolio that included cohort studies on how environmental factors, including organophosphate pesticides (OP) such as chlorpyrifos, affect children's health. I refer to this research, which was reviewed by EPA's FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP), in my testimony. In addition, I have conducted my own research assessing the neurodevelopmental risks to children from cumulative exposures to OP pesticides.

I am providing this written testimony as an environmental health expert and in my role as a member of Project TENDR (Targeting Environmental Neuro-Developmental Risks). TENDR is an alliance of more than 50 leading scientists, health professionals, and children's health advocates with expertise on chemicals and brain development. In 2016, TENDR published a Consensus Statement as a national call to action to significantly reduce exposures to chemicals, including chlorpyrifos and other OP pesticides, that are contributing to neurodevelopmental disorders in America's children (Bennett et al., 2016). Project TENDR concluded that the evidence of significant risks to children's neurodevelopment from exposure to chlorpyrifos and other OPs pesticide warrants strong regulatory action. The TENDR consensus statement (see attached) is supported by leading health care, medical, scientific organizations such as the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American Public Health Association, the American Nurses Association, and the National Medical Association.

Consistent with the TENDR recommendations, I strongly support the passage of Senate Bill 300 to ban all uses of chlorpyrifos in the State, without any weakening amendments. We need to follow EPA scientists' lead. They did not recommend any exemptions and neither should Maryland legislators. I believe that this bill is essential to help protect the health of Maryland's most vulnerable populations, pregnant women and children.

The main points I will cover briefly are the following: 1) scientific evidence accumulated over nearly two decades that shows chlorpyrifos is a powerful developmental neurotoxicant; 2) EPA's 2016 Revised Human Health Risk assessment for Chlorpyrifos documents that current levels chlorpyrifos exposures from food and drinking water are unsafe for pregnant women and children and 3) Maryland's children deserve "reasonable certainty of not harm".

**Chlorpyrifos is a powerful developmental neurotoxicant.** Exposures to even very low doses of chlorpyrifos during critical windows over pregnancy can result in child cognitive problems and motor delays (Rauh et al., 2006, 2011, 2015; Whyatt et al. 2005). Further, effects appear to be persistent and potentially life-long. Specifically, chlorpyrifos in umbilical cord blood at birth has been associated with mental and motor delays in preschool age children; with reductions in IQ and working memory when the children reach elementary school age; and with moderate to mild hand tremor hand tremors among the children at age 11 years. The association with reductions in working memory are of particular concern as working memory skills in the elementary school years are a strong predictor of learning outcomes and academic achievement in later years (Alloway et al. 2010). Higher versus lower umbilical cord chlorpyrifos concentrations was also associated with maternal report of behavioral problems including attention, ADHD and pervasive developmental disorders (Rauh et al., 2006). Further, application of chlorpyrifos to agricultural fields within 1.5 km of the home during pregnancy has been associated with an increased incidence of autism spectrum disorders in a recent study (Shelton et al., 2014). It should be noted that pregnant women and children living near agricultural fields as well as children of farmworkers are exposed to chlorpyrifos through drift and volatilization (Coronado et al. 2011; Bradman et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2014; Wofford et al., 2014; Calvert et al., 2008). Additionally, in a pilot study high versus low umbilical cord chlorpyrifos concentrations were associated with changes in brain volume measured using magnetic resonance imaging among children at ages 6-11 years (Rauh 2012). The changes were seen in regions of the brain responsible for attention, receptive language processing, social cognition, and regulation of inhibition. The neuroanatomic alterations may constitute a pathway from pesticide exposure to the associated behavioral and cognitive deficits.

The epidemiologic results are consistent with data from toxicological studies which found disruption in neuronal development, neurotransmitter systems and synaptic formation as well as behavioral and cognitive impairments in test animals following low-dose perinatal chlorpyrifos exposure (Slotkin 2004; Aldridge et al. 2004, 2005; Slotkin and Seidler, 2005, Levin et al 2001; Roy et al., 2004; Garcia et al., 2002).

**Current levels of chlorpyrifos residues in fruits, vegetables, and drinking water are unsafe.** In 2016, the U.S. EPA carefully and thoughtfully followed the recommendations of its FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) and improved the risk assessment for chlorpyrifos to account for prenatal exposures that result in adverse neurodevelopmental effects. The SAP concluded that the negative effects seen in children across multiple studies were occurring below a dose that causes acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibition in adults (EPA 2014, 2016). The SAP agreed that these effects were also supported by animal (toxicological) studies. EPA's 2016 revised human health risk assessment uses neurodevelopmental effects as the critical effect, taking into account the SAP recommendations on deriving a point of departure, a level of chlorpyrifos exposure in blood that is considered protective for children's neurodevelopment, for estimating risk. (EPA 2016) In comparing target risk level to protect the developing brains and nervous systems of children, EPA concluded that the current residues (amount) of chlorpyrifos on fruits and vegetables regularly consumed by women and children, as well as concentrations in drinking water were above "acceptable levels". The analysis found that current exposures are at 62 times above acceptable levels for women of reproductive ages and 140 times acceptable levels for young children. Additionally EPA confirmed that chlorpyrifos is estimated to be at unsafe levels in air in residential areas adjacent to agricultural fields because of spray drift from pesticide applications. Following the requirements under the federal Food Quality Protection Act, EPA concluded that all food tolerances should be banned and therefore agricultural uses of chlorpyrifos should be eliminated.

**Maryland's children deserve "reasonable certainty of no harm."** Children experience greater exposure to organophosphate pesticides due to their increased hand-to-mouth action, and relative to adults they eat more fruits and vegetables, drink more, and breathe more. The 1996 Food Quality Protection Act specifically requires EPA to take into account specific risks to infants and children, including the developing fetus, when setting standards. In setting or revising tolerances for pesticides in food, EPA must determine that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue,

including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information.” Although the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals has ordered U.S. EPA to “to revoke all tolerances and cancel all

registrations for chlorpyrifos” based on the scientific evidence and requirements under the law, U.S. EPA’s appeal of this ruling will likely mean a resolution will not be reached for years. Maryland should act now on the mounting evidence of neurodevelopmental risk following chlorpyrifos exposures and the EPA 2016 risk assessment that exposure to pregnant women and children are well above levels of health concern and thus should enact SB 300 to eliminate all uses of chlorpyrifos in order to ensure “reasonable certainty of no harm” and protect all of Maryland’s children.

In closing, chlorpyrifos is an organophosphate insecticide, a member of class of chemicals deliberately engineered to be toxic to the brain and nervous system. Twenty years ago, chlorpyrifos was banned from residential use because exposure from residential use, particularly to children, was determined by the U.S. EPA to be above safe levels.(Browner 2000) Yet it still widely today used on fruits and vegetables and other crops across the U.S. and in Maryland specifically and the use has resulted in concentrations in both food and drinking water that are not safe for pregnant women and children and to substantial exposures to women and children from air contamination resulting from volatilization off agricultural fields. I strongly urge Maryland General Assembly to pass SB 300 to provide needed protection of Maryland residents.

Respectfully,  
Devon Payne-Sturges, DrPH  
Assistant Professor

**Addendum: Regarding length of time it took EPA to propose revocation of all remaining uses of chlorpyrifos in 2015 and 2016.** I am well versed on the issue given my work at EPA and specifically my work on chlorpyrifos and other organophosphates as noted in my submitted testimony.

It is important to put the 16 year timeframe for chlorpyrifos into perspective by comparing to other pesticide revocation decisions. EPA has banned only 141 (less than 1%) of about 16,000 registered pesticides. Here are timelines from first limitations on use to cancellation of all approved uses for a few example pesticides that are currently banned: DDT (14 years); Chlordane (10 years); 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (8 years); and Sodium Fluoroacetate (18 years). DDT is probably the most well-known among these examples. In 1958, USDA began to phase out the department’s own use of DDT. But it was Rachel Carson’s 1962 book *Silent Spring* that brought the public’s attention to the harms caused by DDT. From that point, specific uses for DDT were cancelled overtime until in 1972, when EPA canceled all remaining crop uses of DDT in the United States. EPA was sued by manufacturers of DDT and but prevailed in federal court.

Chlorpyrifos followed a similar path. In 2000, EPA entered a negotiated settlement with the manufacturers of chlorpyrifos to end uses deemed the most harmful to children (e.g. in-home use) but allowed other uses deemed to be less harmful (e.g. in agriculture) to continue. This was, in my opinion, response to pressure from the manufactures so they could continue selling their product. However, in 2007 [a petition](#) was filed by the Pesticide Action Network and the Natural Resources Defense Council against EPA seeking a ban on chlorpyrifos based on the growing evidence of risks and harms to children’s brains. EPA delayed in responding to this petition and instead used the time to seek advice from the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) on review of the science. When EPA began to review the studies correlating chlorpyrifos exposures with damage to children’s brains in response to the 2007 petition, it found such a correlation. It submitted its analysis to EPA’s SAP on multiple occasions beginning in **2008**, and each time, the SAP confirmed EPA’s conclusion that early life exposures to chlorpyrifos pose a risk of long-lasting, adverse cognitive, behavioral, and motor impairments. And both EPA and the SAP found that the exposures associated with **serious damage to children’s brains were far below the regulatory endpoint used by EPA in its 2001 and 2006 re-registration determinations** which established the chlorpyrifos tolerances currently in effect.

**In July 2011**, EPA released its Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment, which confirmed the need to address drift, volatilization, and health impacts to children at low doses. The assessment expressed concern that current tolerances may not afford sufficient protection to children from drinking water. (*EPA, Reader's*

*Guide to the Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment for Chlorpyrifos at 1-3 July 1, 2011; EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0850-0027.*

**In 2012**, EPA convened its SAP to review EPA's more comprehensive analysis of the neurotoxicity of chlorpyrifos. In its report, the SAP noted significant, long-term adverse effects on neurobehavioral development from chlorpyrifos in laboratory animal studies. It found that the epidemiology "studies show some consistent associations relating exposure measures to abnormal reflexes in the newborn, pervasive development disorder at 24 or 36 months, mental development at 7-9 years, and attention and behavior problems at 3 and 5 years of age.") The Panel concurred with EPA and the 2008 SAP that "chlorpyrifos likely plays a role in impacting the neurodevelopmental outcomes examined in the three cohort studies, drift exposures, particularly infants. (<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0040-0029>)

Seven years after the original petition by Pesticide Action Network and Natural Resources Defense Council and following several lawsuits and delays, EPA had still not acted on the petition. In September 2014, on behalf of PAN and NRDC, Earthjustice filed a petition in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals to compel EPA to act on the petition.

**2014: In December 2014**, EPA released its *Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for Chlorpyrifos* ("2014 RHHRA") and acknowledged the strong convergence in the findings from the animal studies and the three mother-child cohort studies. It found that the laboratory animal studies indicated "that gestational and/or postnatal exposure may cause persistent behavioral effects into adulthood ...upon review of the published literature a pattern of neurodevelopmental adverse outcomes emerges." It called the cohort studies strong studies which **support a conclusion that chlorpyrifos causes long-lasting damage to children's brains at exposures lower than EPA's regulatory endpoint**. The 2014 risk assessment also documented unsafe chlorpyrifos exposures from drinking water contamination. (*Chlorpyrifos: Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for Registration Review; Dec. 29, 2014 ; EPA- HQ-OPP-2008-0850-0195*).

The following year, while criticizing EPA's delays, the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals court ordered EPA to issue a final response to the petition by October 31, 2015.

**2015:** In 2015, EPA proposed to revoke all chlorpyrifos tolerances based on the findings from the 2014 risk assessment (Nov. 6, 2015). In the proposed revocation rule, EPA explicitly and repeatedly found chlorpyrifos unsafe.

EPA recognized that its 2014 risk assessment and 2015 proposed tolerance revocation did not address the greatest risks and most sensitive endpoint, as EPA policy requires and therefore, continued to explore ways to establish an exposure limit that would protect children from neurodevelopmental harm. Each method it explored revealed more serious risks from chlorpyrifos than the 2014 risk assessment.

**2016:** In November 2016, EPA released its second revised human health risk assessment using a regulatory endpoint designed to guard against damage to children's brains. **That risk assessment found unsafe exposures from every way that people come into contact with chlorpyrifos—on food, in drinking water, through pesticide drift, and from applying the pesticide or working in fields that had recently been sprayed. EPA indicated it had found no chlorpyrifos uses that meet the FQPA safety standard and all chlorpyrifos tolerances would need to be revoked.** (*Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for Registration Review; Nov. 3, 2016; EPA- HQ-OPP-2015-0653-0454*)

In summary, the delays on chlorpyrifos are related to industry pressure, the EPA Office of Pesticide Programs pursuing multiple reviews of the science before responding to petitions, court involvement and slow acceptance by EPA's Office Pesticide Programs that indeed acetylcholinesterase inhibition in adults, the regulatory endpoint used by EPA in its 2001 and 2006 re-registration determinations, was not protective of children's neurodevelopment.

Respectfully,



Devon Payne-Sturges, DrPH  
Assistant Professor

#### References

Aldridge JE, Levin ED, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure of rats to chlorpyrifos leads to behavioral alterations in adulthood, involving serotonergic mechanisms and resembling animal models of depression. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005 May;113(5):527-31.

Aldridge JE, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Developmental exposure to chlorpyrifos elicits sex-selective alterations of serotonergic synaptic function in adulthood: critical periods and regional selectivity for effects on the serotonin transporter, receptor subtypes, and cell signaling. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2004 Feb;112(2):148-55.

Alloway TP, Elliott J, Place M. Investigating the relationship between attention and working memory in clinical and community samples. *Child Neuropsychol.* 2010;16(3):242-54.

Bennett D, Bellinger DC, Birnbaum LS, Bradman A, Chen A, Cory-Slechta DA, et al. Project TENDR: Targeting Environmental Neuro-Developmental Risks The TENDR Consensus Statement. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2016;124:A118-22.

Bradman A, Eskenazi B, Barr DB, Bravo R, Castorina R, Chevrier J, Kogut K, Harnly ME, McKone TE. Organophosphate urinary metabolite levels during pregnancy and after delivery in women living in an agricultural community. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005 Dec;113(12):1802-7.

Browner C. 2000. "Dursban Announcement". <https://archive.epa.gov/epa/aboutepa/dursban-announcement.html>.

Calvert GM, Karnik J, Mehler L, Beckman J, Morrissey B, Sievert J, Barrett R, Lackovic M, Mabee L, Schwartz A, Mitchell Y, Moraga-McHaley S. Acute pesticide poisoning among agricultural workers in the United States, 1998-2005. *Am J Ind Med.* 2008 Dec;51(12):883-98.

Coronado GD, Holte S, Vigoren E, Griffith WC, Barr DB, Faustman E, Thompson B. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and residential proximity to nearby fields: evidence for the drift pathway. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2011 Aug;53(8):884-91.

EPA 2014. Chlorpyrifos: Revised human health risk assessment for registration review (December). EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0850-0195.

EPA 2016. Chlorpyrifos: Revised human health risk assessment for registration review (November). EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0653-0402. <https://www.epa.gov/pesticides/updated-human-health-risk-analyses-chlorpyrifos>

Garcia SJ, Seidler FJ, Qiao D, Slotkin TA. Chlorpyrifos targets developing glia: effects on glial fibrillary acidic protein. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res.* 2002 Feb 28;133(2):151-61.

Levin ED, Addy N, Nakajima A, Christopher NC, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Persistent behavioral consequences of neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure in rats. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res.* 2001 Sep 23;130(1):83-9.

Rauh VA, Arunajadai S, Horton M, Perera F, Hoepner L, Barr DB, Whyatt R. Seven-year neurodevelopmental scores and prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos, a common agricultural pesticide. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2011 Aug;119(8):1196-201.

Rauh VA, Garfinkel R, Perera FP, Andrews HF, Hoepner L, Barr DB, Whitehead R, Tang D, Whyatt RW. Impact of prenatal chlorpyrifos exposure on neurodevelopment in the first 3 years of life among inner-city children. *Pediatrics.* 2006 Dec;118(6):e1845-59.



Rauh VA, Garcia WE, Whyatt RM, Horton MK, Barr DB, Louis ED. Prenatal exposure to the organophosphate pesticide chlorpyrifos and childhood tremor. *Neurotoxicology*. 2015 Sep 15;51:80-86.

Rauh VA, Perera FP, Horton MK, Whyatt RM, Bansal R, Hao X, Liu J, Barr DB, Slotkin TA, Peterson BS. Brain anomalies in children exposed prenatally to a common organophosphate pesticide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012 May 15;109(20):7871-6.

Roy TS, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. Morphologic effects of subtoxic neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure in developing rat brain: regionally selective alterations in neurons and glia. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res*. 2004 Feb 20;148(2):197-206.

Slotkin TA. Guidelines for developmental neurotoxicity and their impact on organophosphate pesticides: a personal view from an academic perspective. *Neurotoxicology*. 2004 Jun;25(4):631-40. Review.

Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ. The alterations in CNS serotonergic mechanisms caused by neonatal chlorpyrifos exposure are permanent. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res*. 2005 Aug 8;158(1-2):115-9.

Thompson B, Griffith WC, Barr DB, Coronado GD, Vigoren EM, Faustman EM. Variability in the take-home pathway: farmworkers and non-farmworkers and their children. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2014 Sep-Oct;24(5):522-31

Wofford P, Segawa R, Schreider J, Federighi V, Neal R, Brattesani M. Community air monitoring for pesticides. Part 3: using health-based screening levels to evaluate results collected for a year. *Environ Monit Assess*. 2014 Mar;186(3):1355-70.

## Project TENDR: Targeting Environmental Neuro-Developmental Risks. The TENDR Consensus Statement

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP358>

**SUMMARY:** Children in America today are at an unacceptably high risk of developing neurodevelopmental disorders that affect the brain and nervous system including autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, intellectual disabilities, and other learning and behavioral disabilities. These are complex disorders with multiple causes—genetic, social, and environmental. The contribution of toxic chemicals to these disorders can be prevented. **APPROACH:** Leading scientific and medical experts, along with children's health advocates, came together in 2015 under the auspices of Project TENDR: Targeting Environmental Neuro-Developmental Risks to issue a call to action to reduce widespread exposures to chemicals that interfere with fetal and children's brain development. Based on the available scientific evidence, the TENDR authors have identified prime examples of toxic chemicals and pollutants that increase children's risks for neurodevelopmental disorders. These include chemicals that are used extensively in consumer products and that have become widespread in the environment. Some are chemicals to which children and pregnant women are regularly exposed, and they are detected in the bodies of virtually all Americans in national surveys conducted by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The vast majority of chemicals in industrial and consumer products undergo almost no testing for developmental neurotoxicity or other health effects. **CONCLUSION:** Based on these findings, we assert that the current system in the United States for evaluating scientific evidence and making health-based decisions about environmental chemicals is fundamentally broken. To help reduce the unacceptably high prevalence of neurodevelopmental disorders in our children, we must eliminate or significantly reduce exposures to chemicals that contribute to these conditions. We must adopt a new framework for assessing chemicals that have the potential to disrupt brain development and prevent the use of those that may pose a risk. This consensus statement lays the foundation for developing recommendations to monitor, assess, and reduce exposures to neurotoxic chemicals. These measures are urgently needed if we are to protect healthy brain development so that current and future generations can reach their fullest potential.

### A Call to Action

The TENDR Consensus Statement is a call to action to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals that can contribute to the prevalence of neurodevelopmental disabilities in America's children. The TENDR authors agree that widespread exposures to toxic chemicals in our air, water, food, soil, and consumer products can increase the risks for cognitive, behavioral, or social impairment, as well as specific neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Di Renzo et al. 2015; Gore et al. 2015; Lanphear 2015; Council on Environmental Health 2011). This preventable threat results from a failure of our industrial and consumer markets and regulatory systems to protect the developing brain from toxic chemicals. To lower children's risks for developing neurodevelopmental disorders, policies and actions are urgently needed to eliminate or significantly reduce exposures to these chemicals. Further, if we are to protect children, we must overhaul how government agencies and business assess risks to human health from chemical exposures, how chemicals in commerce are regulated, and how scientific evidence informs decision making by government and the private sector.

### Trends in Neurodevelopmental Disorders

We are witnessing an alarming increase in learning and behavioral problems in children. Parents report that 1 in 6 children in the United States, 17% more than a decade ago, have a developmental disability,

including learning disabilities, ADHD, autism, and other developmental delays (Boyle et al. 2011). As of 2012, 1 in 10 (> 5.9 million) children in the United States are estimated to have ADHD (Bloom et al. 2013). As of 2014, 1 in 68 children in the United States has an autism spectrum disorder (based on 2010 reporting data) (CDC 2014).

The economic costs associated with neurodevelopmental disorders are staggering. On average, it costs twice as much in the United States to educate a child who has a learning or developmental disability as it costs for a child who does not (Chambers et al. 2004). A recent study in the European Union found that costs associated with lost IQ points and intellectual disability arising from two categories of chemicals—polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants (PBDEs) and organophosphate (OP) pesticides—are estimated at 155.44 billion euros (\$169.43 billion dollars) annually (Bellanger et al. 2015). A 2009 analysis in the United States found that for every \$1 spent to reduce exposures to lead, a potent neurotoxicant, society would benefit by \$17–\$221 (Gould 2009).

### Vulnerability of the Developing Brain to Chemicals

Many toxic chemicals can interfere with healthy brain development, some at extremely low levels of exposure (Adamkiewicz et al. 2011; Bellinger 2008; Committee on Improving Analysis Approaches Used by the U.S. EPA 2009; Zoeller et al. 2012). Research in the neurosciences has identified “critical windows of vulnerability” during embryonic and fetal development, infancy, early childhood and adolescence (Lanphear 2015; Lyall et al. 2014; Rice and Barone 2000). During these windows of development, toxic chemical exposures may cause lasting harm to the brain that interferes with a child's ability to reach his or her full potential.

The developing fetus is continuously exposed to a mixture of environmental chemicals (Mitro et al. 2015). A 2011 analysis of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) biomonitoring data found that 90% of pregnant women in the United States have detectable levels of 62 chemicals in their bodies, out of 163 chemicals for which the women were screened (Woodruff et al. 2011). Among the chemicals found in the vast majority of pregnant women are PBDEs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phthalates, perfluorinated compounds, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), perchlorate, lead and mercury (Woodruff et al. 2011). Many of these chemicals can cross the placenta during pregnancy and are routinely detected in cord blood or other fetal tissues (ATSDR 2011; Brent 2010; Chen et al. 2013; Lien et al. 2011).

### Prime Examples of Neurodevelopmentally Toxic Chemicals

The following list provides prime examples of toxic chemicals that can contribute to learning, behavioral, or intellectual impairment, as well as specific neurodevelopmental disorders such as ADHD or autism spectrum disorder:

- Organophosphate (OP) pesticides (Eskenazi et al. 2007; Fortenberry et al. 2014; Furlong et al. 2014; Marks et al. 2010; Rauh et al. 2006; Shelton et al. 2014).
- PBDE flame retardants (Chen et al. 2014; Cowell et al. 2015; Eskenazi et al. 2013; Herbstman et al. 2010).
- Combustion-related air pollutants, which generally include PAHs, nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter, and other air pollutants for which nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter are markers (Becerra et al. 2013; Clifford et al. 2016; Jedrychowski

et al. 2015; Kalkbrenner et al. 2014; Suades-González et al. 2015; Volk et al. 2013).

- Lead (Eubig et al. 2010; Lanphear et al. 2005; Needleman et al. 1979).
- Mercury (Grandjean et al. 1997; Karagas et al. 2012; Sagiv et al. 2012).
- PCBs (Eubig et al. 2010; Jacobson and Jacobson 1996; Schantz et al. 2003).

The United States has restricted some of the production, use and environmental releases of these particular chemicals, but those measures have tended to be too little and too late. We face a crisis from both legacy and ongoing exposures to toxic chemicals. For lead, OP pesticides, PBDEs and air pollution, communities of color and socioeconomically stressed communities face disproportionately high exposures and health impacts (Adamkiewicz et al. 2011; Engel et al. 2015; Zota et al. 2010).

Policies to ban lead from gasoline, paints and other products have been successful in lowering blood lead levels in the American population (Jones et al. 2009), yet lead exposure continues to be a preventable cause of intellectual impairment, ADHD and maladaptive behaviors for millions of children (CDC 2015). Scientists agree that there is no safe level of lead exposure for fetal or early childhood development (Lanphear et al. 2005; Schnur and John 2014), and studies have documented the potential for cumulative and synergistic health effects from combined exposure to lead and social stressors (Bellinger et al. 1988; Cory-Slechta et al. 2004). Thus, taking further preventive actions is imperative.

Epidemiological, toxicological, and mechanistic studies have together provided evidence that clearly demonstrates or strongly suggests neurodevelopmental toxicity for lead, mercury, OP pesticides, air pollution, PBDEs, and PCBs. The level and type of available evidence linking exposures to toxic chemicals with neurodevelopmental disorders, including the examples in this statement, vary both within and among chemical classes. In light of this extensive evidence and continued widespread exposure, the risks for learning and developmental disorders can likely be lowered through targeted exposure reduction, starting with these example chemicals.

### **Majority of Chemicals Untested for Neurodevelopmental Effects**

The examples of developmental neurotoxic chemicals that we list here likely represent the tip of the iceberg. Of the tens of thousands of chemicals on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) chemical inventory, nearly 7,700 are manufactured or imported into the United States at  $\geq 25,000$  pounds per year (U.S. EPA 2012). The U.S. EPA has identified nearly 3,000 chemicals that are produced or imported at  $> 1$  million pounds per year (U.S. EPA 2006).

Only a minority of chemicals has been evaluated for neurotoxic effects in adults. Even fewer have been evaluated for potential effects on brain development in children (Grandjean and Landrigan 2006, 2014). Further, toxicological studies and regulatory evaluation seldom address combined effects of chemical mixtures, despite evidence that all people are exposed to dozens of chemicals at any given time.

### **Need for a New Approach to Evaluating Evidence**

Our failures to protect children from harm underscore the urgent need for a better approach to developing and assessing scientific evidence and using it to make decisions. We as a society should be able to take protective action when scientific evidence indicates a chemical is of concern, and not wait for unequivocal proof that a chemical is causing harm to our children.

Evidence of neurodevelopmental toxicity of any type—epidemiological or toxicological or mechanistic—by itself should constitute a signal sufficient to trigger prioritization and some level of action. Such an approach would enable policy makers and regulators to proactively test and identify chemicals that are emerging concerns for brain development and prevent widespread human exposures.

Some chemicals, like those that disrupt the endocrine system, present a concern because they interfere with the activity of endogenous hormones that are essential for healthy brain development. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) include many pesticides, flame retardants, fuels, and plasticizers. One class of EDCs that is ubiquitous in consumer products are the phthalates. These are an emerging concern for interference with brain development and therefore demand attention (Boas et al. 2012; Ejaredar et al. 2015; Mathieu-Denoncourt et al. 2015; Miodovnik et al. 2014; U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission 2014).

### **Regrettable Substitution**

Under our current system, when a toxic chemical or category of chemicals is finally removed from the market, chemical manufacturers often substitute similar chemicals that may pose similar concerns or be virtually untested for toxicity. This practice can result in “regrettable substitution” whereby the cycle of exposures and adverse effects starts all over again. The following list provides examples of this cycle:

- When the federal government banned some uses of OP pesticides, manufacturers responded by expanding the use of neonicotinoid and pyrethroid pesticides. Evidence is emerging that these widely used classes of pesticides pose a threat to the developing brain (Kara et al. 2015; Richardson et al. 2015; Shelton et al. 2014).
- When the U.S. Government reached a voluntary agreement with flame retardant manufacturers to stop making PBDEs, the manufacturers substituted other halogenated and organophosphate flame retardant chemicals. Many of these replacement flame retardants are similar in structure to other neurotoxic chemicals but have not undergone adequate assessment of their effects on developing brains.
- When the federal government banned some phthalates in children’s products, the chemical industry responded by replacing the banned chemicals with structurally similar new phthalates. These replacements are now under investigation for disrupting the endocrine system.

### **Looking Forward**

Our system for evaluating scientific evidence and making decisions about environmental chemicals is broken. We cannot continue to gamble with our children’s health. We call for action now to prevent exposures to chemicals and pollutants that can contribute to the prevalence of neurodevelopmental disabilities in America’s children.

We need to overhaul our approach to developing and assessing evidence on chemicals of concern for brain development. Toward this end, we call on regulators to follow scientific guidance for assessing how chemicals affect brain development, such as taking into account the special vulnerabilities of the developing fetus and children, cumulative effects resulting from combined exposures to multiple toxic chemicals and stressors, and the lack of a safety threshold for many of these chemicals (Committee on Improving Analysis Approaches Used by the U.S. EPA 2009). We call on businesses to eliminate neurodevelopmental toxicants from their supply chains and products, and on health professionals to integrate knowledge about environmental toxicants into patient care and public health practice.

Finally, we call on policy makers to take seriously the need to reduce exposures of all children to lead—by accelerating the clean up from our past uses of lead such as in paint and water pipes, by halting the current uses of lead, and by better regulating the industrial processes that cause new lead contamination.

We are confident that reducing exposures to chemicals that can interfere with healthy brain development will help to lower the prevalence of neurodevelopmental disabilities, and thus enable many more children to reach their full potential.



## TENDR Statement Authors

### Scientists

#### **Deborah Bennett, PhD**

Associate Professor, Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, Davis

#### **David C. Bellinger, PhD, MSc**

Boston Children's Hospital  
Harvard Medical School  
Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

#### **Linda S. Birnbaum, PhD, DABT, A.T.S.**

Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences,  
Director, National Toxicology Program

#### **Asa Bradman, PhD, MS**

Associate Director, Center for Environmental Research and Children's Health (CERCH)  
Associate Adjunct Professor of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, UC Berkeley

#### **Aimin Chen, MD, PhD**

Associate Professor, Division of Epidemiology  
Department of Environmental Health  
University of Cincinnati College of Medicine

#### **Deborah A. Cory-Slechta, PhD**

Professor of Environmental Medicine, Pediatrics and Public Health Sciences;  
Acting Chair, Department of Environmental Medicine;  
Director, University of Rochester Medical School Environmental Health Sciences Center

#### **Stephanie M. Engel, PhD**

Associate Professor, Department of Epidemiology,  
Gillings School of Global Public Health University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

#### **M. Daniele Fallin, PhD**

Sylvia and Harold Halpert Professor and Chair,  
Dept. of Mental Health; Director, Wendy Klag Center for Autism and  
Developmental Disabilities, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

#### **Alycia Halladay, PhD**

Chief Science Officer, Autism Science Foundation, Adjunct, Dept. of  
Pharmacology and Toxicology,  
Rutgers University

#### **Russ Hauser, MD, ScD, MPH**

Frederick Lee Hisaw Professor of Reproductive Physiology; Professor of Environmental  
and Occupational Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health; Professor  
of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Harvard Medical School

#### **Irva Hertz-Picciotto, PhD**

Director, UC Davis Environmental Health Sciences Center; Professor,  
Department of Public Health Sciences & Medical Investigations of  
Neurodevelopmental Disorders (MIND) Institute,  
University of California, Davis

#### **Carol F. Kwiattkowski, PhD**

Executive Director, The Endocrine Disruption Exchange (TEDX)  
Assistant Professor Adjunct, Dept. of Integrative Physiology, University of Colorado, Boulder

#### **Bruce P. Lanphear, MD, MPH**

Clinician Scientist, Child & Family Research Institute, BC Children's Hospital  
Professor, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, BC

#### **Emily Marquez, PhD**

Staff Scientist  
Pesticide Action Network North America

#### **Melanie Marty, PhD**

Adjunct Associate Professor  
University of California, Davis

#### **Jennifer McPartland, PhD**

Senior Scientist  
Environmental Defense Fund

#### **Craig J. Newschaffer, PhD**

Director, A.J. Drexel Autism Institute  
Professor, Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
Drexel University

#### **Devon Payne-Sturges, DrPH**

Assistant Professor, Maryland Institute for Applied Environmental Health,  
School of Public Health,  
University of Maryland

#### **Heather B. Patisaul, PhD**

Professor, Biological Sciences, Center for Human Health and the Environment,  
WM Keck Center for Behavioral Biology, NC State University

#### **Frederica P. Perera, DrPH, PhD**

Professor of Public Health  
Director, Columbia Center for Children's Environmental Health; Professor, Dept.  
of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia  
University

#### **Beate Ritz MD, PhD**

Professor of Epidemiology  
Center for Occupational and Environmental Health  
Fielding School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles

#### **Jennifer Sass, PhD**

Senior Scientist, Natural Resources Defense Council  
Professorial Lecturer, George Washington University

#### **Susan L. Schantz, PhD**

Professor of Toxicology and Neuroscience, Illinois Children's Environmental  
Health Research Center; Director, Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and  
Technology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

#### **Thomas F. Webster, DSc**

Professor, Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of  
Public Health

#### **Robin M. Whyatt, DrPH**

Professor Emeritus, Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman  
School of Public Health, Columbia University

#### **Tracey J. Woodruff, PhD, MPH**

Professor and Director, Program on Reproductive Health and the Environment,  
Dept. of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of  
California, San Francisco

#### **R. Thomas Zoeller, PhD**

Professor of Biology  
Director, Laboratory of Molecular & Cellular Biology,  
University of Massachusetts Amherst

### Health Professionals and Providers

#### **Laura Anderko, PhD, RN**

Robert and Kathleen Scanlon Endowed Chair in Values Based Health Care &  
Professor, School of Nursing and Health Studies, Georgetown University,  
Director, Mid-Atlantic Center for Children's Health and the Environment

#### **Carla Campbell, MD, MS, FAAP**

Visiting Clinical Associate Professor of Public Health University of Texas at  
El Paso

#### **Jeanne A. Conry, MD, PhD**

Past President, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; Assistant  
Physician in Chief, The Permanente Medical Group

#### **Nathaniel DeNicola, MD, MSHP, FACOG**

American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists Liaison to American  
Academy of Pediatrics Executive Council on Environmental Health; Clinical  
Associate in Obstetrics & Gynecology, U. of Pennsylvania

#### **Robert M. Gould, MD**

Associate Adjunct Professor, Program on Reproductive Health and the Environment,  
Dept. of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, UCSF School of  
Medicine; Immediate Past President, Physicians for Social Responsibility

#### **Deborah Hirtz, MD**

Professor, Neurological Sciences and Pediatrics  
University of Vermont School of Medicine

**Katie Huffling, RN, MS, CNM**

Director of Programs  
Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments

**Philip J. Landrigan, MD, MSc, FAAP**

Dean for Global Health, Arnold Institute for Global Health; Professor of Preventive Medicine and Pediatrics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

**Arthur Lavin, MD, FAAP**

Advanced Pediatrics  
Associate Clinical Professor of Pediatrics  
Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine

**Mark Miller, MD, MPH**

Director, University of California San Francisco Pediatric Environmental Health Specialty Unit

**Mark A. Mitchell, MD, MPH**

President, Mitchell Environmental Health Associates  
Chair, Council on Medical Legislation and  
Co-Chair, Commission on Environmental Health  
National Medical Association

**Leslie Rubin, MD**

President, Innovative Solutions for Disadvantage and Disability; Associate Professor, Dept. of Pediatrics, Morehouse School of Medicine; Co-director, Southeast Pediatric Environmental Health Specialty Unit, Emory University; Medical Director, Developmental Pediatric Specialists

**Ted Schettler, MD, MPH**

Science Director  
Science and Environmental Health Network

**Ho Luong Tran, MD, MPH**

President and CEO  
National Council of Asian Pacific Islander Physicians

**Children's Health and Disabilities Advocates****Annie Acosta**

Director of Fiscal and Family Support Policy  
The Arc

**Charlotte Brody, RN**

National Coordinator, Healthy Babies Bright Futures Vice President of Health Initiatives, BlueGreen Alliance

**Elise Miller, MEd**

Director, Collaborative on Health and the Environment (CHE)

**Pamela Miller, MS**

Executive Director  
Alaska Community Action on Toxics

**Maureen Swanson, MPA**

Healthy Children Project Director  
Learning Disabilities Association of America

**Nsedu Obot Witherspoon, MPH**

Executive Director, Children's Environmental Health Network

**Organizations that Endorse or Support the TENDR Consensus Statement****American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)**

ACOG supports the value of this clinical document as an educational tool (March 2016)

**Child Neurology Society****Endocrine Society****International Neurotoxicology Association****International Society for Children's Health and the Environment****International Society for Environmental Epidemiology****National Council of Asian Pacific Islander Physicians****National Hispanic Medical Association****National Medical Association**

Address correspondence to I. Hertz-Picciotto, University of California, Davis, Department of Public Health Sciences, MS1C, One Shields Ave., Davis, California USA 95616-8500. Telephone: 530-752-3025. E-mail: [ihp@ucdavis.edu](mailto:ihp@ucdavis.edu); [melissarose6899@gmail.com](mailto:melissarose6899@gmail.com)

The views expressed in this statement are solely those of the authors and signatories.

Project TENDR has been supported by grants from the John Merck Fund, Ceres Trust, Passport Foundation, and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R13ES026504).

D.B. has served as an expert witness in civil litigation cases and criminal cases involving exposures to environmental chemicals. He has been paid for these activities. He has provided opinions for plaintiffs and for defendants, depending on the facts of the case. He also served as a paid expert witness to a Commission of Inquiry into lead contamination in Hong Kong's drinking water. A.B. has served as a consultant to nonprofit organizations developing environmental health educational curricula for child care programs and has participated as a volunteer member on the Board of the Organic Center, a nonprofit organization that provides information for scientific research about organic food and farming. C.K. is employed by The Endocrine Disruption Exchange (TEDX), a U.S. 501(c)3 organization that occasionally provides consultation, legal assistance, or expert testimony on the topic of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. Neither C.K. nor TEDX stands to gain or lose financially through the publication of this article. This work was supported by private foundations that did not have scientific or editorial input or control. J.S. is employed by the Natural Resources Defense Council, an environmental non-governmental organization (NGO) that routinely engages in public advocacy, lobbying, and litigation to expand protections for the environment and public health and to enforce existing environmental laws regulating toxic chemicals, including some of the chemicals identified in this manuscript. I.H.-P. has received travel reimbursements for her service on the Scientific Advisory Committee of Autism Speaks, in which she provided comments on broad directions for the organization's research programs. She also received payment for reviewing grant proposals for the Research Screening Committee of the California Air Resources Board, which is a branch of the California state government involved in air quality regulation. E.M. works at Pesticide Action Network, an NGO advocating for a farming system that is not reliant on pesticides. M.S. is the Director of the Healthy Children Project for the Learning Disabilities Association of America. Her position is funded by the John Merck Fund, which also contributed some of the funding for Project TENDR.

The authors certify that all actual or potential competing financial interests have been declared, and the authors' freedom to design, conduct, interpret, and publish research is not compromised by any controlling sponsor as a condition of review and publication.

**REFERENCES**

- Abt E, Rodricks JV, Levy JI, Zeise L, Burke TA. 2010. Science and decisions: advancing risk assessment. *Risk Analysis* 30(7):1028–1036.
- Adamkiewicz G, Zota AR, Fabian MP, Chahine T, Julien R, Spengler JD, et al. 2011. Moving environmental justice indoors: Understanding structural influences on residential exposure patterns in low-income communities. *Am J Public Health* 101(suppl 1):S238–S245.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 2011. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs): What Are the Routes of Exposure for PAHs? Available: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/csem.asp?csem=13&po=6> [accessed 7 March 2016].
- Becerra TA, Wilhelm M, Olsen J, Cockburn M, Ritz B. 2013. Ambient air pollution and autism in Los Angeles County, California. *Environ Health Perspect* 121(3):380–386.
- Bellanger M, Demeneix B, Grandjean P, Zoeller RT, Trasande L. 2015. Neurobehavioral deficits, diseases, and associated costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European Union. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 100(4):1256–1266.
- Bellinger DC. 2008. Very low lead exposures and children's neurodevelopment. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 20(2):172–177.
- Bellinger D, Leviton A, Waternaux C, Needleman H, Rabinowitz M. 1988. Low-level lead exposure, social class, and infant development. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 10(6):497–503.
- Bloom B, Jones LI, Freeman G. 2013. Summary health statistics for U.S. children: National Health Interview Survey, 2012. *Vital Health Stat* 10(258):1–81. Available: [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr\\_10/sr10\\_258.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr_10/sr10_258.pdf) [accessed 24 May 2016].
- Boas M, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Main KM. 2012. Thyroid effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 355:240–248.
- Boyle CA, Boulet S, Schieve LA, Cohen RA, Blumberg SJ, Yeargin-Allsopp M, et al. 2011. Trends in the prevalence of developmental disabilities in U.S. children, 1997–2008. *Pediatrics* 127:1034–1042.
- Brent GA. 2010. The impact of perchlorate exposure in early pregnancy: Is it safe to drink the water? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 95:3154–3157.
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2014. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2010. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 63(2):1–21.
- CDC. 2015. Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, Updated Tables, February 2015. Available: [http://www.cdc.gov/biomonitoring/pdf/FourthReport\\_UpdatedTables\\_Feb2015.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/biomonitoring/pdf/FourthReport_UpdatedTables_Feb2015.pdf) [accessed 12 January 2016].
- Chambers JG, Parris TB, Harr JJ. 2004. What Are We Spending on Special Education Services in the United States, 1999–2000? Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research. Available: <http://www.csef-air.org/publications/seep/national/AdvRpt1.pdf> [accessed 25 May 2016].

- Chen A, Park JS, Linderholm L, Rhee A, Petreas M, DeFranco EA, et al. 2013. Hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers in paired maternal and cord sera. *Environ Sci Technol* 47(8):3902–3908.
- Chen A, Yolton K, Rauch SA, Webster GM, Hornung R, Sjödin A, et al. 2014. Prenatal polybrominated diphenyl ether exposures and neurodevelopment in U.S. children through 5 years of age: The HOME Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 122(8):856–862, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307562.
- Clifford A, Lang L, Chen R, Anstey KJ, Seaton A. 2016. Exposure to air pollution and cognitive functioning across the life course—a systematic literature review. *Environ Res* 147(5):383–398.
- Committee on Improving Risk Analysis Approaches Used by the U.S. EPA, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Division on Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council. 2009. *Science and Decisions: Advancing Risk Assessment*. Washington, DC:National Academies Press.
- Cory-Slechta DA, Virgolini MB, Thiruchelvam M, Weston DD, Bauter MR. 2004. Maternal stress modulates the effects of developmental lead exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 112(6):717–730.
- Council on Environmental Health of the American Academy of Pediatrics. 2011. Chemical-management policy: prioritizing children's health. *Pediatrics* 127(5):983–990.
- Cowell WJ, Lederman SA, Sjödin A, Jones R, Wang S, Perera FP, et al. 2015. Prenatal exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers and child attention problems at 3–7 years. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 52(Pt B):143–150.
- Di Renzo GC, Conry JA, Blake J, DeFrancesco MS, DeNicola N, Martin JN, et al. 2015. International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics opinion on reproductive health impacts of exposure to toxic environmental chemicals. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 131(3):219–225.
- Ejaredar M, Nyanza EC, Ten Eyck K, Dewey D. 2015. Phthalate exposure and children's neurodevelopment: a systematic review. *Environ Res* 142(10):51–60.
- Engel SM, Bradman A, Wolff MS, Rauh VA, Harley KG, Yang JH, et al. 2015. Prenatal organophosphorus pesticide exposure and child neurodevelopment at 24 months: an analysis of four birth cohorts. *Environ Health Perspect* 124:822–830, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1409474.
- Eskensz B, Chevrier J, Rauch SA, Kogut K, Harley KG, Johnson C, et al. 2013. *In utero* and childhood polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) exposures and neurodevelopment in the CHAMACOS Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 121(2):257–262, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1205597.
- Eskensz B, Marks AR, Bradman A, Harley K, Barr DB, Johnson C, et al. 2007. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and neurodevelopment in young Mexican-American children. *Environ Health Perspect* 115(5):792–798, doi: 10.1289/ehp.9828.
- Eubig PA, Aguiar A, Schantz SL. 2010. Lead and PCBs as risk factors for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(12):1654–1667, doi: 10.1289/ehp.0901852.
- Fortenberry GZ, Meeker JD, Sánchez BN, Barr DB, Panuwet P, Bellinger D, et al. 2014. Urinary 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCPP) in pregnant women from Mexico City: distribution, temporal variability, and relationship with child attention and hyperactivity. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 217(2–3):405–412.
- Furlong MA, Engel SM, Barr DB, Wolff MS. 2014. Prenatal exposure to organophosphate pesticides and reciprocal social behavior in childhood. *Environ Int* 70(9):125–131.
- Gore A, Chappell V, Fenton S, Flaws J, Nadal A, Prins G, et al. 2015. Executive summary to EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's second scientific statement on endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Endocr Rev* 36(6):593–602.
- Gould E. 2009. Childhood lead poisoning: conservative estimates of the social and economic benefits of lead hazard control. *Environ Health Perspect* 117(7):1162–1167, doi: 10.1289/ehp.0800408.
- Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. 2006. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. *Lancet* 368(9553):2167–2178.
- Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. 2014. Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. *Lancet Neurol* 13(3):330–338.
- Grandjean PW, Weihe P, White RF, Debes F, Araki S, Yokoyama K, et al. 1997. Cognitive deficit in 7-year-old children with prenatal exposure to methylmercury. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 19(6):417–428.
- Herbstman JB, Sjödin A, Kurzon M, Lederman SA, Jones RS, Rauh V, et al. 2010. Prenatal exposure to PBDEs and neurodevelopment. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(5):712–719, doi: 10.1289/ehp.0901340.
- Jacobson JL, Jacobson SW. 1996. Intellectual impairment in children exposed to polychlorinated biphenyls *in utero*. *N Engl J Med* 335(11):783–789.
- Jedrychowski WA, Perera FP, Camann D, Spengler J, Butcher M, Mroz E, et al. 2015. Prenatal exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cognitive dysfunction in children. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 22(5):3631–3639.
- Jones RL, Homa DM, Meyer PA, Brody DJ, Caldwell KL, Pirkle JL, et al. 2009. Trends in blood lead levels and blood lead testing among U.S. children aged 1 to 5 years, 1988–2004. *Pediatrics* 123(3):e376–e385.
- Kalkbrenner AE, Schmidt RJ, Penlesky AC. 2014. Environmental chemical exposures and autism spectrum disorders: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care* 44(10):277–318.
- Kara M, Yumurtas O, Demir CF, Ozdemir HH, Bozgeyik I, Coskun S, et al. 2015. Insecticide imidacloprid influences cognitive functions and alters learning performance and related gene expression in a rat model. *Int J Exp Pathol* 96(5):332–337.
- Karagas MR, Choi AL, Oken E, Horvat M, Schoeny R, Kamai E, et al. 2012. Evidence on the human health effects of low-level methylmercury exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 120(6):799–806, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1104494.
- Lanphear BP. 2015. The impact of toxins on the developing brain. *Annu Rev Public Health* 36:211–230.
- Lanphear BP, Hornung R, Khoury J, Yolton K, Baghurst P, Bellinger DC, et al. 2005. Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: an international pooled analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(7):894–899.
- Lien GW, Wen TW, Hsieh WS, Wu KY, Chen CY, Chen PC. 2011. Analysis of perfluorinated chemicals in umbilical cord blood by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 879(9–10):641–646.
- Lyall K, Schmidt RJ, Hertz-Picciotto I. 2014. Maternal lifestyle and environmental risk factors for autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Epidemiol* 43(2):443–464.
- Marks AR, Harley K, Bradman A, Kogut K, Barr DB, Johnson C, et al. 2010. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and attention in young Mexican-American children: the CHAMACOS Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(12):1768–1774.
- Mathieu-Denoncourt J, Wallace SJ, de Solla SR, Langlois VS. 2015. Plasticizer endocrine disruption: highlighting developmental and reproductive effects in mammals and non-mammalian aquatic species. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 219:74–88.
- Miodovnik A, Edwards A, Bellinger DC, Hauser R. 2014. Developmental neurotoxicity of ortho-phthalate diesters: review of human and experimental evidence. *Neurotoxicology* 41:112–122, doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2014.01.007.
- Mitro SD, Johnson T, Zota AR. 2015. Cumulative chemical exposures during pregnancy and early development. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2(4):367–378.
- Needleman HL, Gunnoe C, Leviton A, Reed R, Peresie H, Maher C, et al. 1979. Deficits in psychologic and classroom performance of children with elevated dentine lead levels. *N Engl J Med* 300(13):689–695.
- Rauh VA, Garfinkel R, Perera FP, Andrews HF, Hoepner L, Barr DB, et al. 2006. Impact of prenatal chlorpyrifos exposure on neurodevelopment in the first 3 years of life among inner-city children. *Pediatrics* 118(6):e1845–e1859.
- Rice D, Barone S Jr. 2000. Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: evidence from humans and animal models. *Environ Health Perspect* 108(suppl 3):511–533.
- Richardson JR, Taylor MM, Shalat SL, Guillot TS III, Caudle WM, Hossain MM, et al. 2015. Developmental pesticide exposure reproduces features of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *FASEB J* 29(5):1960–1972.
- Sagiv SK, Thurston SW, Bellinger DC, Amarasiriwardena C, Korrick SA. 2012. Prenatal exposure to mercury and fish consumption during pregnancy and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-related behavior in children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 166(12):1123–1131.
- Schantz SL, Widholm JJ, Rice DC. 2003. Effects of PCB exposure on neuropsychological function in children. *Environ Health Perspect* 111(3):357–376.
- Schnur J, John RM. 2014. Childhood lead poisoning and the new Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for lead exposure. *J Am Ass Nurse Pract* 26(5):238–247.
- Shelton JF, Geraghty EM, Tancredi DJ, Delwiche LD, Schmidt RJ, Ritz B, et al. 2014. Neurodevelopmental disorders and prenatal residential proximity to agricultural pesticides: the CHARGE Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 122(10):1103–1109.
- Suades-González E, Gascon M, Guxens M, Sunyer J. 2015. Air pollution and neuropsychological development: a review of the latest evidence. *Endocrinology* 156(10):3473–3482.
- U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission. 2014. Report to the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission by the Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel on Phthalates and Phthalate Alternatives. Available: <https://www.cpsc.gov/PageFiles/169876/CHAP-REPORT-FINAL.pdf> [accessed 24 May 2016].
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2006. 2006 Inventory Update Reporting: Data Summary. Available: [http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/2006\\_data\\_summary.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/2006_data_summary.pdf) [accessed 24 May 2016].
- U.S. EPA. 2012. 2012 Chemical Data Reporting Results. Available: <http://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting/2012-chemical-data-reporting-results> [accessed 24 May 2016].
- Volk HE, Lurmann F, Penfold B, Hertz-Picciotto I, McConnell R. 2013. Traffic-related air pollution, particulate matter, and autism. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70(1):71–77.
- Woodruff TJ, Zota AR, Schwartz JM. 2011. Environmental chemicals in pregnant women in the United States: NHANES 2003–2004. *Environ Health Perspect* 119(6):878–885.
- Zoeller RT, Brown T, Doan L, Gore A, Skakkebaek N, Soto A, et al. 2012. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and public health protection: A statement of principles from the endocrine society. *Endocrinology* 153(9):4097–4110.
- Zota AR, Adamkiewicz G, Morello-Frosch RA. 2010. Are PBDEs an environmental equity concern? Exposure disparities by socioeconomic status. *Environ Sci Technol* 44(15):5691–5692.

February 11, 2020

Dear Maryland Legislators,

As scientists in the fields of biology, chemistry, ecology, ecotoxicology, entomology, sustainability and human sciences, we would like to call your attention to the irreparable harm chlorpyrifos has on the environment and human health of Maryland. The 71 signers of this letter urge you to take immediate action to protect your constituents and the environment by passing HB 229 and SB 300, which would ban this dangerous chemical.

Chlorpyrifos is a toxic pesticide derived from a nerve gas developed by Nazi Germany for use in WWII.<sup>1</sup> Although the EPA banned almost all residential use of Chlorpyrifos in 2000, it is still widely used in the agricultural industry.<sup>2</sup> Marylanders regularly come into contact with chlorpyrifos through residue on food and contaminated drinking water and air. In 2015, a Food and Drug Administration study found that chlorpyrifos is the fourth most common pesticide found in human foods.<sup>3</sup>

Scientific studies have linked chlorpyrifos to brain damage in children, autism, cancer, Parkinson's disease and a whole host of other negative human health impacts such as reduced IQ, loss of working memory, attention deficit disorders and delayed motor development.<sup>4,5,6,7</sup> Farmers, farmworkers, and rural communities have an increased risk of exposure to chlorpyrifos due to proximity to agriculture, which is associated with immediate and long-term adverse health impacts.<sup>8,9,10</sup>

A large body of science, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's scientific review demonstrates that chlorpyrifos residues in water and food are unsafe for pregnant women and children.<sup>11</sup> In fact, studies indicate there are no safe levels for pregnant women since chlorpyrifos exposure can result in negative health outcomes for both the mother and fetus, such as increasing the chance of having a preterm birth.<sup>12</sup>

Chlorpyrifos is also extremely damaging to wildlife, namely birds, fish and pollinators. Federal scientists concluded this pesticide poses a risk to about 1,800 critically threatened or endangered species.<sup>13</sup> Chlorpyrifos contributes to the staggering decline of pollinators because of its sub-lethal effect on bees. In a Chesapeake Bay Program report, chlorpyrifos was found in 90 percent of Bay samples with 40 percent having concentrations exceeding thresholds.<sup>14</sup> Studies have found that chlorpyrifos can have negative physiological, mutagenic, and sub-lethal effects on aquatic life.<sup>15,16,17</sup>

Safer alternatives exist for addressing challenging pests on farms, including on orchards, vineyards, golf courses and land care.

Due to the surmounting evidence of chlorpyrifos' toxicity to humans and the environment, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) experts determined there was no safe way to use the chemical and recommended a complete ban.<sup>18,19</sup> However, former EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt denied the petition to ban chlorpyrifos as one of his first formal acts in office.<sup>20,21</sup>

As a result, numerous state attorneys general, including Maryland Attorney General Brian Frosh, have filed suit against the EPA challenging its ruling.<sup>22</sup> The state of Hawaii responded by banning chlorpyrifos and both California and New York have initiated proceedings to ban it as well.<sup>23</sup> In August 2018, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ordered EPA to ban chlorpyrifos within 60 days.<sup>24</sup> Days before the deadline, EPA and the Department of Justice appealed the decision and requested a re-hearing.<sup>25</sup>

As scientists and academics, we agree that the body of evidence on chlorpyrifos' detrimental effects to human health and the environment is conclusive. We urge the state legislature to take action where the



federal government has failed. We strongly ask that Maryland legislators champion human health and environmental stewardship by passing HB 229 and SB 300 to ban the use of chlorpyrifos in Maryland this congressional session.

Sincerely,

Dr. Pedro Barbosa  
Entomology Department  
University of Maryland

Dr. Neil Blough  
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry  
University of Maryland

Dr. April Boulton  
Associate Professor of Biology  
Hood College

Dr. Rachel Brewster  
Department of Biological Sciences  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Mark Bulmer  
Biology Department  
Towson University

Dr. Priscila Chaverri  
Department of Plant Science and Landscape  
Architecture  
University of Maryland

Dr. Jane Clark  
Department of Kinesiology  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Peter Craig  
Department of Chemistry  
McDaniel College

Dr. Thomas Cronin  
Department of Biological Sciences  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Elizabeth Dahl  
Department of Chemistry  
Loyola University

Dr. Marie-Christine Daniel  
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Jeffery Davis  
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry  
University of Maryland

Dr. Kim Derrickson  
Department of Biology  
Loyola University Maryland

Dr. John Desmond  
Department of Neurology  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Christopher Ellis  
Department of Plant Science and Landscape  
Architecture  
University of Maryland

Dr. Anne Estes  
Department of Biological Sciences  
Towson University

Dr. Alexandra Fairfield  
Department of Biology  
Montgomery College

Dr. Paul Ferraro  
School of Public Health  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Quentin Gaudry  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Alan Goldberg  
Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Shane Hall  
Department of Environmental Studies  
Salisbury University

Dr. Ellen Hondrogiannis  
Department of Chemistry

Towson University

Dr. Ben Hurley  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Phillip Johnson  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Sammy Joseph  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Sara Kalifa  
Department of Biology  
Montgomery College

Dr. Marciel Kann  
Department of Biological Sciences  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Joanne Klossner  
Department of Kinesiology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Andrew Koch  
Department of Chemistry  
St. Mary's College of Maryland

Dr. Megan Latshaw  
School of Public Health  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Robert Lawrence  
Bloomberg School of Public Health  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Bernard Lohr  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Carlos MacHado  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Mira Mehta  
Department of Nutrition and Food Science  
University of Maryland

Dr. Stephen Miller

Department of Biological Sciences  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Melanie Nillson  
Department of Chemistry  
McHenry College

Dr. Devon Payne-Sturges  
Maryland Institute for Applied Environmental  
Health

University of Maryland  
Dr. Nora Pisanic  
Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The John Hopkins University

Dr. Robin Van Meter  
Biology and Environmental Studies  
Washington College

Dr. Timothy Pruett  
Department of Geography and Environmental  
Planning  
Towson University

Dr. Kim Quillin  
Department of Biological Sciences  
Salisbury University

Dr. Gurumurthy Ramachandran  
Bloomberg School of Public Health  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Michael Raupp  
Department of Entomology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Stephen Roth  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Ana Rule  
Director, Exposure Assessment Lab  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Nadine Sahyoun  
Department of Nutrition and Food Science  
University of Maryland

Dr. Thurka Sangaramoorth  
Department of Anthropology

University of Maryland

Dr. Amir Sapkota  
Maryland Institute for Applied Environmental  
Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Charles Schmitz  
Department of Geography  
Towson University

Dr. Eric Schoenberger  
Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Hal Schreier  
Marine Biotechnology and Biology  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Dr. Alan Scott  
Bloomberg School of Public Health  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Jason Scullion  
Chair, Department of Environmental Studies  
McDaniel College

Leo Shapiro  
Lecturer, College of Agriculture  
University of Maryland

Dr. Leslie Sherman  
Department of Chemistry and Environmental  
Science  
Washington College

Dr. Erik Silldorf  
Department of Biological Sciences  
Towson University

Dr. Photini Sinnis  
School of Public Health  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Genee Smith  
School of Public Health  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Ernst Spannake

Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Paporn Thebpanya  
Department of Geography and Environmental  
Planning  
Towson University

Dr. Marie-Christine Thoma  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Eric Toner  
Bloomberg School of Public Health  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Troy Townsend  
Department OF Chemistry  
St. Mary's College of Chemistry

Dr. Dennis Vacante  
School of Public Health  
University of Maryland

Dr. Robin Van Meter  
Biology and Environmental Science & Studies  
Washington College

Dr. Sara Via  
Entomology Department  
University of Maryland

Dr. Cynthia Wagner  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Virginia Weaver  
Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The Johns Hopkins University

Dr. Gerald Wilkinson  
Department of Biology  
University of Maryland

Dr. Marsha Wills-Karp  
Department of Environmental Health and  
Engineering  
The Johns Hopkins University

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Associated Press. (2017). Dow Chemical is pushing Trump administration to ignore studies of toxic pesticide. *Los Angeles Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.latimes.com/business/la-fi-dow-pesticides-trump-20170420-story.html>
- <sup>2</sup> Environmental Protection Agency (2018, September 24). Chlorpyrifos. *Environmental Protection Agency*. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/chlorpyrifos>
- <sup>3</sup> Smart on Pesticides Maryland. (2019). The 2019 Maryland Chlorpyrifos Ban Bill, HB275/SB270. *Maryland Pesticide Network Maryland Pesticide Education Network*. Retrieved from <http://www.mdpestnet.org/take-action/smart-on-pesticides-maryland/>
- <sup>4</sup> Rauh, V. A., Perera, P. P., Horton, M. K., Whyatt, R. M., Bansal, R., ... & Peterson, B. S. (2012). Brain anomalies in children exposed prenatally to a common organophosphate pesticide. *PNAS*. Retrieved from <http://www.pnas.org/content/pnas/early/2012/04/25/1203396109.full.pdf>
- <sup>5</sup> Shelton, J. F., Geraghty, E. M., Tancredi, D. J., Delwiche, L. D., Schmidt, R. J., ... & Hertz-Picciotto, I. (2014). Neurodevelopmental Disorders and Prenatal Residential Proximity to Agricultural Pesticides: The CHARGE Study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. Retrieved from <https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/122/10/ehp.1307044.alt.pdf>
- <sup>6</sup> Lee, W. J., Blair, A., Hoppin, J. A., Lubin, J. H., Rusiecki, J. A., ... & Alavanja, M. C. (2004). Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed to chlorpyrifos in Agricultural Health Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15572760>
- <sup>7</sup> Wang, A., Cockburn, M., Ly, T. T., Bronstein, J. M., Ritz, B. (2014). The association between ambient exposure to organophosphates and Parkinson's disease risk. *Occup Environ Med*. Retrieved from <http://oem.bmj.com/content/71/4/275>
- <sup>8</sup> Rastogi, S. K., Tripathi, S., & Ravishanker, D. (2010). A study of neurologic symptoms on exposure to organophosphate pesticides in the children of agricultural workers. *Indian journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 14(2), 54. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2992866/>
- <sup>9</sup> Rauh, V., Arunajadai, S., Horton, M., Perera, F., Hoepner, L., Barr, D. B., & Whyatt, R. (2011). Seven-year neurodevelopmental scores and prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos, a common agricultural pesticide. *Environmental health perspectives*, 119(8), 1196. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3237355/>
- <sup>10</sup> Khan, K., Ismail, A. A., Rasoul, G. A., Bonner, M. R., Lasarev, M. R., Hendy, O., ... & Rohlman, D. S. (2014). Longitudinal assessment of chlorpyrifos exposure and self-reported neurological symptoms in adolescent pesticide applicators. *BMJ open*, 4(3), e004177. Retrieved from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/4/3/e004177.short>
- <sup>11</sup> Environmental Protection Agency. (2016). Chlorpyrifos Revised Human Health Risk Assessment. *Regulations.gov*. Retrieved from <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0653-0454>
- <sup>12</sup> Hertz-Picciotto, I., Sass, J. B., Engel, S., Bennett, D. H., Bradman, A., Eskenazi, B., Lanphear, B., Whyatt, R. (2018). Organophosphate exposures during pregnancy and child neurodevelopment: Recommendations for essential policy reforms. *PLOS Medicine*. Retrieved from <https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.1002671>
- <sup>13</sup> Associated Press. (2017). Dow Chemical is pushing Trump administration to ignore studies of toxic pesticide. *Los Angeles Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.latimes.com/business/la-fi-dow-pesticides-trump-20170420-story.html>
- <sup>14</sup> Smart on Pesticides Maryland. (2019). The 2019 Maryland Chlorpyrifos Ban Bill, HB275/SB270. *Maryland Pesticide Network Maryland Pesticide Education Network*. Retrieved from <http://www.mdpestnet.org/take-action/smart-on-pesticides-maryland/>
- <sup>15</sup> Urlacher, E., Monchanin, C., Rivière, C., Richard, F. J., Lombardi, C., Michelsen-Heath, S., ... & Mercer, A. R. (2016). Measurements of chlorpyrifos levels in forager bees and comparison with levels that disrupt honey bee odor-mediated learning under laboratory conditions. *Journal of chemical ecology*, 42(2), 127-138.
- <sup>16</sup> Henry, M., Beguin, M., Requier, F., Rollin, O., Odoux, J. F., Aupinel, P., ... & Decourtye, A. (2012). A common pesticide decreases foraging success and survival in honey bees. *Science*, 336(6079), 348-350.
- <sup>17</sup> Williamson, S. M., Moffat, C., Gomersall, M., Saranzewa, N., Connolly, C., & Wright, G. A. (2013). Exposure to acetylcholinesterase inhibitors alters the physiology and motor function of honeybees. *Frontiers in physiology*, 4, 13.
- <sup>18</sup> Charles, D. (2017). EPA Decides Not To Ban A Pesticide, Despite Its Own Evidence Of Risk. *NPR*. Retrieved from <https://www.npr.org/sections/thesalt/2017/03/29/521898976/will-the-epa-reject-a-pesticide-or-its-own-scientific-evidence>
- <sup>19</sup> Earth Justice. (2018). What you should know: Chlorpyrifos. *Earth Justice*. Retrieved from <https://earthjustice.org/features/what-you-need-to-know-about-chlorpyrifos>
- <sup>20</sup> Environmental Protection Agency. (2017). EPA Administrator Pruitt Denies Petition to Ban Widely Used Pesticide. *EPA*. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-administrator-pruitt-denies-petition-ban-widely-used-pesticide-0>
- <sup>21</sup> Lipton, E. (2017). E.P.A. Chief, Rejecting Agency's Science, Chooses Not to Ban Insecticide. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/29/us/politics/epa-insecticide-chlorpyrifos.html>
- <sup>22</sup> Office of the Maryland Attorney General. (2017). Attorney General Frosh Joins Coalition of State Attorneys General in Suing the U.S. Department of Education for Abandoning Critical Student Protections. *Office of Maryland Attorney General*. Retrieved from <http://www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov/Press/2017/070617a.pdf>



---

<sup>23</sup> Kay, R. (2018). First In The Nation to Ban Chlorpyrifos! *Hawaii Reporter*. Retrieved from <http://www.hawaiireporter.com/first-nation-chlorpyrifos-ban/>

<sup>24</sup> Lipton, E. (2018). Court Orders E.P.A. to Ban Chlorpyrifos, Pesticide Tied to Children's Health Problems. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/09/us/politics/chlorpyrifos-pesticide-ban-epa-court.html>

<sup>25</sup> SB3095. (2018). Mandatory Pesticide Disclosure; Pesticide Reporting and Regulation Program; Chlorpyrifos; Pesticide Use Revolving Fund; Pesticide Drift Monitoring Study; Appropriation. *Hawaii State Legislature*. Retrieved from [https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/measure\\_indiv.aspx?billtype=SB&billnumber=3095&year=2018](https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/measure_indiv.aspx?billtype=SB&billnumber=3095&year=2018)