**SUSAN C. LEE** Legislative District 16 Montgomery County

MAJORITY WHIP

Judicial Proceedings Committee

Joint Committee on Cybersecurity, Information Technology, and Biotechnology

*Chair Emeritus* Maryland Legislative Asian American and Pacific Islander Caucus

President Emeritus Women Legislators of the Maryland General Assembly, Inc.



James Senate Office Building 11 Bladen Street, Room 223 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-841-3124 · 301-858-3124 800-492-7122 *Ext.* 3124 Susan.Lee@senate.state.md.us

## THE SENATE OF MARYLAND Annapolis, Maryland 21401

January 14, 2020

## Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee Senate Bill 30 - Criminal Law - Crimes Involving Computers - Ransomware

Senate Bill 30 mitigates the growing threat of cybercrime in Maryland by defining the crime of ransomware and applying that crime to the unlawful possession of ransomware software with the intent to deploy the technology for malicious purposes. Ransomware is software or a program that prevents victims from accessing computer systems or records until the victim makes a payment to the perpetrator, usually involving untraceable Bitcoin transactions.

Maryland State and local government agencies have fallen victim to high-profile ransomware attacks in recent years. In May of 2019, Baltimore City employees were unable to access online accounts and city payment systems were down for weeks, resulting in some \$18 million in restoration and repair costs for the City. These attacks are not only costly, they also threaten public safety. In 2018, a separate ransomware attack rendered Baltimore City's computer-assisted 9-1-1 dispatcher system inoperable for almost a full day.

It's not just big city governments that are targeted with ransomware, local police departments, public and private educational institutions, hospitals and other critical infrastructure face attacks on a daily basis across our State. Some public institutions are targeted not because they will pay the ransom, but so that the attack itself will generate interest in the software, so it can be sold on the dark web either as a contract hire or transfer of the ransomware program itself. Private institutions are much more likely to pay to avoid embarrassment, but public institutions have more transparency requirements that make the damage more severe as they have a greater disincentive to pay off the extortionists.

No business, organization, or industry, no matter the size, is safe from ransomware attacks today. And it doesn't take a sophisticated crime syndicate to perpetrate an attack. Any individual connected to the internet has the power to access and utilize crippling ransomware. As the software is disseminated more widely, opportunists like disgruntled employees will deploy these weapons with greater frequency. We must snip this supply growth by fighting demand.

Let me be clear: ransomware is a weapon. This software is a loaded gun with no possible defensive purpose; we shouldn't have to wait for someone to pull the trigger to take decisive action. Law enforcement should be empowered to act against individuals and organizations who possess such weapons without a legitimate purpose *before* they are unleashed to wreak havoc on our schools, hospitals, police departments and businesses. That is exactly what this bill does.

Under SB 30, persons who possess ransomware with an intent to use it for anything other than a lawful research purpose are guilty of a misdemeanor offense and will face penalties of up to 10 years and/or a \$10,000 maximum fine. This penalty aligns with those associated with the existing felony extortion offense, which is intuitive given use of ransomware is extortionist.

Iterations of this bill have been introduced in prior sessions with the support of the Maryland Cybersecurity Council, on which I serve, and this iteration continues to enjoy the support of the Council. In past years, this legislation has failed to move forward due to minor technical concerns expressed by the former Chairman. SB 30 has been adjusted to address those concerns in order to create the best conditions for passage, but under the leadership of the new Chairman I would support consideration of provisions that were present in last year's version, specifically the private right to action for victims and adding hospitals to the enhanced penalty for targeting public service companies with critical infrastructure under the existing cyber intrusion statute.

While we have a lot more work to do as a committee, as a legislature and as a State to address ransomware attacks and other cybercrime, this bill is a step in the right direction towards strengthening our cybercrime deterrence. Prosecutors and investigators who discover ransomware and the intent to use it should not be prohibited from preventing a harmful crime from occurring. There is no lawful purpose to have ransomware if you are not doing research, and we should not allow individuals to trade these wares online until we have locked and loaded a circular firing squad surrounding our entire government apparatus.

The private sector should also be more transparent about their attacks, and they should expect future legislation to prevent their payment of ransoms to support organized criminals or opportunists. SB 30 is a first step toward preventing access to dangerous weapons against our democratic institutions. For these reasons, I respectfully request a favorable report on SB 30.