BRIAN E. FROSH Attorney General

ELIZABETH F. HARRIS Chief Deputy Attorney General

CAROLYN QUATTROCKI Deputy Attorney General



WILLIAM D. GRUHN Chief **Consumer Protection Division** 

Writer's Direct Dial No. 410-576-6986

## STATE OF MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Consumer Protection Division

February 25, 2020

To:

The Honorable William C. Smith, Jr. Chair, Judicial Proceedings Committee

From: Kira Wilpone-Welborn

Staff Attorney

Re:

Senate Bill 675 – Baltimore County - Foreclosure Proceedings - Affidavit That Property

Is Vacant or Unfit for Human Habitation (OPPOSE)

The Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General opposes Senate Bill 675 sponsored by Senator West because it would remove valuable and necessary protections to Maryland homeowners facing foreclosure proceedings in Baltimore County.

The current law limits the ability of secured interest holders to avoid the full foreclosure procedures--including advanced notice of the intent to foreclose, and the ability to seek foreclosure mediation and relief--detailed in the Real Property Article § 7-105.1. These important due process protections ensure Maryland consumers know of their rights in the foreclosure process and allows consumers to retain ownership of their property through mediation.

Unlike the current law, Senate Bill 675 would not require that a county or municipal corporation certify that the property is vacant or unfit for human habitation. Instead, Senate Bill 675 would allow individuals with a secured interest in a property to expedite the foreclosure process by merely filing with the court an affidavit that the property at issue is vacant or uninhabitable. Moreover, the homeowner would only have thirty (30) days to dispute the accuracy of the affidavit foreclosing their access to important due process procedures. Senate Bill 675's proposal to allow secured interest holders in Baltimore County to skirt the full foreclosure notice and medication processes without seeking a certificate of vacancy or uninhabitability from a county or municipal corporation would invite unfairness to the foreclosure procedure and harm Maryland consumers. Accordingly, the Division requests that the Judicial Proceedings Committee give Senate Bill 675 an unfavorable report.