

Testimony for the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

March 10, 2020

SB 848 Public Safety - DNA Collection, Records, Analysis, and Reporting

TONI HOLNESS PUBLIC POLICY DIRECTOR

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF MARYLAND

MAIN OFFICE & MAILING ADDRESS 3600 CLIPPER MILL ROAD SUITE 350 BALTIMORE, MD 21211 T/410-889-8555 or 240-274-5295 F/410-366-7838

FIELD OFFICE 6930 CARROLL AVENUE SUITE 610 TAKOMA PARK, MD 20912 T/240-274-5295

WWW.ACLU-MD.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS JOHN HENDERSON PRESIDENT

FAVORABLE with AMENDMENTS

The ACLU of Maryland urges a favorable report on SB 848, with the sponsor's amendments to make the bill a study of the use of DNA records by law enforcement.

Several companies, including Ancestry.com and 23andMe, maintain databases of DNA and other genealogical information about persons who willingly submit samples with the hope of learning more about their familial relationships. These individuals—and their familial networks—should not waive their basic privacy rights as a result of this personal, commercial activity.

Privacy safeguards maintained by these companies, though laudable, are inadequate. For example, 23AndMe maintains a policy that its results cannot be considered "proof in a legal context" because the company lacks "the means to reliably connect any particular DNA sample or account to an individual." Additionally, the company bars law enforcement from submitting samples from incarcerated people or defendants in criminal proceedings. However, these companies also maintain that they will comply with valid legal processes which attempt to gain consumers' information. It is therefore unclear whether individuals' information is actually protected from use in criminal proceedings. Moreover, companies' policies can change often, drastically, and without notice to the public. Marylanders' should not be forced to choose between the personal and familial benefits of DNA technology and maintaining their basic privacy rights, and this choice should not depend on the commercial inclinations of private businesses.

For the foregoing reasons, we urge a favorable report on SB 848, which as amended, would call for further study of the issue.