Maryland Association of COUNTY HEALTH OFFICERS

an affiliate of Maryland Association of Counties, Inc.



TESTIMONY BEFORE THE HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE HOUSE BILL 732

Electronic Smoking Devices, Other Tobacco Products, and Cigarettes – Taxation and Regulation

Leslie Frey, Office of Governmental Affairs for the Montgomery County Health Department For the Maryland Association of County Health Officers MACHO)

Position: Support – February 18, 2020

The Maryland Association of County Health Officers strongly supports HB 732. Tobacco remains the leading cause of preventable death and disability in the U.S.¹

Each year, tobacco-related diseases:

- Cost the Maryland economy \$2.7 billion in direct medical expenses
- Result in \$576 million of Medicaid expenses
- Cost Maryland businesses \$2.2 billion in lost productivity
- Cost the average Maryland household \$824 in higher taxes²

Currently, 14 states have higher average prices for cigarettes than Maryland.³

E-cigarettes are being used at an alarming rate among youth. In Maryland, there was a 73 percent increase in high school use between the 2017 and 2019 school years.⁴ **Due to lack of taxation, vaping costs teens approximately 50% less than cigarettes for a comparable amount of nicotine**.^{3,5}

- Effects of nicotine on the adolescent brain include harming attention regulation, learning, impulse control, and mood.⁶
- Adolescent nicotine addiction increases the risk for addiction to other drugs, including opioids.⁶

Increases in price are very effective in reducing smoking among lower socioeconomic populations that have been targeted for decades by the tobacco industry.⁷

Increased taxation results in 4x greater reduction in smoking among adolescents than adults.⁸

Research shows that greater investments in comprehensive state tobacco control programs lead to quicker and greater declines in smoking rates and in smoking-related disease and death.^{9,10} Adequately funded state tobacco programs can save 14 to 20 times the cost of implementing them.¹¹

Therefore, MACHO encourages the Maryland General Assembly to devote \$2.4 million/year from this new revenue to MDH nicotine-cessation programs and underage sales enforcement (an average of \$100,000/jurisdiction).

Raising the tax on tobacco products, including the institution of a specific tax on e-cigarettes, will lead to a healthier and more fiscally sound Maryland for generations to come. For more information, please contact Ruth Maiorana, MACHO Executive Director at <u>rmaiora1@jhu.edu</u> or 410-614-6891. *This communication reflects the position of MACHO*.

- 1. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/fast_facts/index.htm
- 2. http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/facts_issues/toll_us/maryland
- 3. <u>http://worldpopulationreview.com/states/cigarette-prices-by-state/</u> Accessed 1/25/2020.
- 4. 2018-2019 Maryland Youth Risk Behavior/Youth Tobacco Survey (YRBS/YTS), unpublished data, retrieved 23January2020
- 5. https://www.juul.com/resources/JUUL-Pods-Cost-and-Pricing-Pods-Prices-for-All-Flavors
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Young Adults. A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2016. <u>https://ecigarettes.surgeongeneral.gov/documents/2016_SGR_Full_Report_508.pdf</u>
- Smoking Cessation. A Report of the Surgeon General. U.S. Dept. of HHS. 2020. p. 601. https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2020-cessation-sgr-full-report.pdf. Accessed 25January2020.
- 8. Feirman SP, Glasser AM, Rose S, Niaura R, Abrams DB, Teplitskaya L, Villanti AC. Computational models used to assess U.S. tobacco control policies. Nicotine and Tobacco Research 2017;19(11):1257–67.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2014. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/stateandcommunity/best_practices/pdfs/2014/comprehensive.pdf</u>
- Farrelly MC, Pechacek TF, Chaloupka FJ. The impact of tobacco control program expenditures on aggregate cigarette sales: 1981–2000. Journal of Health Economics 2003;22(5):843–59.
- 11. Chattopadhyay, S. and Pieper, D., "Does Spending More on Tobacco Control Programs Make Economic Sense? An Incremental Benefit-Cost Analysis Using Panel Data," Contemporary Economic Policy, 2011.