

Opposition Statement SB0019

By Laura Bogley-Knickman, JD Director of Legislation, Maryland Right to Life

We Oppose SB19 as written

On behalf of our members across the state, we respectfully object to SB19 as written. Taxpayer funds should not be used to fund the procurement of and research on human fetal tissue obtained through the brutality of abortion. No disease has been cured as a result of unnecessary use of human fetal tissue.

Pregnancy is not a Disease

Abortion is not healthcare. It is violence and brutality that ends the lives of unborn children through suction, dismemberment or chemical poisoning. The fact that 85% of OB-GYNs in a representative national survey do not perform abortions on their patients is glaring evidence that abortion is not an essential part of women's healthcare. Women have better options for comprehensive health care. There are 14 federally qualifying health care centers for every Planned Parenthood in Maryland. Abortion has a disproportionate impact on Black Americans who have long been targeted by the abortion industry for eugenics purposes. As a result abortion is the leading cause of death of Black Americans, more than gun violence and all other causes combined.

No public funding for abortions

Fetal and embryonic tissue harvesting and research creates and artificial demand for aborted babies. State funding for abortion on demand with taxpayer funds is in direct conflict with the will of the people. A 2019 Marist poll showed that 54% of Americans, both "pro-life" and "pro-choice" oppose the use of tax dollars to pay for a woman's abortion. Never has more than 40% of the American public supported taxpayer funding of abortion regardless of the context or way in which the question is asked.

Funding restrictions are constitutional

The Supreme Court has held that the alleged constitutional "right" to an abortion "implies no limitation on the authority of a State to make a value judgment favoring childbirth over abortion, and to implement that judgment by the allocation of public funds." When a challenge to the constitutionality of the Hyde Amendment reached the Supreme Court in 1980 in the case of Harris v. McRae, the Court ruled that the government may distinguish between abortion and other procedures in funding decisions -- noting that "no other procedure involves the purposeful termination of a potential life" -- and affirmed that Roe v. Wade had created a limitation on government, not a government funding entitlement.

We respectfully ask for your specific amendment to prohibit application to the harvesting, procurement or research on human embryonic and fetal tissue or ask for your unfavorable report. Thank you.