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Senate Bill 11<br>Sales and Use Tax - Agricultural Purpose Exemption Seedlings and Fruit Trees

Date: January 26, 2021
To: Senate Budget and Taxation Committee

Position: Support
Contact: Rob Schnabel, Maryland Restoration Specialist rschnabel@cbf.org

Chesapeake Bay Foundation (CBF) SUPPORTS SB 11 which provides for an agricultural tax exemption for the purchase of seedlings and fruit trees in addition to existing exemptions for farm trucks, tractors, fuel, seed, livestock, pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizer.

## Extending the Agricultural Tax Exemption to seedlings and fruit trees may reduce polluted runoff from agricultural lands into local waterways and the Chesapeake Bay

The Maryland agricultural community is tax exempt for many purchases related to production needs. SB 11 extends that tax exemption to seedlings and fruit trees. This extension could encourage more tree planting on agricultural lands, including buffers that filter nitrogen pollution from entering local waterways and the Chesapeake Bay. The State's Agricultural Sector Watershed Improvement Plan relies heavily on reductions in pollution from farms to meet the goals of the Chesapeake Bay Blueprint. SB 11 will complement the efforts of the Department of Agriculture to plant forested buffers on agricultural lands.

## SB 11's exemption may encourage local food production, reducing greenhouse gas emissions that negatively affect the Chesapeake Bay

Maryland fruit production does not meet annual consumer demand, only producing 9\% of apples and $15 \%$ of peaches consumed every year. ${ }^{1}$ Seedlings used to plant buffers along cropland will sequester carbon and reduce that State's greenhouse gas emissions.

Fruit trees planted may support local food markets, reducing the need from transporting produce from other states or countries and the associated greenhouse gases. According to the 2012 USDA Agriculture census data there are approximately 282 fruit farms on 3,454 acres in Maryland. Increasing the number of fruit farms and acres in orchards may allow Maryland to fulfill more demand for fruit through local sources.

CBF urges the Committee's FAVORABLE on SB11.

[^0]
# Maryland Grown: HOW WHAT WE GROW COMPARES WITHWHATWEEAT 

Maryland Food System Map
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## INTRODUCTION

The USDA Census of Agriculture provides a wealth of data on what, where and how much land is farmed across the country. This invaluable information can help inform and guide agriculture planning and allow researchers, farmers and consumers to see trends from past to present. This report will examine crop, acreage, and animal data from the 2012 Census of Agriculture and translate them into estimates of how many pounds of food is produced per crop and livestock animal in the state of Maryland. It also explores how much food is consumed by Marylanders using estimates of food availability. Lastly, a comparison is made between production and consumption estimates.

This comparison is an exercise to help understand the potential for Maryland agriculture to meet Maryland consumption demands, especially as consumers and large institutions are beginning to prioritize purchasing local foods. We recognize that most food produced locally does not stay within the state and is consumed by people outside of Maryland. While it is unrealistic to propose that Maryland agriculture could attempt to meet all demand, we could increase the percentage of food grown in Maryland that is consumed locally. Additionally, the link between agriculture and the foods we eat is often missing or neglected. This report helps to create that connection and can be used to guide and inform local production, distribution, and buying.

## SUPPLY: WHAT'S PRODUCED IN MARYLAND? AND HOW MUCH IS PRODUCED?

 grains than other food products, with corn for grain leading the way at about 2.8 billion pounds produced per year. It is important to remember, however, that little grain production is used for human consumption. A significant portion is used for livestock feed, seed, and industrial uses.

Fruit production is relatively low in comparison to other food products.

The number of farms that sold cattle is almost 3 times the number of farms that sold chicken for meat; however, the actual number of chickens sold is much higher than cattle. This indicates that, in terms of number of animals per farm, the size of cattle farms is much smaller than poultry broiler farms.

*Due to production practices, the USDA classifies melons as vegetables and, therefore, they are represented in the "Vegetables" category above. In subsequent tables and graphs, melons will be represented as fruits.
**Corn for grain, also known as field corn, does not include sweet corn, which is specifically grown for the vegetable market.
*** Pounds of farm milk, for direct consumption or for making other dairy products
****Hogs and pigs are raised in MD for pork but data on the number of animals sold have been withheld from the Census of Agriculture to avoid disclosing data for individual farms. Therefore, production has not been calculated.

Maryland has a total of 12,256 farms covering a total of 2,030,745 acres. For a full list of crops included in this analysis, please see appendices A and B.

## BREAKING DOWN MARYLAND'S AGRICULTURE INTO SPECIFIC FOOD PRODUCTS



A large number of farms raising or growing food products does not necessarily mean a large number of pounds of production for that item. Some farms may be more diversified and have small amounts of acreage devoted to many products, while other farms may specialize and devote all of their acreage to one food product. For example, tomatoes are grown on almost 500 farms in Maryland-the 9th most popular food product produced on farms-but tomato production in pounds is comparatively low. This may be because a large number of farms have only a small portion of their land in tomato production. On the other hand, corn for grain is typically grown on farms that specialize in grain and devote a large amount of land to growing corn.

## BREAKING DOWN MARYLAND'S AGRICULTURE INTO SPECIFIC FOOD PRODUCTS



Fruit and vegetable production can also be examined by the types produced, classified according to the USDA Dietary Guidelines. Of all vegetables produced in Maryland, $67.2 \%$ of the production is in starchy vegetables (including vegetables like sweet corn and potatoes) and only $6.0 \%$ is in dark green vegetables (including leafy greens and broccoli). Of all the fruits produced in Maryland, 72.2\% of the production is in melons and only 1\% in berries. For a full list of what vegetables and fruits are included in each category, please see the appendices $A$ and $B$.


Maryland fruit production


## DEMAND: HOW MUCH FOOD DO MARYLANDERS CONSUME EACH YEAR?

| FRUITS AND VEGETABLES | PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION* | MARYLAND COISUMPTION** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fruits, all types | 244.8 lbs . | 1,404,294,977.3 lbs. |
| Oranges | 54.9 lbs . | 314,883,384.0 lbs. |
| Apples | 44.0 lbs . | 252,457,524.0 lbs. |
| Grapes | 17.9 lbs . | 103,048,571.2 lbs. |
| Watermelon | 14.8 lbs. | 84,917,530.8 lbs. |
| Strawberries | 9.7 lbs. | 55,483,278.6 lbs. |
|  |  |  |
| Vegetables, all types | 394.8 lbs. | 2,265,232,510.8 lbs. |
| Potatoes | 116.1 lbs . | 666,143,603.1 lbs. |
| Tomatoes | 86.8 lbs . | 498,029,842.8 lbs. |
| Sweet corn | 25.3 lbs . | 145,163,076.3 lbs. |
| Spinach | 2.6 lbs . | 14,917,945.0 lbs. |
| Lima Beans | 0.42 lbs . | 2,409,822.0 lbs. |
|  |  |  |
| ANIMAL PRODUCTS | PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION | MARYLAND CONSUMPTION |
| Dairy*** | 611.0 lbs . | 3,505,716,981.0 lbs. |
| Chicken | 56.6 lbs . | 324,752,178.6 boneless Ibs. |
| Beef | 54.5 lbs . | 312,703,069.5 boneless Ibs. |
| Pork | 42.6 lbs . | 244,424,784.6 boneless Ibs. |
| Eggs | 32.6 lbs.**** | 187,048,074.6 lbs. |
| Turkey | 12.6 lbs. | 72,294,654.6 boneless Ibs. |

[^1]This table to the left shows an estimate of how many pounds an average person might consume of different foods annually. This table does not represent every food item a person may eat but instead includes only whole, unprocessed foods, including fruits, vegetables, meats, eggs and dairy (excluding grains which may be made into numerous processed products).

It is important to note that some fruits and vegetables consumed are produced outside of Maryland. For example, citrus fruits, like the oranges shown on this page, are one of the top consumed fruits but are difficult to grow in the Mid-Atlantic region due to its climate.

The following analysis will specifically focus on what is grown and produced in Maryland and how demand of those food types in Maryland compare.

## COMPARISON: HOW DOES WHAT WE GROW COMPARE WITH WHAT WE EAT?



## COMPARISON: HOW DOES WHAT WE GROW COMPARE WITH WHAT WE EAT?



Overall vegetable production only meets $10.61 \%$ of current demand.

As mentioned previously, dairy is measured in milk equivalents, the pounds of farm milk needed to produce all the dairy products we eat and, therefore, is the largest food product represented for production and consumption estimates, with almost 3.5 billion pounds consumed and Maryland production "fulfilling" about 28\% of that demand.

The "fulfillment" percentage for fruit is twice as high as that of vegetables. The graphs and charts left show that melon, specifically watermelon, production is driving the "fulfillment" for fruit.

## COMPARISON: HOW DOES WHAT WE GROW COMPARE WITH WHAT WE EAT?



Maryland farmers raise more than enough broiler chickens to feed the entire state, with a surplus of over 255 million pounds of chicken. Maryland farmers also grow enough lima beans and watermelon to meet the population's needs. Lima beans are grown in "excess" but this is most likely because, on average, the Maryland population consumes only $2,409,822$ pounds annually (. 42 pounds per person), which is quite low. On
the other hand, tomato production would need to increase by a large amount (487,387,485 pounds!) to meet consumption demand due to the popularity of tomatoes and tomato products in the US diet ( 86.8 pounds per person annually). It is also important to note that local factors like climate and soil type may favor or hinder production, driving production up for what grows well, and down for what doesn't.

## FUTURE QUESTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Although Maryland has a strong agriculture sector with production of diverse foods, it is a relatively small and population dense state. This comparison is not meant to advocate that the entire state could or should feed its entire population based solely on what it grows within its own borders. Rather this can help create a stronger connection between the local and regional food movements, the foods we choose to buy and eat, and agriculture within the state and region.

As consumers and institutions begin to prioritize local purchasing and are setting ambitious goals to source a certain percentage of food from local sources, it's important to have a better grasp of what Maryland could theoretically provide to local buyers and where there may be opportunities for growth.

We acknowledge that the majority of crop production occurs in the summer months while people continue to consume products year round. Season extension efforts and how this may affect production numbers are questions for future research.

Similarly, as Maryland is part of and contributes to the global food system, most of the food produced in Maryland is sold for consumption outside of the state. Food distribution is a complex and sophisticated system with food exported and imported across the world. Future research on the flow of food is needed to better understand the amount of food grown locally, and what is actually available for local consumption.

There are also some food products that are grown in Maryland but not included in this summary due to data being withheld, unavailable production yields, or unavailable consumption estimates. Baltimore City urban production is not included in the Census of Agriculture although there are 15 farms (as of 2014) producing varying amounts of produce. This is another point for future research in an attempt to understand the production capacity of this urban space.

We will continue to work with this data to create additional comparisons and analyses, to further unpack the connection between local production and local consumption.
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Economic Research Service (ERS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Food Availability (Per Capita) Data System. http://ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-availability-(per-capita)-data-system.aspx.

Maryland Department of Planning, Maryland State Data Center. Summary Data for Maryland State Total Population Estimates by Single-Year Age, Gender and Median Age, 4/1/2010 to 7/1/2013. http://planning.maryland.gov/ msdc/Pop_estimate/estimate_1Oto13/CensPopEst10_13.shtml
National Agriculture Statistic Service (NASS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2012 Census of Agriculture. Volume 1, Chapter 2: County Level Data, Maryland

Yield data provided by Timothy Griffin and Zach Conrad, as summarized in Griffin, T., Conrad, Z., Peters, C., Ridberg, R., and Parry Tyler, E. 2014. Regional self-reliance of the Northeast food system. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 1-15.

| FOOD PRODUCT | LAND AREA | YIELD DATA | CONSUMPTION ESTIMATE | FOOD PRODUCT | LAND AREA | YIELD DATA | COISUMPTION ESTIMAIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fruit, Non-citrus |  |  |  | Collards | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Apples | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Romaine lettuce | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Apricots | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Leaf lettuce | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Cherries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Kale | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Figs | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | Spinach | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Grapes | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Turnip greens | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Kiwifruit | Z |  | $\checkmark$ | Watercress | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Nectarines | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Vegetables, Starchy |  |  |  |
| Peaches | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Potatoes | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Pears | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Sweet corn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Persimmons | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Green peas | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Plums and prunes | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | Sugar and snow peas | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Other non-citrus | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Green southern peas | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Fruit, Berries |  |  |  | Vegetables, Red/orange |  |  |  |
| Blackberries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Squash | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Blueberries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Sweet potatoes | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Raspberries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Tomatoes | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Strawberries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Carrots | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other berries | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Pumpkins | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Fruit, Melons |  |  |  | Vegetables, Other |  |  |  |
| Cantaloupe | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Asparagus | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Honeydew | D | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Beans, Snap | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Watermelons | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Beets | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Vegetables, Dark Green |  |  |  | Bell peppers | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Broccoli | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Cabbage | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

$D=$ Data withheld from the Census of Agriculture to avoid disclosing data for individual farms
$Z=$ Less than an acre produced

## APPENDIXA

| FOOD PRODUCT | LAND AREA | YIELD DATA | CONSUMPTION <br> ESTIMAIE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cauliflower | D | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Celery | D | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Cucumbers and pickles | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Eggplant | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Garlic | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Herbs | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Horseradish | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Head lettuce | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Mustard greens | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Okra | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Onions, dry | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Onions, green | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Parsley | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other peppers | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Radishes | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Rhubarb | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Turnips | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Other vegetables |  |  |  |

D = Data withheld from the Census of Agriculture to avoid disclosing data for individual farms

Z = Less than an acre produced

| FOOD PRODUCT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#OF } \\ & \text { FARMS } \end{aligned}$ | ACRES | MARYLAND PRODUCTION <br> (LBS) | \% OF ALL PRODUCED | TOP COUVTY <br> (PRODUCTION) | PER CAPITA COISUMPTION | MARYLAND COISUMPIION <br> (LBS) | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { FULFILED } \end{array}$ | SURPLUS/DEFECTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eggs, lbs. | 1,544 | 2,364,942 | 85,475,240 |  | Washington | 32.60 | 187,048,075 | 45.70\% | -101,572,835 |
| Dairy | 573 | 50,923 | 977,517,908 |  | Frederick | 611.00 | 3,505,716,981 | 27.88\% | -2,528,199,073 |
| Chicken | 854 | 304,729,435 | 580,527,583 |  | Somerset | 56.60 | 324,752,179 | 178.76\% | 255,775,404 |
| Turkey | 117 | 154,404 | 2,881,185 |  | Frederick | 12.60 | 72,294,655 | 3.99\% | -69,413,470 |
| Beef | 2,663 | 89,755 | 83,385,150 |  | Frederick | 54.50 | 320,708,684 | 26.00\% | -237,323,534 |
| Grain, corn | 2,888 | 435,646 | 2,806,438,152 |  | Queen Anne's |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, wheat | 1,796 | 210,354 | 837095400 |  | Caroline |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, barley | 732 | 40,133 | 158,441,136 |  | Caroline |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, oats | 176 | 1936 | 4,045,536 |  | Garrett |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, rye | 58 | 2176 | 4,701,592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain, sorghum | 153 | 14,722 | 47,056,408 |  | Dorchester |  |  |  |  |
| Soybeans | 2,511 | 475,615 | 1,295,608,620 |  | Queen Anne's |  |  |  |  |
| Fruit, all |  |  | 134,724,767 |  |  | 111.92 | 642,160,138 | 20.98\% | -507,435,371 |
| Fruit, non-citrus | 282 | 3,454 | 35,855,958 | 26.61\% | Washington | 77.29 | 443,464,592 | 8.09\% | -407,608,634 |
| Fruit, apples | 126 | 1,717 | 23,651,830 | 17.56\% | Washington | 44.00 | 252,457,524 | 9.37\% | -228,805,694 |
| Fruit, apricots | 12 | 6 | 101,430 | 0.08\% |  | 0.86 | 4,934,397 | 2.06\% | -4,832,967 |
| Fruit, cherries | 53 | 100 | 479,900 | 0.36\% |  | 2.23 | 12,795,006 | 3.75\% | -12,315,106 |
| Fruit, grapes | 140 | 528 | 4,494,336 | 3.34\% |  | 17.96 | 103,048,571 | 4.36\% | -98,554,235 |
| Fruit, peaches and nectarines | 105 | 951 | 6,539,350 | 4.85\% |  | 7.37 | 42,286,635 | 15.46\% | -35,747,285 |
| Fruit, pears | 45 | 81 | 589,113 | 0.44\% |  | 4.87 | 27,942,458 | 2.11\% | -27,353,345 |
| Fruit, berries | 315 | 480 | 1,663,019 | 1.23\% | Montgomery | 12.24 | 70,229,093 | 2.37\% | -68,566,074 |
| Fruit, blackberries | 73 | 42 | 420,000 | 0.31\% |  | 0.09 | 516,390 | 81.33\% | -96,390 |
| Fruit, blueberries | 112 | 125 | 391,875 | 0.29\% |  | 1.76 | 10,098,301 | 3.88\% | -9,706,426 |
| Fruit, raspberries | 92 | 52 | 88,088 | 0.07\% |  | 0.72 | 4,131,123 | 2.13\% | -4,043,035 |
| Fruit, strawberries | 168 | 205 | 763,056 | 0.57\% |  | 9.67 | 55,483,279 | 1.38\% | -54,720,223 |
| Fruit, melons |  |  | 97,205,790 | 72.15\% | Wicomico | 22.39 | 128,466,454 | 75.67\% | -31,260,664 |
| Fruit, cantaloupes | 273 | 627 | 5,749,590 | 4.27\% | Caroline | 7.59 | 43,548,923 | 13.20\% | -37,799,333 |
| Fruit, watermelon | 303 | 3,278 | 91,456,200 | 67.88\% | Wicomico | 14.80 | 84,917,531 | 107.70\% | 6,538,669 |


| FOOD PRODUCT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#OF } \\ \text { FARMS } \end{array}$ | ACRES | MARYLAND PRODUCTION <br> (LBS) | \% OF ALL PRODUGED | TOP COUNTY (PRODUCTION) | PER CAPITA COISUMPTION | MARYLAND COISUMPTION <br> (LBS) | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { FULFILLED } \end{array}$ | SURPLUS/DEFECHI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables, all | 789 | 29,184 | 224,530,483 |  | Caroline | 368.92 | 2,116,741,585 | 10.61\% | -1,892,211,102 |
| Vegetables, starchy |  |  | 150,825,664 | 67.17\% |  | 144.42 | 828,634,446 | 18.20\% | -677,808,782 |
| Vegetables, sweet corn | 342 | 8,182 | 83,311,410 | 37.10\% | Caroline | 25.30 | 145,163,076 | 57.39\% | -61,851,666 |
| Vegetables, potatoes | 260 | 2,266 | 57,529,208 | 25.62\% |  | 116.10 | 666,143,603 | 8.64\% | -608,614,395 |
| Vegetables, lima beans | 38 | 2,112 | 3,979,629 | 1.77\% | Caroline | 0.42 | 2,409,822 | 165.14\% | 1,569,807 |
| Vegetables, peas | 70 | 2,484 | 6,005,417 | 2.67\% | Dorchester | 2.60 | 14,917,945 | 40.26\% | -8,912,528 |
| Vegetables, greens |  |  | 13,530,918 | 6.03\% |  | 25.20 | 144,589,309 | 9.36\% | -131,058,391 |
| Vegetables, collards | 14 | 123 | 2,003,670 | 0.89\% |  | 1.00 | 5,737,671 | 34.92\% | -3,734,001 |
| Vegetables, spinach | 32 | 938 | 10,104,700 | 4.50\% | Kent | 2.60 | 14,917,945 | 67.74\% | -4,813,245 |
| Vegetables, broccoli | 44 | 27 | 75,465 | 0.03\% |  | 8.90 | 51,065,272 | 0.15\% | -50,989,807 |
| Vegetables, kale | 54 | 72 | 480,000 | 0.21\% |  | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 20.91\% | -1,815,068 |
| Vegetables, leaf lettuce/romaine | 62 | 26 | 300,058 | 0.13\% |  | 11.50 | 65,983,217 | 0.45\% | -65,683,159 |
| Vegetables, mustard greens | 17 | 8 | 72,000 | 0.03\% |  | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 3.14\% | -2,223,068 |
| Vegetables, turnip greens | 8 | 48 | 432,000 | 0.19\% |  | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 18.82\% | -1,863,068 |
| Vegetables, red/orange |  |  | 21,079,976 | 9.39\% |  | 113.40 | 650,651,891 | 3.24\% | -629,571,915 |
| Vegetables, tomatoes | 441 | 657 | 10,642,358 | 4.74\% | St Mary's | 86.80 | 498,029,843 | 2.14\% | -487,387,485 |
| Vegetables, sweet potatoes | 52 | 75 | 903,750 | 0.40\% |  | 6.90 | 39,589,930 | 2.28\% | -38,686,180 |
| Vegetables, pumpkins | 124 | 874 | 7,293,041 | 3.25\% |  | 5.30 | 30,409,656 | 23.98\% | -23,116,615 |
| Vegetables, carrots | 20 | 3 | 28,923 | 0.01\% |  | 9.70 | 55,655,409 | 0.05\% | -55,626,486 |
| Vegetables, squash (all) | 131 | 272 | 2,211,904 | 0.99\% |  | 4.70 | 26,967,054 | 8.20\% | -24,755,150 |
| Vegetables, other |  |  | 39,093,924 | 17.41\% |  | 85.90 | 492,865,939 | 7.93\% | -453,772,015 |
| Vegetables, snap beans | 330 | 4,070 | 18,051,710 | 8.04\% | Carroll | 6.80 | 39,016,163 | 46.27\% | -20,964,453 |
| Vegetables, asparagus | 47 | 89 | 306,427 | 0.14\% |  | 1.70 | 9,754,041 | 3.14\% | -9,447,614 |
| Vegetables, beets | 60 | 16 | 260,616 | 0.12\% | Frederick | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 11.36\% | -2,034,452 |
| Vegetables, cabbage | 54 | 226 | 1,853,200 | 0.83\% |  | 7.60 | 43,606,300 | 4.25\% | -41,753,100 |
| Vegetables, cucumbers and pickles | 297 | 1,822 | 11,912,470 | 5.31\% | Caroline | 10.80 | 61,966,847 | 19.22\% | -50,054,377 |

## APPENDIXB

| FOOD PRODUCT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#OF } \\ \text { FARMS } \end{array}$ | ACRES | MARYLAND PRODUCTION <br> (LBS) | \% OF ALL PRODUCED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP GOUVTY } \\ & \text { (PRODUCTION) } \end{aligned}$ | PER CAPITA COISUMPIION | MARYLAND COMSUMPTION (LBS) | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { FULFILLED } \end{array}$ | SURPLUS/DEFECIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables, eggplant | 75 | 60 | 1,132,020 | 0.50\% |  | 0.90 | 5,163,904 | 21.92\% | -4,031,884 |
| Vegetables, garlic | 25 | 7 | 35,000 | 0.02\% |  | 2.30 | 13,196,643 | 0.27\% | -13,161,643 |
| Vegetables, lettuce head | 14 | 4 | 53,416 | 0.02\% |  | 14.20 | 81,474,928 | 0.07\% | -81,421,512 |
| Vegetables, okra | 34 | 19 | 133,000 | 0.06\% |  | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 5.80\% | -2,162,068 |
| Vegetables, onions | 31 | 16 | 312,672 | 0.14\% |  | 21.30 | 122,212,392 | 0.26\% | -121,899,720 |
| Vegetables, bell pepers | 127 | 154 | 3,075,094 | 1.37\% |  | 11.70 | 67,130,751 | 4.58\% | -64,055,657 |
| Vegetables, other peppers | 53 | 115 | 1,814,470 | 0.81\% |  | 7.40 | 42,458,765 | 4.27\% | -40,644,295 |
| Vegetables, radishes | 20 | 15 | 97,500 | 0.04\% |  | 0.40 | 2,295,068 | 4.25\% | -2,197,568 |

## \% <br> JOHNS HOPKINS <br> CENTER for A LIVABLE FUTURE

## Maryland <br> Food <br> System <br> Map


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maryland Grown: How what we grow compares to what we eat", Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future, April 2015 https://clf.jhsph.edu/publications

    Maryland Office • Philip Merrill Environmental Center • 6 Herndon Avenue • Annapolis • Maryland • 21403
    Phone (410) 268-8816 • Fax (410) 280-3513

[^1]:    *Data are compiled from the ERS Food Availability database, which reflects the amount of food available for human consumption in the United States and often used as a proxy for actual food consumption or demand
    **Maryland consumption was calculated using the Maryland population estimate for 2012, minus those under the age of 2 due to significantly different eating patterns, for a total of 5,737,671 people.
    ***Measured in milk equivalent. Milk equivalent is the amount of farm milk required to make all dairy products consumed on an annual basis, including products like cheese and butter.

