LAWRENCE J. HOGAN, JR. GOVERNOR BOYD K. RUTHERFORD Lt. GOVERNOR



LYNN MARSHALL, ESQ. CHAIR JACOB ALTSHULER, ESQ. NANCY MCCUTCHAN DUDEN, ESQ.

## STATE OF MARYLAND OPEN MEETINGS COMPLIANCE BOARD

January 29, 2021

The Honorable Paul G. Pinsky, Chair Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee 2 West Miller Senate Building 11 Bladen Street Annapolis, MD 21401-1991

Re: Senate Bill 72 – Maryland Transparency Act of 2021 (cross-filed with House Bill 344)
Position: Neither favorable nor unfavorable (informational only)

Dear Chairman Pinsky:

As Chair of the Open Meetings Compliance Board, I am writing to convey the Board's position regarding Senate Bill 72. Senate Bill 72 would amend the Open Meetings Act by altering, for certain public bodies, the requirement that a public body make a meeting agenda available prior to each open meeting, and the requirement that the public body post and archive meeting minutes after each open meeting. The substantive amendments in Senate Bill 72 would apply to each public body that is a "State agency in the executive branch or local board of elections."

The Open Meetings Act, at § 3-302.1 of the General Provisions Article, currently requires each public body, prior to each open meeting, to make available to the public an agenda for the meeting no later than 24 hours before the meeting. A public body subject to Senate Bill 72 would instead be required to post an agenda and all meeting materials on its website at least 48 hours in advance of each open meeting, except in cases of emergency.

The Honorable Paul G. Pinsky, Chair January 29, 2021 Page 2

The Act, at § 3-306 of the General Provisions Article, also currently requires a public body to prepare minutes of each open meeting "as soon as practicable," with the option of treating a "live and archived video or audio stream[]" as the minutes of the meeting. The public body must retain the minutes for five years and must post them online "[t]o the extent practicable." Senate Bill 72 would require each public body within its scope to offer live video or audio streaming of each portion of a meeting that is held in open session; to post an unedited, archived recording of the meeting on its website for a minimum of one year; to discuss and approve meeting minutes "as soon as practicable and in a timely manner"; and to post meeting minutes on its website within two days after approval, and keep them there for a minimum of five years. The bill also allows the public body to post a "searchable transcript" of the recorded meeting in lieu of written minutes.

Although the Compliance Board neither supports nor opposes Senate Bill 72, we do wish to convey two concerns with the legislation as currently drafted. First, as noted, Senate Bill 72 would apply only to "a public body that is a State agency in the Executive Branch" and local election boards. The term "State agency in the Executive Branch" is undefined in the bill, and we are not aware of a definition of that term elsewhere in the Maryland Code. Accordingly, the Board is concerned that Senate Bill 72 could create confusion as to which public bodies are covered by its terms.

Second, the Board is concerned about the bill's provision permitting a public body to post a "searchable transcript" of an open meeting in lieu of minutes. This provision could substantially increase the burden on the Board in reviewing complaints that a public body has violated this provision. Additionally, the proposed bill lacks a procedure for correcting errors in transcription. This lack of a procedure for corrections is particularly worrisome given that the bill requires the archived recording to be "unedited."

Thank you for considering the positions of the Compliance Board on this bill. The minutes of the meeting at which the Compliance Board discussed the open meetings bills pending at the time will be posted to the Board's website as soon as practicable.

Very truly yours, Lynn Marshall /tc

Lynn Marshall, Esquire Chair Open Meetings Compliance Board